



**MINUTES OF COUNCIL MEETING HELD IN THE COUNCIL CHAMBER, 12 JAMES STREET, SALISBURY ON**

**25 SEPTEMBER 2017**

**MEMBERS PRESENT**

Mayor G Aldridge  
Cr D Proleta (Deputy Mayor)  
Cr D Balaza  
Cr B Brug  
Cr D Bryant  
Cr C Buchanan  
Cr G Caruso  
Cr L Caruso  
Cr E Gill  
Cr D Pilkington  
Cr S Reardon  
Cr G Reynolds  
Cr S White  
Cr J Woodman  
Cr R Zahra

**STAFF**

Chief Executive Officer, Mr J Harry  
General Manager Business Excellence, Mr C Mansueto  
General Manager City Development, Mr T Sutcliffe  
Acting General Manager City Infrastructure, Ms K Pepe  
General Manager Community Development, Ms P Webb  
Acting Manager Governance, Ms J Rowett  
Team Leader Corporate Communications, Mr C Treloar  
Legal Adviser, Mr M Kelledy  
Governance Support Officer, Ms K Boyd

The meeting commenced at 6:30 pm.

---

## OPENING PRAYER AND WELCOME

The Mayor welcomed the members, staff and the gallery to the meeting.

The Chief Executive Officer read the Opening Prayer.

The Mayor read the Kaurna Acknowledgement.

## APOLOGIES

An apology was received from Cr S Bedford.

## LEAVE OF ABSENCE

Leave of absence for this meeting was previously granted to R Cook.

## PUBLIC QUESTION TIME

### PQT1 Public Question Time - Salisbury Heights Flooding

Mr Adrian Rigby from Salisbury Heights submitted the following questions in relation to the Marilyn Court water catchment drain at Salisbury Heights:

1. We see that there has been what we consider an interim fix to the inlet by attaching a grid to the front of the concrete entry. Is this the final solution to the requested fix?
2. Has this been presented as an engineering solution and if not, how was this fix reported to be done?
3. I was made aware by a Councillor that this has been a known issue prior to the flood and funding was a problem. Why was this not considered then? After the flood, I was provided photo evidence by surrounding neighbours and letters relating to the known issues prior to the flood.

Mr Ian Rigby was also in attendance.

*The Chief Executive Officer, Mr John Harry has provided the following response:*

1. *With respect to blockages, the extension of the grid further in front will significantly decrease the likelihood of blockage, against the pipe. However, it should be noted that as a result of the incident, Marilyn Court has been put on a "Prior to Storm – Review List" for Field Services to inspect, similar to key entry pits/headwalls in other areas, particularly on Escarpment Dams, prior to major rain events.*
2. *Moving the grid forward has been completed by maintenance staff in accordance with appropriate stormwater practices, but Council is also addressing, highlighted as a result of the event, the alteration of the overflow path to be constructed in 2017/18.*

*Moving the grid was considered to be a practical way of improving the functionality of the inlet and was undertaken by maintenance staff. As a consequence of this event, Council is also considering changes to the overland flow path for possible works in 2017/18.*

3. *While the information obtained from “a councillor” is not clarified in the question, Council administration believes that those comments made in the past may make reference to flooding issues further up the catchment rather than in the vicinity of Mr Rigby’s property.*

*Council has from time to time received and considered information regarding stormwater flows in the general locality, but nothing alerted council to the likelihood of the particular problem experienced at this location on the date in question, which occurred during an unusually high /intense rainfall event.*

*The drainage network in this locality, like all drainage systems, has limited capacity. In times of significant rainfall, overland water flows may occur at various locations within Council's district, and may take some time to dissipate. Areas which are more problematic are identified over time and as part of Council's ongoing infrastructure program, improvements are funded and undertaken within the Council's budget. These projects are ongoing. An example of that is the Anzac drive minor flooding project which will be completed this financial year.*

*Council’s CEO and Staff have met with Mr Rigby to discuss this matter further and it is noted that, at its meeting on 28 August 2017, Council resolved that Staff bring back a report and costings on providing a permanent long term solution at the Anzac Plantation Catchment at Salisbury Heights. This report is in the process of being prepared and will be presented to Council at its October meeting.*

**Bring forward Items 2.5.3, 2.5.2 and 1.3.4, including associated Deputations, Reports and Notices of Motion to this point of the agenda**

Moved Cr D Bryant  
Seconded Cr S White

1. That Items 2.5.3, 2.5.2 and 1.3.4, including Deputations, Reports and Notices of Motion be brought forward to this point of the agenda.

**CARRIED  
2021/2017**

---

## COMMITTEE REPORT

### Works and Services Committee Meeting

#### *Property*

#### **2.5.3 Expressions of Interest - Facility (Southern End) and fenced playing field at Adams Oval, Salisbury North**

*Cr C Buchanan declared a perceived conflict of interest on the basis of being a previous member of the Pooraka Football Club but did not state how he would handle the conflict of interest.*

*Cr C Buchanan left the meeting at 06:52 pm.*

*Cr C Buchanan returned to the meeting at 06:53 pm.*

Moved Cr D Balaza

Seconded Cr L Caruso

1. The information in this report be received and noted.
2. Salisbury United Junior Football Club be awarded the lease agreement for the facility (southern end) and fenced playing fields at Adams Oval, Salisbury North as identified in the attached site plan (Attachment 1, Item No. 2.5.3, Works and Services Committee 18/9/2017) from 1 October 2017 and expiring on 30 September 2018, in line with all the current sporting club lease period.
3. Staff assist Salisbury United Junior Soccer Club in facilitating discussions with Elizabeth and Districts Junior Soccer Association Inc. for the use of the pitch adjoining the clubrooms for training.
4. Staff to assist in facilitating discussions with Pooraka Football Club, the opportunity for Metro United Women's Football Club to become the Lessee for the facility they currently sub licence from them.
5. Subject to Pooraka Football Club consenting to Metro United Football Club taking on the lease for the facility they currently sub licence, staff proceed with facilitating the lease agreement for a period agreed to by both parties expiring on 30 September 2018, in line with the current sporting club leased period.

With leave of the meeting and consent of the seconder Cr D Balaza VARIED the MOTION as follows:

1. The information in this report be received and noted.
2. Salisbury United Junior Football Club be awarded the lease agreement for the facility (southern end) and fenced playing fields at Adams Oval, Salisbury North as identified in the attached site plan (Attachment 1, Item No. 2.5.3, Works and Services Committee 18/9/2017) from 1 October 2017 and expiring on 30 September 2018, in line with all the current sporting club lease period.

3. Staff assist Salisbury United Junior Soccer Club in facilitating discussions with Elizabeth and Districts Junior Soccer Association Inc. for the use of the pitch adjoining the clubrooms for training.
4. Staff to assist in facilitating discussions with Pooraka Football Club, the opportunity for Metro United Women's Football Club to become the Lessee for the facility they currently sub licence from them.
5. Subject to Pooraka Football Club consenting to Metro United Football Club taking on the lease for the facility they currently sub licence, staff proceed with facilitating the lease agreement for a period agreed to by both parties expiring on 30 September 2018, in line with the current sporting club leased period.
6. That a further report be brought back to Council outlining discussions with the Pooraka Football Club and the Metro United Football Club.

**CARRIED**  
UNANIMOUSLY  
**2022/2017**

### **PUBLIC QUESTION TIME (continued)**

The Mayor noted that Mr Adrian Rigby had arrived and invited him and his father, Mr Ian Rigby to step forward to ask any further questions in relation to PQT1.

Question from Mr Ian Rigby:

*Will Council continue to manage and look at future development of hilltop areas, not just Salisbury Heights, to ensure that water catchment and drainage is actioned prior to any current and future development approvals and have a third party review proposed improvements prior to any work commencing to establish what is really required rather than just makeshift improvements or changes which might not fully fix the concerns?*

The further question was taken on notice.

### **DEPUTATIONS**

#### **DEP1 Revocation of Community Land Classification on Neales Green**

Ms Kay Nash was in attendance as a deputation in relation to the proposed revocation of community land classification on Neales Green (refer item 2.5.2 of the 18/09/2017 Works and Services agenda).

---

**DEP2      Revocation of Community Land Classification on Neales Green**

Mr Steve Hines was not able to attend as a deputation in relation to the proposed revocation of community land classification on Neales Green (refer item 2.5.2 of the 18/09/2017 Works and Services agenda).

**COMMITTEE REPORT**

**Works and Services Committee Meeting**

*Property*

**2.5.2      Revocation of Community Land Classification for the whole of Neales Green, a portion of Salisbury Oval and the whole of St Jays Recreation Centre**

Moved Cr G Reynolds  
Seconded Cr C Buchanan

1. Staff provide a further report to Council addressing issues raised in relation to Neales Green at the September Council meeting and implications for the Master Plan on Salisbury Oval.

**CARRIED  
2023/2017**

**DEPUTATIONS (continued)**

**DEP3      Community Hub**

Ms Sandra Holloway was in attendance as a deputation in relation to the Community Hub (refer item 1.3.4 of the 18/09/2017 Policy and Planning Committee agenda).

**DEP4      Community Hub**

Mr Tony Rossi was in attendance as a deputation in relation to the Community Hub (refer item 1.3.4 of the 18/09/2017 Policy and Planning Committee agenda).

---

**NOTICES OF MOTION**
**NOM6 \$43 Million Dollar Council Hub**

*Cr C Buchanan sought leave of the meeting to speak for a further five minutes and leave was not granted.*

*Cr D Bryant left the meeting at 07:57 pm.*

*Cr D Bryant returned to the meeting at 07:58 pm.*

*Cr D Balaza declared a perceived conflict of interest on the basis of being a member of the governing body of the Salisbury Business Association. Cr Balaza managed the conflict by remaining in the meeting and voting in the best interest of the community.*

Cr G Caruso moved a FORMAL MOTION that the MOTION be PUT.

Seconded Cr D Proleta

**CARRIED  
2024/2017**

*A **DIVISION** on the **FORMAL MOTION** was requested by Cr C Buchanan and the following members responded to the Mayor's call as having voted in favour of the **FORMAL MOTION**:*

*Crs D Proleta, D Bryant, G Caruso, L Caruso, E Gill, D Pilkington, S White and J Woodman*

*The following members responded to the Mayor's call as having voted against the **FORMAL MOTION**:*

*Crs D Balaza, B Brug, C Buchanan, S Reardon, G Reynolds and R Zahra*

*The Mayor declared the **FORMAL MOTION** was **CARRIED***

Moved Cr C Buchanan

Seconded Cr B Brug

1. Council notes that the Hub is one of the main issues of contention in the community. Council notes that it has made a decision to proceed with spending of \$43 million of ratepayer's money on a new building instead of considering one of the four options outlined in the MPH Builders Report dated 5th May 2015.
2. Elected Members and more importantly the public, were never presented with the following issues with the current building before the consultation, the report contends that:
  - a. Office accommodation is cellular, fragmented and inconsistent.
  - b. Lunchroom is segregated on level 2.
  - c. Some areas are only accessible by stairs which contravenes the disability access code.
  - d. Various workstation sizes possibly creating unnecessary hierarchy issues with staff.

- e. Work point sizes below minimum guidelines standard of 6 square metres.
  - f. Lack of central amenities and support spaces.
  - g. The Records Building and the Home Assist building are disconnected from the main building thus undermining the desirable characteristics of a contemporary office design.
  - h. Non-compliance with current Building Code of Australia in areas including toilet design, disability access, earthquake performance and energy efficiency.
3. Council acknowledges that the Elected Members and the public were never properly advised or made aware through Council reports of the "key issues in the current Municipal Office" as mentioned in Part 3 of the motion. Instead both Council and residents during public consultation period were only advised of issues of building code non-compliance relating to disability access (toilets), earthquake code and a suggested baseline costing (\$11.25 million) that would be required to repair the Civic Centre to resolve these identified issues. Council notes that the only identified issues presented to Elected Members and the public was in relation to item (h) of part 3 of this motion and not any of the issues (a) - (g) were mentioned thus the consultation was flawed.
  4. Therefore Elected Members and the public must consider the full information, options and issues raised in the MPH Builders report, therefore to repair the existing building it may cost less than \$11 million and would be a more viable solution than spending \$43 million and with further 3 percent rate increases to pay for the new proposed building.
  5. As a result, and as part of a proper due diligence and cost benefit analysis process, Council not proceed at this stage with the current Hub plan until Council has given proper and full consideration of MPH Builders Reports and staff provide costings and explanations of all of the key issues identified in part 2 of the motion and give further consideration to the real "key issues with the Municipal Building" before proceeding with the current \$43 million proposed hub.
  6. Furthermore, request Council to extend the consultation to residents with information received from part 5 before making any further serious decisions as to spending on the Hub.

**LOST**

*A **DIVISION** was requested by Cr Buchanan and the following members responded to the Mayor's call as having voted in favour of the **MOTION**:*

*Crs D Balaza, B Brug and C Buchanan*

*The following members responded to the Mayor's call as having voted against the **MOTION**:*

*Crs D Proleta, D Bryant, G Caruso, L Caruso, E Gill, D Pilkington, S Reardon, G Reynolds, S White, J Woodman and R Zahra*

*The Mayor declared the **MOTION** was **LOST***



**NOM5 Community Hub**

*Cr D Balaza declared a perceived conflict of interest on the basis of being a member of the governing body of the Salisbury Business Association. Cr Balaza managed the conflict by remaining in the meeting and voting in the best interest of the community.*

Moved Cr D Balaza

Seconded Cr C Buchanan

1. That the report that makes up item 1.3.4 Policy and Planning be received, and the current status of the Salisbury City Centre Community Hub project be noted.
2. That the Salisbury Community Hub Design Development Report – Hassell Studios September 2017 provided as Attachment 2 Item No. 1.3.4 Policy and Planning Committee 18/09/2017) be noted.
3. The proposed Salisbury Community Hub project in its current form be deferred pending the following:
  - a) Staff to undertake and present an updated detailed engineers report in regards to the current condition of the existing council building to be presented to council for consideration.
  - b) Staff to undertake and provide detailed and itemised costings and plans in regards to the upgrade of the current existing council building, including a draft design incorporating a better usage of existing floor space as well as a costing breakdown of each item raised within the engineers report that suggests action is required.
  - c) Upon receipt of the above information, the Concept of the Salisbury City Centre Community Hub be removed from hold to allow for an informed decision to be made.

**LOST**

*A **DIVISION** was requested by Cr Buchanan and the following members responded to the Mayor's call as having voted in favour of the **MOTION**:*

*Crs D Proleta, D Balaza, B Brug, C Buchanan and S Reardon*

*The following members responded to the Mayor's call as having voted against the **MOTION**:*

*Crs D Bryant, G Caruso, L Caruso, E Gill, D Pilkington, G Reynolds, S White, J Woodman and R Zahra*

*The Chairman declared the **MOTION** was **LOST***

**NOM7 Hub Proposal**

*Cr S White left the meeting at 08:28 pm.*

*Cr S White returned to the meeting at 08:30 pm.*

*Cr D Balaza declared a perceived conflict of interest on the basis of being a member of the governing body of the Salisbury Business Association. Cr Balaza managed the conflict by remaining in the meeting and voting in the best interest of the community.*

Moved Cr B Brug

Seconded Cr C Buchanan

1. That Council conduct further consultation now that the Hub Building Report has been released to the public.
2. That Council wait until this consultation is completed before proceeding.

With leave of the meeting and consent of the seconder Cr B Brug  
VARIED the MOTION as follows:

1. That Council conduct further consultation for a duration of three months now that the Hub Building Report has been released to the public.

***Leave was not granted***

With leave of the meeting and consent of the seconder Cr B Brug  
VARIED the MOTION as follows:

1. That Council conduct further consultation on the MPH Building Report now that the Report has been released to the public.
2. That Council wait until this consultation is completed before proceeding.

**LOST**

*The majority of members present voted AGAINST the MOTION.  
Cr D Balaza voted IN FAVOUR of the MOTION.*

*A **DIVISION** was requested by Cr Buchanan and the following members responded to the Mayor's call as having voted in favour of the **MOTION**:*

*Crs D Balaza, B Brug and C Buchanan*

*The following members responded to the Mayor's call as having voted against the **MOTION**:*

*Crs D Proleta, D Bryant, G Caruso, L Caruso, E Gill, D Pilkington, S Reardon,  
G Reynolds, S White, J Woodman and R Zahra*

*The Mayor declared the **MOTION** was **LOST***

**BREAK**

In accordance with section 10 (2) of the Code of Practice for Meeting Procedures, the presiding member provided a break to all present. The meeting was suspended at 8:57 pm.

The meeting reconvened at 9:11 pm.

---

## COMMITTEE REPORTS

### Policy and Planning Committee Meeting

#### *Urban Development*

#### 1.3.4 Salisbury Community Hub - Design Development Report

*Cr L Caruso left the meeting at 09:18 pm.*

*Cr L Caruso returned to the meeting at 09:19 pm.*

*Cr S White left the meeting at 09:19 pm.*

*Cr S White returned to the meeting at 09:23 pm.*

*Cr C Buchanan sought leave of the meeting to speak for a further one minute and leave was granted.*

*Cr G Reynolds left the meeting at 09:29 pm.*

*Cr G Reynolds returned to the meeting at 09:31 pm.*

*Cr D Balaza declared a perceived conflict of interest on the basis of being a member of the governing body of the Salisbury Business Association. Cr Balaza managed the conflict by remaining in the meeting and voting in the best interest of the community.*

Moved Cr D Pilkington

Seconded Cr D Bryant

1. That the report be received, and the current status of the Salisbury City Centre Community Hub project be noted.
2. That the Salisbury Community Hub Design Development Report – Hassell Studios September 2017 provided as Attachment 2 Item No. 1.3.4 Policy and Planning Committee 18/09/2017) be endorsed to proceed to Detailed Tender Drawings at approximately 50% design completion, including application for Development Plan Consent, noting that the project program incorporates a further hold point for review and endorsement of the plans prior to a decision by Council to proceed to tender.
3. That a report come back reminding Council of the consultation strategy adopted for the Community Hub.

**CARRIED  
2025/2017**

*The majority of members present voted IN FAVOUR of the MOTION.*

*Cr D Balaza voted AGAINST the MOTION.*

*A **DIVISION** was requested by Cr Buchanan and the following members responded to the Mayor's call as having voted in favour of the **MOTION**:*

*Crs D Proleta, D Bryant, G Caruso, L Caruso, E Gill, D Pilkington, S Reardon, G Reynolds, S White and J Woodman*

*The following members responded to the Mayor's call as having voted against the **MOTION**:*

*Crs D Balaza, B Brug, C Buchanan and R Zahra*

*The Chairman declared the **MOTION** was **CARRIED***

---

**DEPUTATIONS (continued)**

**DEP5 Recreational Vehicle Site in the Salisbury City Centre**

Mr David Hutchison and Mr David Shammell were in attendance as a deputation in relation to the Recreational Vehicle Site (refer item 1.0.2-TVSC2 of the 18/09/2017 Policy and Planning Committee agenda).

**Bring forward NOM2 to this point on the agenda**

Moved Cr C Buchanan  
Seconded Cr B Brug

1. That NOM2 be brought forward to this point on the agenda.

**CARRIED  
2026/2017**

**NOTICES OF MOTION (continued)**

**NOM2 Anti-Poverty Network**

*Cr D Bryant declared a perceived conflict of interest on the basis of his employment. Cr Bryant managed the conflict by remaining in the meeting but not voting on the item.*

*Cr S White left the meeting at 10:16 pm.*

*Cr S White returned to the meeting at 10:18 pm.*

Moved Cr B Brug  
Seconded Cr C Buchanan

1. That the City of Salisbury allow the Anti-Poverty Network to address Council regarding the Newstart Allowance and how it affects City of Salisbury residents.
2. The City of Salisbury recognises that the Mayor declined their original deputation request on the basis that their issue was the responsibility of the Federal Government.
3. That Council acknowledges that a high number of residents within City of Salisbury are in receipt of Newstart and given the imminent closure of Holden's and associated businesses, City of Salisbury is expected to increase the number of Newstart recipients.
4. Whilst this maybe a federal issue, City of Salisbury Council recognises that it has an important role in expressing local community views and raising awareness on issues of importance and/or relevance to local residents to all levels of Government. Thus it is acknowledged that Local Government plays a role in advocacy for the people who we represent, a large demographic of which are affected by the Newstart Payment.

**CARRIED  
2027/2017**

---

A **DIVISION** was requested by Cr Buchanan and the following members responded to the Mayor's call as having voted in favour of the **MOTION**:

*Crs D Proleta, D Balaza, B Brug, C Buchanan, L Caruso, E Gill, S Reardon, G Reynolds, J Woodman and R Zahra*

The following members responded to the Mayor's call as having voted against the **MOTION**:

*Crs G Caruso, D Pilkington and S White*

The Mayor declared the **MOTION** was **CARRIED**

## **BREAK**

In accordance with section 10 (2) of the Code of Practice for Meeting Procedures, the presiding member provided a break to all present. The meeting was suspended at 10:49 pm.

The meeting reconvened at 11:00 pm.

## **Bring forward Item 1.0.2 to this point on the agenda.**

Moved Cr D Pilkington

Seconded Cr J Woodman

That Item 1.0.2 be brought forward to this point of the agenda.

**CARRIED**  
**2028/2017**

---

## COMMITTEE REPORTS

### Policy and Planning Committee Meeting

#### Administration

#### 1.0.2 Minutes of the Tourism and Visitor Sub Committee meeting held on Monday 11 September 2017

##### 1.0.2-TVSC2 Recreational Vehicle Site in the Salisbury City Centre

*Cr D Balaza declared a material conflict of interest on the basis of being a member of the governing body of the Salisbury Business Association who are supporting this project. Cr D Balaza left the meeting at 11:02 pm.*

*Cr L Caruso left the meeting at 11:18 pm.*

*Cr L Caruso returned to the meeting at 11:19 pm.*

*Cr S Reardon sought leave of the meeting to speak for a second time and leave was granted.*

*Cr C Buchanan left the meeting at 11:27 pm.*

*Cr C Buchanan returned to the meeting at 11:28 pm.*

Moved Cr C Buchanan

Seconded Cr D Proleta

1. A report be brought back addressing the issues raised during the deputation by Mr Schammell and Mr Hutchinson, in particular site location, scope of works, cost details and planning advice.

**CARRIED  
2029/2017**

*Cr D Balaza returned to the meeting at 11:31 pm.*

##### 1.0.2-TVSC1 Review of Tourism and Visitor Sub Committee

Moved Cr R Zahra

Seconded Cr S Reardon

1. The information be received.
2. That the Tourism and Visitor Sub Committee continues for the remainder of the current term of Council.
3. That the Tourism and Visitor Sub Committee Terms of Reference be amended to include four Elected Members instead of three Elected Members and that Cr Julie Woodman be appointed to the Sub Committee.

**CARRIED  
2030/2017**

**Bring forward Item 1.3.2FI to this point on the agenda**

Moved Cr D Balaza  
 Seconded Cr S White

1. That Item 1.3.2FI be brought forward to this point on the agenda.

**CARRIED**  
**2031/2017**

**1.3.2 Parafield Airport Master Plan 2017*****Further Information Item******1.3.2FI – Parafield Airport Master Plan 2017***

*Cr E Gill declared a perceived conflict of interest on the basis of being a member of the Parafield Airport Consultative Committee. Cr Gill left the meeting at 11:33 pm.*

*Cr S White declared a perceived conflict of interest on the basis of being a member of the Parafield Airport Consultative Committee. Cr S White left the meeting at 11:34 pm.*

*Cr D Balaza declared a perceived conflict of interest on the basis of a family member being employed by a company in Salisbury South which may be affected by aircraft noise. Cr Balaza managed the conflict by remaining in the meeting and voting in the best interest of the community.*

*Cr G Caruso declared a perceived conflict of interest on the basis of his employment. Mr G Caruso managed the conflict by remaining in the meeting and voting in the best interest of the community.*

Moved Cr C Buchanan  
 Seconded Cr G Caruso

1. The submission on the 2017 Parafield Airport Master Plan set out in Attachment 1 to this report (Item No. 1.3.2FI, Policy and Planning Committee, 18/09/2017) be endorsed and forwarded to Parafield Airport Ltd.

**CARRIED**  
**2032/2017**

*The majority of members present voted IN FAVOUR of the MOTION.*

*Cr D Balaza voted IN FAVOUR of the MOTION.*

*Cr G Caruso voted IN FAVOUR of the MOTION.*

*Cr E Gill returned to the meeting at 11:41 pm.*

*Cr S White returned to the meeting at 11:42 pm.*

---

## PRESENTATION OF MINUTES

Moved Cr D Pilkington  
Seconded Cr L Caruso

The Minutes of the Council Meeting held on 28 August 2017, be taken and read as confirmed.

**CARRIED**  
**2033/2017**

Moved Cr D Pilkington  
Seconded Cr R Zahra

The Minutes of the Confidential Council Meeting held on 28 August 2017, be taken and read as confirmed.

**CARRIED**  
**2034/2017**

## QUESTIONS ON NOTICE

### Questions on Notice Responses

Moved Cr D Pilkington  
Seconded Cr D Proleta

1. That Questions on Notice and responses be noted and that the meeting move forward to the next item of business being Notice of Motion No. 1.

**CARRIED**  
**2035/2017**

*A **DIVISION** was requested by Cr C Buchanan and the following members responded to the Mayor's call as having voted in favour of the **MOTION**:*

*Crs D Proleta, D Balaza, D Bryant, G Caruso, L Caruso, E Gill, D Pilkington, S Reardon, G Reynolds and S White*

*The following members responded to the Mayor's call as having voted against the **MOTION**:*

*Crs B Brug, C Buchanan, J Woodman and R Zahra*

*The Mayor declared the **MOTION** was **CARRIED***



**QON1 Number of Confidential Items 2007-2017**

Cr Chad Buchanan submitted the following question:

Can the Mayor please provide Council with the number and percentage of Council agenda items and reports considered in confidence or declared confidential for each year from 2007 – 2017.

*Chief Executive Officer, Mr John Harry has provided the following response:*

	<i>No. of items considered in confidence</i>	<i>Confidential items as a % of total no. of items considered</i>
2006/07	1	0.20%
2007/08	6	1.00%
2008/09	4	0.80%
2009/10	5	1.19%
2010/11	12	2.15%
2011/12	14	2.33%
2012/13	14	2.54%
2013/14	34	5.61%
2014/15	38	6.59%
2015/16	55	9.56%
2016/17	44	8.05%

*Increase in items over the last four years is attributable to consideration of items in confidence associated with confidential property dealings, consideration of program review reports with an impact on employees and consideration of reports relating to Code of Conduct complaints.*

**QON2 Artwork on St Jays Recreation Centre external wall**

Cr Chad Buchanan submitted the following questions:

1. Can the Mayor advise what happened to the artwork that was on the wall of the St Jays Recreation Centre, facing Brown Terrace?
2. As the artwork was completed by students from Thomas More School, was any attempt made to contact the school to see if they wanted it retrieved? Or were there any efforts to preserve the art work by way of photos etc?

*The Acting General Manager City Infrastructure, Ms Karen Pepe, has provided the following response:*

1. *The artwork was lost as part of the demolition of the building.*
2. *The school was not contacted in relation to this artwork. Photos of the artwork had been taken previously and are attached.*



**QON3 Proposed Community Hub**

At the 28/08/2017 Council Meeting, Mr Scott Chappell of Parafield Gardens asked the following questions in relation to the proposed Community Hub:

1. Where are the replacement parks going to be?
2. What full time employment will be gained at the end of construction?

The Questions were taken on Notice.

*General Manager City Development, Mr Terry Sutcliffe, has provided the following response:*

1. *There will be no net loss of carparking at the completion of construction on the community hub project. The carparking currently located on the site will be replaced through the reconstruction of James Street, to provide additional on-street parking to provide short turnover parking, and the balance of parking will be reconstructed on the 12 James Street, Civic Centre site post demolition as an extension of the existing staff carpark. The replacement carparking area will be connected to the community hub through improved footpath network and road crossings supported by upgrades to pedestrian lighting and CCTV. A plan of the replacement carparking was provided as Attachment 3 to Item 1.3.4, Policy and Planning, 18/09/2017.*
2. *A requirement of major projects is the production of a prudential management report to consider Council's strategic objectives, economic impact, financial capacity and project delivery to meet the requirements of Section 48 Local Government Act 1999. The prudential management report was considered by Council under Item 1.10.1, Policy and Planning, 17/07/17) and included an economic impact assessment produced for the project through the REM plan economic modelling system. This modelling identified as per page 21 of the Section 48 Prudential Report*
  - 4.2.2 *Based on the cost of construction works for the Project, the construction output is estimated to result in an increase of up to 150 jobs. This represents a Type 2 Employment multiplier of 3.409, as detailed below.*
    - 4.2.2.1 *The direct effect is estimated to create up to 44 jobs*
    - 4.2.2.2 *The indirect or flow on effect is estimated to result in the gain of a further 81 jobs. This represents a Type 1 Employment multiplier of 2.841*
    - 4.2.2.3 *The consumption effect is estimated to further boost employment by 25 jobs*

*Specific modelling on post-construction jobs from the Community Hub project was not undertaken as part of the preparation of the independent Prudential Report , but the comment was made by the independent consultant that:*

- 4.4.2. *Following completion of the Project, the increase in patronage and activity at the Salisbury Community Hub is likely to provide additional custom and trade for a wide range of businesses in the vicinity of the Project and is therefore considered likely to have a positive impact on business in the proximity.*

---

**QON4 City of Salisbury debt position**

Cr Chad Buchanan submitted the following questions on notice:

1. Can the Mayor advise Council what the current total debt of the City of Salisbury is and is this debt inclusive of expenditure to date or budgeted for the proposed community hub?
2. Can the Mayor advise Council what the projected total debt is for Council in financial years 2017/18, 2018/19 and 2019/20? Is this debt inclusive of a total spend of the estimated \$37 million expenditure on the proposed Community Hub?

*The General Manager Business Excellence has provided the following response:*

1. *The total debt of the City of Salisbury is \$14.6M as at 30 June 2017, with our next repayments due in December 2017 (excludes the amount borrowed for the Salisbury Bowling club approx. \$500k).*

*There is also \$24.9M in Cash Advance Debentures facilities which are currently not drawn down on.*

*These borrowings and facilities provide the funding required to deliver on all Council decision for budget 2016/17 (and previous budgets) effective to the 3rd quarter budget review, inclusive of funding decisions regarding the community Hub.*

2. *The Council endorsed Long Term Financial Plan contains the projections of Council for the coming 10 years. Over the next 3 years the capital program totals \$132M, inclusive of the community hub project, with projected total debt of \$18.7M 2017/18, \$27.7M 2018/19 and \$24.9M 2019/20 of which the Community Hub borrowings total \$18million. Actual debt levels will be dependent on budgets endorsed by Council over the next 3 years, the level of property development proceeds, actual expenditure compared to budget, timing of grants and timing of delivery of the capital program and the associated the level of carry forward projects.*

---

**QON5 Assistance to Salisbury Business Association with public marketing campaign**

Cr Chad Buchanan submitted the following Question on Notice:

1. Can the Mayor tell the ratepayers of Salisbury if any Council staff time, assistance, fact checking or resources was provided to the Salisbury Business Association in its public marketing campaign, promotional material and video productions related to the proposed civic hub or associated potential future land development opportunities and or anything relating to the master plan for the city centre over the last 3 months.

*The Chief Executive Officer, Mr John Harry, has provided the following response:*

*The Mayor and I recently met with the Chairman of the Salisbury Business Association to discuss Council's broad plans for the revitalisation of the Salisbury City Centre. A follow up letter was then sent to the Association which provided a greater level of detail on the information sought and particular aspects of the project.*

*Apart from the meeting and correspondence outlined above, staff have not provided specific services of the type described to the Salisbury Business Association. Any information, apart from that detailed above, has been provided to the Association in relation to the Community Hub via the consultation process for the Community Hub, consistent with the Hub engagement plan process and stakeholder list, and in response to any requests for specific information consistent with Council's Customer Service Charter.*

**QON6 Sale/development of Library site**

Cr Chad Buchanan submitted the following Question on Notice.

*General Manager City Development, Mr Terry Sutcliffe has provided the following responses to the questions:*

1. Can the mayor advise why Salisbury Business Association believe that the current Council building needs to be sold so that the current library site will be freed up for 6 level development?

*A response cannot be provided on behalf of the Salisbury Business Association regarding why they may have a particular view. However the potential sale for either redevelopment or re-purposing has been included in information used for community engagement regarding the proposed Community Hub project, and the estimated return from sale of the site is reflected in the overall budget for the project and in Council's Long Term Financial Plan. Building heights within Salisbury City Centre are defined by the*

---

*Development Plan, which was in turn informed by the Salisbury City Centre Urban Design Framework, both of which are approved by Council. The Development Plan states in part, in relation to the precinct containing the Len Beadell Library:*

***John Street and Civic Square***

*The village atmosphere and mix of main street fine grain land uses that make John Street unique will be retained and regenerated supporting retail, cafes and restaurants on the ground level, with offices and opportunities for mixed use residential development promoted on upper levels.*

*Building heights up to 4 levels are envisaged with upper storeys set back from the ground and first storeys to retain the low scale existing pedestrian scale and character of John Street. Development will connect directly to the civic square area, providing strong active frontages. The existing fine grain street based development should be maintained along the western end of John Street, and new development along the eastern portion designed to reflect this pattern.*

*Pedestrian permeability through to adjoining areas will be improved, with existing pedestrian connections both within streets and through private buildings, carparks and covered malls retained and enhanced. The exterior storage or display of goods or service areas should not compromise pedestrian movement or amenity.*

*In relation to Church Street, the Development Plan also states:*

***Possible Church Street Extension***

*An extension to Church Street to the north is envisaged to create a new high street characterised by wide footpaths, street trees and landscape plantings which will assist in improving the overall appearance and legibility of the centre.*

*Upon completion, this extension to Church Street will provide opportunities for ground level activation incorporating new retail and commercial land uses, mixed use and medium to high density residential development. Building heights up to 6 storeys are anticipated along the Church Street extension within the Core Area.*

*The above provisions of the character statement in the Development Plan are supported by the following Principle of Development Control, noting that the Len Beadell Library site is located within the Core Area:*

***Building Height***

*18. Except where airport building height restrictions prevail, or interface height provisions require a lesser height, building heights (excluding any rooftop located mechanical plant or equipment) should be consistent with the following provisions:*

Core Area

Maximum building height: 6 storeys and 24.50 metres

Transition Area

Maximum building height: 4 storeys and up to 16.5 metres

2. Can the Mayor confirm to the Salisbury Business Association, rate payers and council generally that, council does not need to sell the current council building site to free up the library site for private development?(besides the fact council hasn't made any decision to this affect)

*Council has not made a formal decision to sell either the Len Beadell library site or the current Civic Centre site as this formal decision will be subject to a future Council decision upon these sites becoming available for potential sale and/or redevelopment. However Council has flagged that this is a likely outcome through its commitment in policy documents to the extension of Church Street (requiring the demolition of the existing Civic Centre) and the inclusion of the proceeds of sale of these sites into Council's long term financial plan. Subject to a future Council decision on the existing Civic Centre site and the Len Beadell library site, Council could resolve to retain both sites, sell both sites or retain one of the sites and sell the other.*

3. Can the Mayor confirm that it is possible to for council to determine that we upgrade existing council building and begin an Expression of Interest process for developing the existing library site?

*This is a matter for Council to determine. It is assumed that the referral to an expression of interest (EoI) relates to a public EoI for a private developer to develop the existing Len Beadell Library site. This process could be followed by Council should it so determine, noting that should the existing Civic Centre be retained and upgraded, and an EoI process for developing the Len Beadell Library site be undertaken, the EoI may require incorporation and upgrade of the library into the redevelopment or Council relocating the library to another site to provide for a private development on the existing site.*

4. Can the Mayor confirm that herself, the CEO and or General Manager have been approached by private developers expressing interest in developing the library site over the last 4 years? Can the Mayor confirm if Council was ever advised of these approaches or been presented any correspondence from the private developers expressing interest in the library site or any other site within the city precinct over the last 4 years? If not, why not? Can the Mayor advice who?

*Informal approaches have been made to Council in relation to development opportunities on Council land within Salisbury City Centre over the last four years, but a search of Council records indicates that no formal approaches or proposals have been received over that period (ie since September 2013). A formal*

---

*approach would need to be dealt with as an 'unsolicited bid' and managed through Council's probity processes, and parties that have made informal approaches have been advised of this requirement and the process Council must follow. It is not appropriate for commercial reasons that the names of parties who have made such approaches to Council on a confidential basis be made public, and a formal proposal would require confidentiality and probity to be appropriately managed as an 'unsolicited bid'.*

5. Given that this question on notice was submitted on 8 September, did the Mayor through the CEO make any attempt to contact private developers who may have expressed interest or submitted proposal about developing in the city centre, to seek their permission to have their proposal and correspondence shared with the Council and tabled in response to question 4.

*No. Please refer to the response to Question 4 above*

**QON7 Council Buildings - Building Inspections, Project/Contract Management and Security Issues**

Cr Chad Buchanan submitted the following Questions on Notice.

*General Manager City Development, General Manager Business Excellence, and Acting General Manager City Infrastructure have provided the following responses:*

1. Can the Mayor please tell the Council if we have any employees that hold a builders licence? If so, how many?
  - a. *The City of Salisbury operates in the "Client" side of work whereby the City appoints a suitably qualified/licenced builder to be responsible for meeting legislative Codes for good practice for delivery of building all infrastructure works. There is no legal or legislative requirement for staff at Council to hold a builders licence.*
  - b. *There was a previous long standing Employee within the Projects Division that held a Builders Licence and one of the current Project Managers will be receiving a Building Works Supervisors – (any building) registration in early October.*
2. Do we have the employees with a builders licence present when doing hand over of a new council building from the contractor, for example, some of the recent sporting facilities that have been built?

*Please refer to question 1 above which explains the requirements of holding a builders licence.*

*This is not required for the handover of a building. As part of any building project consultants are engaged to provide professional advice or undertake works such as design which includes services.*



*There are strict legislative requirements before a building can be occupied. A Certificate of Occupancy is issued by a Private Certifier engaged by Council.*

*The procedure is then handed over to the Asset Owner (Property & Buildings Division) of buildings where staff from that Division inspect the facility and make a list of any defects that need to be attended to as part of the warranty period (12 months). Staff from the Division that attend the handover are suitably qualified (tradesperson & Senior Building Designer that holds a Bachelor in Architecture).*

*Staff from the Property & Buildings Division actively monitor the warranty period following up to ascertain if there are any defects that needed to be attended to and liaise with the Project Manager.*

3. Can the Mayor confirm that CCTV has been installed inside the Operations Centre building to monitor staff? Can the Mayor confirm the use of Council Security to monitor the cameras to confirm the arrival and departure time of depot staff?

*CCTV has been installed at the Operations Centre for quite some time. Technology does change and there is currently a program in place that is replacing CCTV from the current analogue technology to the new Avigilon CCTV systems. These works have been undertaken in other Council buildings e.g. Municipal Office at James Street, Community Centres and Libraries as well as public spaces such as the Salisbury CBD & St Kilda. Whilst upgrading CCTV at the Operations Centre in 2016/2017, which was approved by Council, additional cameras were installed to ensure that the front carpark and rear yard had full coverage which also included entry and exit points in the building. The security cameras are not monitored to oversee arrival and departure time of staff but are only monitored if an incident such as unauthorised entry, theft of property or public nuisance is reported. Staff record their start and finish times in a timesheet that is a record of their attendance. As required for Work Health Safety reasons, the CEO and Council has supported the installation of CCTV to ensure safety of staff as well as the protection of Council assets*

4. Does the Mayor believe GPS should be installed in all Council cars, not just field vehicles, including Council Managers and other staff who use a council provided car for OHS reasons (same reason given to field staff)?

*Council has GPS installed in all of its vehicles with the exception of vehicles used for private use in accordance with contractual requirements for individual employees. The value of the vehicle is paid through payroll as a deduction from gross remuneration.*

5. Can the Mayor tell Council if the proposed \$37 Million building is based on a fixed price or a variation order?

*The budget for the proposed Salisbury City Centre Community Hub is based upon cost estimates provided by Council's cost Managers, Rider Levett Bucknall. The cost managers based their estimates upon a schedule of floor areas approved by Council as part of its consideration of the Hub project in July 2015 (Item 1.10.2, Policy and Planning, 20/07/15). Subject to Council decision to proceed to tender on the project, the end cost will be determined by tender responses, and subject to Council's allocated budget for the project.*

6. Can the Mayor explain to Council what a Variation Order (VO) is in relation to a tender for constructing a council building?

*A Variation Order (VO) has no meaning in relation to a Tender. A tender is for the procurement of goods and/or services. A Variation Order (VO) is an alteration to the scope of works in a construction contract in the form of an addition, substitution or omission from the original scope of work.*

7. Can the Mayor please provide the detailed costing for the total project including, a breakdown of the costs for upgrading the existing building and expenditure for each component of the new building.

*A current estimated cost breakdown for the Salisbury City Centre Community Hub project based on 30% completion of design development is as follows:*

<b>Table 1</b>	<b>4 Storey Design Development (30% Drawings)</b>
<i>Demolition &amp; Site Preparation</i>	\$210k
<i>Site Infrastructure &amp; Servicing</i>	\$617k
<i>Building Works</i>	\$28.446m
<i>Contingencies and professional fees</i>	\$7.7m
<i>Furniture Fittings and Equipment/AV/ICT</i>	\$3.43m
<i>Decanting and Relocation</i>	\$85k
<i>Post Occupancy Tuning &amp; Project Communications</i>	\$205k
<i>Open Space / Streetscape Upgrade</i>	\$1.607m
<i>Demolition Civic Building &amp; Carpark Replacement</i>	\$1.06m
<i>Project Client Representative (outside normal professional fee allowances)</i>	Incl.
<b>Total Order of Cost Estimate</b>	<b>\$43.36 (2)</b>
<i>Net Project Cost following identified returns from sale of Len Beadell &amp; 12 James Street land holdings</i>	\$36.36m

The costs identified in the 2015 MPH report for the options of:

- Option 2: New Civic and office building (excluding library) of 4,612m<sup>2</sup> and carpark upgrade
  - Option 3: Refurbishment of existing facilities including all new services, seismic upgrade, office extension, new roof and new carpark at grade, with new gross floor area of 729m<sup>2</sup> (including courtyard infill)
  - Option 4: Toilet refurbishment to DDA/BCA compliance, seismic upgrade and associated base building upgrades
- are summarised in the table extracted from the report below:

Cost Summary Breakdown				
Summary	Option 2 (New Build)	Option 3 (Refurbishment)	Option 4 (Minor Works)	Comments
Demolition & Bulk Civil Works	\$897,500.47	Included Below	Included Below	Percentages for builders margin, etc. is slightly different from Option 2 to Option 3 reflecting an appropriate risk profile for each option.
Construction & Integrated Fitout	\$23,980,138.71	\$19,978,055.00	\$8,826,750.00	Includes all new FFE for Options 2,3 and reused FFE for Option 4
ICT Allowance	\$250,000.00	\$250,000.00	\$250,000.00	As advised by the City of Salisbury
Seismic Upgrade Works	N/A	\$448,332.50	\$1,052,232.50	Option 4 includes additional staging, out of hours work, etc.
Car Park Works	\$192,360.82	\$289,850.00	Excl.	29 car park on grade. Option 3 also includes the demolition of the Annex building.
<b>Sub Total</b>	<b>\$25,320,000.00</b>	<b>\$20,966,237.50</b>	<b>\$10,128,982.50</b>	
Decanting / Relocation	\$180,500.00	\$180,500.00	\$70,000.00	Option 4 assumed decanting of staff off site for eight months to allow access for seismic upgrade works
Temporary Fitout	\$1,844,500.00	\$1,844,500.00	\$663,500.00	
Temporary Accommodation leasing costs	\$1,937,100.00	\$1,291,000.00	\$387,000.00	Option 2 is based on 18 months temporary accommodation, Option 3 is based on 12 months accommodation and Option 4 is based on 10 months temporary accommodation.
<b>Sub Total</b>	<b>\$3,962,000.00</b>	<b>\$3,316,000.00</b>	<b>\$1,120,500.00</b>	
<b>Total ex GST</b>	<b>\$29,282,000.00</b>	<b>\$24,282,237.50</b>	<b>\$11,249,482.50</b>	Includes all project costs e.g. contingencies and fees
Order of Cost Range	\$27.9M to \$30.7M	\$23.0M to \$25.6M	\$10.5M to \$12.1M	

In addition to the above summary, for each of the options a detailed cost estimate schedule prepared by cost managers Rider Levett Bucknall was attached as an appendix to the report. In relation to option 4 (minor works), the major cost items (over \$500k, were identified in the cost estimates as being (noting that these costs are 2015 estimates with escalation calculated using the ABS 3020 Non-residential building construction South Australia Index):

Item	Estimated Cost	With Escalation
Seismic upgrade works	\$1.052m	<b>\$1.073m</b>
Relocation, decanting and temporary accommodation	\$1.12m	<b>\$1.14m</b>
Roof replacement	\$984k	<b>\$1.004m</b>
Amenities and DDA toilet upgrade	\$639k	<b>\$652k</b>
Fit out demolition and reinstatement following seismic works	\$2.104m	<b>\$2.147m</b>
Contingencies	\$1.279m	<b>\$1.305m</b>
Professional fees	\$1.015m	<b>\$1.036m</b>

(The total cost estimate for Option 4 – Minor Works with escalation since 2015 is **\$11.47m** (excl. GST))

---

*In addition, none of the above cost estimates for options 2, 3 and 4 include the cost of a new library. In a 2011 report from Hardy Milazzo Architects which preceded the 2015 MPH report, various options were considered for new civic, administration and library facilities in the Salisbury City Centre and the estimate at that time for a replacement stand-alone library of 3000m<sup>2</sup> was estimated to be \$13.5m. Cost escalation (using ABS 3020 Non-residential building construction South Australia Index since 2011) would bring this estimate to **\$14.2M**.*

*The Hardy Milazzo report was prepared to inform the consideration of the Salisbury City Centre Renewal Strategy by the former Salisbury Town Centre Sub-Committee, and the 2011 cost estimate for a new stand-alone library and other options was used in a presentation to a 15 February 2015 Elected Member Workshop. The document and all workshop presentations were made available to Elected Members after the workshop consistent with current practice.*

8. Can the Mayor advise Council if we have any employees with the appropriate qualifications or experience ie builders licence, quantity surveyor, to go over and check the costings of the proposed new Hub? Has Council at this stage engaged a quantity surveyor for this project?

*Council has engaged Rider Levett Bucknall as cost managers for the Salisbury Community Hub project across the life of the project.*

9. Can the Mayor advise Council what the desirable and essential qualifications are for a Council Project Manager for constructing a major building (sporting club, civic hub), or a project like the St Kilda Playground?

*Essential qualifications of a Council Project manager are:*

- *High level of project management skills in the planning, design, procurement and construction of municipal works.*
- *Demonstrated knowledge of project cost planning and budgeting processes.*
- *Experience in procurement including the preparation of tenders and contract/specifications, evaluation and implementation of new contracts.*
- *Experience in contract administration of infrastructure construction projects*

10. Can the Mayor tell Council if our current project managers have experience and relevant or appropriate qualifications for overseeing these projects?

*The current Project Managers, Divisional Managers and General Managers are suitably qualified. The vast range of staff also have experience ranging up to 30 years in Project Management, some of the technical qualifications include but are not limited to the following;*

- 
- i. *Bachelor of Engineering (Civil & Structural) & a Chartered Professional Engineer*
  - ii. *Bachelor of Applied Science (Environmental)*
  - iii. *Diploma of Surveying*
  - iv. *Bachelor of Surveying*
  - v. *Advanced Certificate in Contract Management*
  - vi. *Bachelor of Management*
  - vii. *Diploma of Project Management*
  - viii. *Bachelor of Construction Management & Economics*
  - ix. *Graduate Certificate in Management*
  - x. *Master of Business Administration*
  - xi. *Integrated Bachelor and Master's Degree in Architecture*
  - xii. *Members of the Australian Institute of Project Management*
  - xiii. *Bachelor of Business*
  - xiv. *Construction Industry White Card*
  - xv. *WHS Specific Training*

11. Can the Mayor please tell Council how many Variation Orders and additional costs incurred due to Variation orders to do with the St Kilda adventure playground capital works?

*Council endorsed a budget of \$4,056,900.00 for the construction of Stages 1a- 1d of the St Kilda Playground. The final construction cost which incorporated various construction contracts was \$4,060,113.73. Included within this were 31 contract variations which were funded from the project contingencies included within the endorsed council budget.*

- i. *\$20,588.40 of variations were related to client driven changes on site.*
- ii. *\$56,992.50 of variations were related to unforeseen latent conditions.*
- iii. *\$224,654.50 of variations were related to safety and compliance requirements.*

*Further changes to the adventure playground were undertaken following Council endorsement due to safework requirements, as well as an upgrade to the wave slide and the construction of an additional wave slide.*

---

## QON8 Current Building and MPH Report

In relation to QON5 'further information relating to proposed Community Hub' at the 28/08/2017 Council Meeting, Cr Buchanan asked further questions in relation to the status of the current building and the MPH Report as follows:

1. Has the Council ever been formally advised in terms of reference to the MPH Report – building issues and reasons for replacement of the existing building besides the two points raised in Part 3, noting the CEO's last answer also, in regards to disability access and earthquake code issues?

To summarise - have Councillors, through the formal reporting mechanism of Council, been advised of the main reasons for the replacement of this building is only disability access and earthquake code - referenced in report of 20 July 2015 – is there anywhere that states the other reasons in detail as per Page 4 of the MPH report of the other reasons?

The Questions were taken on notice.

*General Manager City Development, Mr Terry Sutcliffe, has provided the following response:*

*As part of a confidential report (subsequently released from confidentiality) to the Policy and Planning Committee on 20 July 2015 (item 1.10.2) which scoped the options for a new Community Hub in Salisbury City Centre, the following reference (section 8 of the report) was made to a report prepared by architects MPH in May 2015:*

- 8.1 *While the concept is still being refined, high level order of costs and potential revenue returns from Council-owned sites have been completed to inform scale and delivery options to be explored in the next phase.*
- 8.2 *Prior to the commencement of the community hub project a civic centre feasibility study was prepared, completed in May 2015 by MPH Architects. The MPH report is effectively providing the base line minimum order of costs associated with resolving the building code compliance of the existing civic centre in respect to disability access and earthquake code.*
- 8.3 *The baseline expenditure has an order of costs of **\$11.25 million**, including lease costs involved in decanting staff for a 10 month period due to the disruptions required to complete works on the roof, wall frames and floor of the current building.*

- 8.4 *This order of cost range will deliver a “business as usual” outcome, addressing the building code non-compliance within the existing civic building. This option at the current order of cost has limited ability to deliver Council a contemporary facility that can provide an alternative service delivery option and flexibility in building utilisation and services.*
- 8.5 *The MPH report also considered order of cost options for complete refurbishment of existing footprint and an option for a complete 2 storey rebuild of the current service offering on the civic site including decanting costs and temporary lease fees for the construction period.*
- *To retain and refurbish the existing building including filling in the courtyard to deliver a gross floor area of 5,939m<sup>2</sup> bringing the building up to a contemporary civic/office standard an order of cost range has been identified of **\$24.3 million**.*
  - *To demolish and rebuild on the existing site to a standard office footprint with a floor area of 4,755m<sup>2</sup> on a two storey footprint has an order of cost of **\$29.3 million**. As this rebuild is undertaken on a smaller footprint, it could free up additional site area of 1000m<sup>2</sup> for disposal, subject to decisions on carparking provision.*
- 8.6 *The order of costs outlined above for compliance works, refurbishment or rebuild of our current facility in a model that retains the current mix of uses and service provision are significant. The community hub project has considered alternate delivery scenarios with potential to improve civic and community services when combined in a community hub building, investigating potential benefits of an activity based working model and explore potential for increased shared use to manage floor areas and resultant construction costs.*

*In summary, the issues with existing building identified in the above extract from the report include:*

- *building code compliance of the existing civic centre in respect to disability access and earthquake code*
- *works on the roof, wall frames and floor of the current building*

*The MPH report in full has subsequently also been made public.*

---

**QON9 Exclusive Community Accessible Areas Clarification**

In relation to QON2 ‘Questions relating to background and planning for the proposed Community Hub’ at the 28/08/2017 Council Meeting, Cr Brug sought clarification as to what “exclusive community accessible areas” (refer Part 6) meant in this context.

The Question was taken on notice.

*General Manager City Development, Mr Terry Sutcliffe has provided the following response:*

*In the context of the original response to QON2 (Part 6) to Council 28/08/2017, “exclusive community accessible areas” related to the areas of the building that would be accessible to community members at all times that the building is open to the public.*

**QON10 Pending available space**

At the 28/08/2017 Council Meeting, Cr Buchanan asked the following question in relation to the response provided for QON3 ‘Consideration of former Centrelink building as Council Administrative functions accommodation’.

1. Why the space to become available soon has been ruled out of further consideration.

The Question was taken on notice.

*General Manager City Development, Mr Terry Sutcliffe has provided the following response:*

*The former Centrelink Building at 30 Gawler Street was investigated and confirmed that a tenancy of approximately 1000 square metres. This was not considered for any further investigation due to the size of the tenancy being significantly smaller than the floor area of 6270m<sup>2</sup> for the Fully Enclosed Covered Area scoped for the Community Hub project.*

*Please note this response is in addition to that provided for QON3 at the 28/08/2017 meeting of Council.*

**QUESTIONS WITHOUT NOTICE**



---

**NOTICES OF MOTION** (continued)

**NOM1 Installation of Bus Shelters**

Moved Cr D Bryant  
Seconded Cr D Proleta

1. That staff report back with details of planned bus shelter installations, including any plans to provide ‘age friendly’ shelters to accommodate mobility equipment such as walkers/walking frames.

**CARRIED**  
**2036/2017**

**Bring forward Item GB1 to this point on the agenda.**

Moved Cr R Zahra  
Seconded Cr D Pilkington

That Item GB1 be brought forward to this point on the agenda.

**CARRIED**  
**2037/2017**

**GENERAL BUSINESS ITEMS**

**GB1 Elected Member Request to Attend National Local Roads and Transport Congress in Albany, Western Australia: 6 - 8 November 2017**

*Cr S White declared a material conflict of interest on the basis of the item proposing to pay for his attendance at the National local Roads and Transport Conference. Cr S White left the meeting at 12:05 am on 26/09/2017.*

Moved Cr S Reardon  
Seconded Cr C Buchanan

1. The information be received.
2. Council approve Cr White’s attendance at the National Local Roads and Transport Congress being held in Albany, Western Australia from 6 – 8 November 2017.

**CARRIED**  
**2038/2017**

*Cr S White returned to the meeting at 12:09 am.*

---

*Mayor G Aldridge sought LEAVE of the meeting to bring forward Item GB2 to this point of the agenda and LEAVE was GRANTED.*

**GB2 Fund My Neighbourhood**

Moved Cr L Caruso  
Seconded Cr G Caruso

1. That this report be received.
2. That Council endorses the projects as recommended in Attachment 1 of this report (Item No. GB2, Council, 25/09/2017) for inclusion in the public voting phase of the 'Fund My Neighbourhood' programme noting the caveats in 2.8 of this report.

**CARRIED  
2039/2017**

**NOTICES OF MOTION (continued)**

**NOM3 Flood Management**

Moved Cr D Bryant  
Seconded Cr G Caruso

1. That Staff report back with a comprehensive report providing details of areas within the City of Salisbury that are currently subject to flooding or inundation during periods of heavy rainfall and any planned and ongoing work undertaken to mitigate the impact of flooding across the City.

**CARRIED  
2040/2017**

**NOM4 Recording of Meetings**

Moved Cr C Buchanan  
 Seconded Cr B Brug

1. That Council supports the active engagement of residents in Council decision making.
2. That Council encourages residents to attend Council meetings and sit in the public gallery.
3. That Council recognise, as part of being transparent and open to our rate payers, that residents to be allowed to video record as well as audio record from the public gallery, noting that we are all public representatives and this is the Chamber.
4. That Council note that it previously passed a motion about recording Council meetings for YouTube. Therefore Council recognises that there is no difference if a rate payer wishes to record a Council meeting from the gallery or watch it on YouTube.

**LOST**

*A **DIVISION** was requested by Cr Buchanan and the following members responded to the Mayor's call as having voted in favour of the **MOTION**:*

*Crs D Proleta, D Balaza, B Brug, C Buchanan, L Caruso, G Reynolds and J Woodman*

*The following members responded to the Mayor's call as having voted against the **MOTION**:*

*Crs G Aldridge, D Bryant, G Caruso, E Gill, D Pilkington, S Reardon, S White and R Zahra*

*The decision was **TIED** and the Mayor exercised her casting vote.*

*The Mayor declared the **MOTION** was **LOST***

**PETITIONS**

No Petitions have been received.

**COMMITTEE REPORTS**

**1 Policy and Planning Committee Meeting**

Minutes of the Policy and Planning Committee Meeting held on 18 September 2017 were considered by Council.

*Administration*

**1.0.1 Future Reports for the Policy and Planning Committee**

*Cr G Reynolds left the meeting at 12:26 am on 26/09/2017*

Moved Cr D Pilkington  
 Seconded Cr G Caruso

1. The information be received.

**CARRIED  
 2041/2017**

*Cr B Brug left the meeting at 12:27 am on 26/09/2017*

*Cr D Bryant left the meeting at 12:28 am on 26/09/2017*

*Community Development*

**1.1.1 Draft Intercultural Strategic Plan**

*Cr B Brug returned to the meeting at 12:29 am.*

Moved Cr S White  
 Seconded Cr L Caruso

1. The information be received
2. The Intercultural Strategic Plan Background Paper forming Attachment 1 to this report (Item No. 1.1.1, Policy and Planning Committee, 18/09/2017) be noted.
3. The City of Salisbury Intercultural Strategic Plan forming Attachment 2 to this report (Item No. 1.1.1, Policy and Planning Committee, 18/09/2017) be endorsed.
4. The Intercultural Strategic Implementation Plan forming Attachment 3 to this report (Item No. 1.1.1, Policy and Planning Committee, 18/09/2017) be noted.

**CARRIED  
 2042/2017**

**1.1.2 Change in Opening Hours at Salisbury Recreation Precinct**

*Cr D Bryant returned to the meeting at 12:30 am.*

*Cr G Reynolds returned to the meeting at 12:30 am.*

*Cr D Bryant left the meeting at 12:32 am and did not return.*

*Cr G Reynolds left the meeting at 12:32 am.*

*Cr D Balaza left the meeting at 12:32 am.*

*Cr G Reynolds returned to the meeting at 12:33 am.*

*Cr D Balaza returned to the meeting at 12:34 am.*

Moved Cr J Woodman

Seconded Cr L Caruso

1. That the information be received.
2. That a further report be presented to Council to cover concerns raised in relation to the financial impact on possible changes to opening hours, hot weather policy and minimum attendance numbers.

**CARRIED  
2043/2017**

**1.1.3 Salisbury Secret Garden 2018**

*Cr D Balaza declared a perceived conflict of interest on the basis of being a member of the governing body of the Salisbury Business Association. Cr Balaza managed the conflict by remaining in the meeting but not voting on the item..*

*Cr R Zahra left the meeting at 12:41 am and did not return.*

Moved Cr S White

Seconded Cr B Brug

1. That Council endorse the revised format of the Salisbury Secret Garden detailed in Section 6.4 of this report.
2. That staff prepare a non discretionary budget bid of \$45,000 for the First Quarter Budget Review 2017/18, with staff authorised to commence implementation of the program from the date of this resolution.
3. That a Strategic Advisory Board be established to guide sponsorship and be comprised of representatives from the Council, Salisbury Business Association and industry.
4. That two (2) Council representatives be appointed to the 2018 Salisbury Secret Garden Strategic Advisory Board being Mayor Gillian Aldridge and Cr Julie Woodman.

**CARRIED  
2044/2017**

**1.1.4 Minutes of the Strategic and International Partnerships Sub Committee meeting held on Tuesday 12 September 2017**

**1.1.4-SIPSC1 Appointment of Deputy Chairman - Strategic and International Partnerships Sub Committee**

Moved Cr D Pilkington  
Seconded Cr S Reardon

1. Mr C Moore be appointed as Deputy Chairman of the Strategic and International Partnerships Sub Committee for the remainder of the current Council term of Council, effective 01/10/2017.

**CARRIED  
2045/2017**

**1.1.4-SIPSC2 Amended Policy and Procedures for Assessment of Sister City and Friendship City Relationships**

Moved Cr D Pilkington  
Seconded Cr S Reardon

1. That this report be received.
2. That the Sister City and Friendship City Selection and Maintenance Policy and Procedures as set out in Attachments 1 and 2 to this report (Item No. SIPSC2, Strategic and International Partnerships Sub Committee, 12/09/2017) be noted.

**CARRIED  
2046/2017**

### 1.1.4-SIPSC3 Strategic and International Partnerships Assessment of Strategic International Relationships Workshop

Moved Cr D Pilkington

Seconded Cr S Reardon

1. That the information be received.
2. That the principles for assessing strategic international relationships as set out in Attachment 4 to this report (Item No. SIPSC4, Strategic and International Partnerships Sub Committee, 12/09/2017) be endorsed, noting the following change to part a):
  - a) *All international relationships take into consideration the Council's City Plan and strategic priorities.*
3. That the business case template contained in Attachment 3 of the SIPSC4 report (Item No. SIPSC4, Strategic and International Partnerships Sub Committee, 12/09/2017) be adopted for assessing future strategic international relationships projects.
4. That the matrix framework contained in Attachment 3 of the SIPSC4 report (Item No. SIPSC4, Strategic and International Partnerships Sub Committee, 12/09/2017) be adopted as a tool for conducting an assessment of strategic international relationships projects.
5. That the importance of the International Bird Sanctuary and Bird Migration draft business case is noted and Council's administration progress this as part of critical actions within the City Plan and the St. Kilda Master Plan delivery.
6. That staff prepare a business case assessment for the committee's consideration of the following projects discussed at the workshop held on 22<sup>nd</sup> August 2017:
  - i. Develop the economic elements of the Linyi relationship around technical and knowledge transfer, and explore opportunities to develop a cultural component;
  - ii. Developing a project to build strategic civic building partnerships with communities across Australia (such as indigenous communities, and intercultural communities) to enhance understanding.

**CARRIED  
2047/2017**

---

**SIPSC-OB1 International Partnership/Engagement  
Criteria**

Moved Cr D Pilkington  
Seconded Cr S Reardon

1. The Strategic and International Partnerships Sub Committee recommends that the Sport, Recreation and Grants Committee give consideration to amending the “Youth Sponsorship Guidelines and Community Grants Program Guidelines and Eligibility Criteria” by including the Sister City Program.

**CARRIED  
2048/2017**

*Economic Development*

**1.2.1 Adapting Northern Adelaide Annual Progress Report for  
2016-17**

Moved Cr D Pilkington  
Seconded Cr L Caruso

1. That the Adapting Northern Adelaide Plan report for 2016-17 be noted.

**CARRIED  
2049/2017**



---

## *Urban Development*

### **1.3.1 Mawson Lakes Development Plan Amendment Part 2**

Moved Cr B Brug  
Seconded Cr S White

1. That the City of Salisbury Mawson Lakes Part 2 Development Plan Amendment, incorporating the amendments included in the documents provided in Attachments 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, and 7 of Item No. 1.3.1 of the Policy and Planning Committee agenda 18/9/17 be endorsed as being a suitable variation to the Council's Development Plan.
2. The Chief Executive Officer sign the Schedule 4B Certificate certifying that the Mawson Lakes Part 2 DPA is suitable for approval, and submit the DPA and supporting documents to the Minister for Planning requesting the Minister's approval pursuant to Section 25 (14) of the Development Act 1993.
3. The General Manager City Development be authorised to make minor administrative amendments and changes as necessary to the Mawson Lakes Part 2 DPA that do not alter the approved policy intent of the DPA, to ensure it is suitable for approval by the Minister.
4. The Minister for Planning be requested to separate the requirement for Community Land Revocation for the Shoalhaven wetland area from the Mawson Lakes Part 2 Development Plan Amendment in order to finalise the DPA and assist in the timely adoption of the new state planning policy framework and the Planning and Design Code.

**CARRIED**  
**2050/2017**

### **1.3.3 Discussion Draft - Community Engagement Charter (State Planning Commission) - Consultation Stage 1**

Moved Cr G Caruso  
Seconded Cr D Pilkington

1. That the report be noted.
2. That a response be provided covering the matters raised in Paragraph 3.6 of the report to Policy and Planning Committee, 18 September 2017 Agenda Item no. 1.3.3 to the Local Government Association for inclusion in its submission to the State Planning Commission.
3. That a further report be presented to Council when the final draft Community Engagement Charter is released for consultation by the State Government.

**CARRIED**  
**2051/2017**

---

*Corporate Plans*

**1.7.1 Customer Service Framework and Charter**

Moved Cr D Pilkington  
Seconded Cr J Woodman

1. That the Customer Service Framework and Charter as set out in Attachments 1 and 2 to this report (Item No. 1.7.1, Policy and Planning Committee, 18/09/2017) be endorsed for implementation to enable the remaining projects within the Customer Service improvement Project to be initiated.

**CARRIED  
2052/2017**

## 2 Works and Services Committee Meeting

Minutes of the Works and Services Committee Meeting held on 18 September 2017 were considered by Council.

### *Administration*

#### **2.0.1 Future Reports for the Works and Services Committee**

Moved Cr L Caruso  
Seconded Cr S Reardon

1. The information be received.

**CARRIED  
2053/2017**

### *Property*

#### **2.5.1 Lease Renewal - Parafield Property Holdings - Cross Keys Road Reserve**

Moved Cr E Gill  
Seconded Cr J Woodman

1. The information in this report be received.
2. Staff be authorised to commence public consultation in relation to leasing portions of allotments 200, 202, and 204 in Deposited Plan 71027 and granting an Authorisation Agreement for exclusive use over allotments 201 and 203 in Deposited Plan 71027 to Parafield Property Holdings Pty Ltd as delineated in attached plan (Attachment 2 Item No. 2.5.1, Work and Services Committee, 21/08/2017), through notices in the News Review Messenger and letters to surrounding property owners and residents.
3. Should no objections be received, The Manager of Property and Buildings be authorised to prepare a lease agreement pursuant to section 202 of the Local Government Act 1999 over portions of community land known as allotments 200, 202, and 204 in Deposited Plan 71027 and an Authorisation Agreement over portions of road, known as allotments 201 and 203 in Deposited plan 71027, as delineated on the attached plan (Attachment 2 Item No. 2.5.1, Works and Services Committee, 21/08/2017) between the City of Salisbury and applicant under the following conditions:
  - For a period of 10 years.
  - At a commencing rental rate of \$41,945.97 (including GST) to increase by CPI annually and with market rent review at the 5 year period.
  - The Applicant be responsible for all costs incurred in the negotiations of the lease agreement and all costs in relation to Councils public consultation.

**CARRIED  
2054/2017**

**2.5.4 Minutes of the Strategic Property Development Sub Committee meeting held on Tuesday 12 September 2017**

**2.5.4-SPDSC1 Appointment of Deputy Chairman - Strategic Property Development Sub Committee**

Moved Cr G Caruso  
Seconded Cr D Pilkington

1. Cr G Reynolds be appointed as Deputy Chairman of the Strategic Property Development Sub Committee for the remainder of the term of Council.

**CARRIED  
2055/2017**

**2.5.4-SPDSC2 Tranche 1 Status Update Report**

Moved Cr G Caruso  
Seconded Cr D Pilkington

1. That the report be received and the update on the status of the Tranche 1 program be noted.

**CARRIED  
2056/2017**

***Public Works***

**2.6.1 Capital Progress Report - August 2017**

Moved Cr D Pilkington  
Seconded Cr S Reardon

1. Include within the 2017/18 First Quarter Budget Review the \$47k income received from strata corporations for works completed at Ingle Farm Recreation Centre and Salisbury West Library as part of PR18097 Building Renewal Program.
2. Include within the 2017/18 First Quarter Budget Review the \$15k income gain received from the Coast Protection Board in 2016/17 as part of PR22512 Drainage and Waterways Planning Program.
3. Include Edward Street, Paralowie; Garden Terrace, Mawson Lakes; Murrell Road, Para Hills; Northbri Avenue, Salisbury East; Pentland Road, Salisbury South; and Wyatt Street, Burton, within the 2017/18 Road Reseal Program.
4. Due to failure, bring forward the replacement of an Expander Slasher from 2019/20 into the 2017/18 Plant and Fleet Replacement Program with the associated \$30k funding approved as a non-discretionary budget review item at the 2017/18 First Quarter Budget Review with staff authorised to progress this replacement from the date of this resolution.

**CARRIED  
2057/2017**

## 2.6.2 Funds Expended on Council Community Buildings and Facilities

*Cr J Woodman left the meeting at 12:52 am on 26/09/2017.*

*Cr J Woodman returned to the meeting at 12:55 am on 26/09/2017.*

Moved Cr G Caruso

Seconded Cr C Buchanan

1. That the information be received.

**CARRIED  
2058/2017**

### *Traffic Management*

## 2.7.1 Proposal for Connector Road between Correena Avenue and Halba Crescent, Paralowie

Moved Cr G Reynolds

Seconded Cr C Buchanan

1. That the information pertaining to the implementation of a one way road connecting from Correena Avenue to Halba Crescent, be received and noted.
  - a. Implementation of the roadway be pursued subject to community consultation, and created as a ‘private’ one way roadway from Correena Avenue to Halba Crescent, Paralowie.
  - b. Further, it will also be subject to acceptance of the conditions of use by school staff to keep the roadway closed except for those periods in the morning and afternoon periods on weekdays when the school is in operation. The roadway is strictly to be used as a “kiss and ride” facility during those periods.
2. As the project is not currently funded, it is proposed that a new initiative bid be submitted, based on the estimated cost of \$190,000 for consideration within the 2018/19 budget year.
3. Contributory funding be sought with Paralowie R-12 School.

**CARRIED  
2059/2017**

---

**2.7.2 Salisbury Highway - Cars For Sale**

Moved Cr S Reardon  
Seconded Cr B Brug

1. That the information be received.
2. The situation pertaining to 'cars for sale' along Salisbury Highway, Parafield Gardens continue to be monitored as part of the Inspectorate Team's regular surveillance across the City.
3. That ongoing liaison with SAPOL be maintained to assist in addressing specific issues relating to driver behaviour and road safety.

**CARRIED  
2060/2017**

*Confidential Items*

*Refer to CONFIDENTIAL ITEMS section of Council Minutes*

**2.9.1 Minutes of the Confidential Strategic Property Development  
Sub Committee meeting held on Tuesday 12 September 2017**

### 3 Resources and Governance Committee Meeting

Minutes of the Resources and Governance Committee Meeting held on 18 September 2017 were considered by Council.

#### *Administration*

##### **3.0.1 Future Reports for the Resources and Governance Committee**

Moved Cr D Proleta  
Seconded Cr E Gill

1. The information be received.

**CARRIED  
2061/2017**

#### *Corporate Governance*

##### **3.6.1 Local Government Act Order Making Policy**

Moved Cr D Pilkington  
Seconded Cr J Woodman

1. The Information be received.
2. The Local Government Act Order Making Policy as set out in Attachment 1 to the Resources and Governance Committee 18/9/17 agenda report (Item No. 3.6.1) be endorsed.

**CARRIED  
2062/2017**

##### **3.6.2 Review of Code of Practice - Access to Meetings and Associated Documents - Results of Public Consultation**

Moved Cr L Caruso  
Seconded Cr G Caruso

1. The information be received.
2. The Code of Practice – Access to Meetings and Associated Documents as set out in Attachment 1 to this report (Resources and Governance 3.6.2, 18/09/2017) be endorsed

**CARRIED  
2063/2017**

### 3.6.3 Local Government Association Annual General Meeting 16/11/2017 - Call for Notices of Motion

Moved Cr G Caruso  
Seconded Cr J Woodman

1. The information be received.

**CARRIED**  
**2064/2017**

### 3.6.4 Variations to Delegations

Moved Cr L Caruso  
Seconded Cr G Caruso

1. Having conducted a review of Delegations in accordance with Section 44(6) of the *Local Government Act 1999*, the Council hereby revokes its previous delegations to the Chief Executive Officer, effective from 3 October 2017 of those powers and functions under the following:
  - 1.1 *Heavy Vehicles National Law (SA) Act 2014*
    - Section 174(2)
  - 1.2 *Local Government Act 1999*
    - Section 187(1)
  - 1.3 *Work, Health and Safety Act 2012*
    - Sections 65(1) and 229(1)
2. In exercise of the power contained in Section 44 of the *Local Government Act 1999* the powers and functions under the following Acts contained in the proposed Instruments of Delegation forming attachments to this report (Attachments 2-6, Item No. 3.6.4, Resources and Governance Committee, 18/09/2017), are hereby delegated from 4 October 2017 to the person occupying the office of Chief Executive Officer, subject to the conditions and / or limitations indicated herein or in the Schedule of Conditions contained in the proposed Instrument of Delegation under the following Acts:
  - 2.1 *Heavy Vehicles National Law (SA) Act 2014*
    - Section 174(2)
  - 2.2 *Local Government Act 1999*
    - Section 187(1), Clauses 2(1), 2(3), 2(4), 4, 5(1), 5(2), 6(1), 6(2), 7(2), 7(3), 9(1), 9(2), 9(3), 10(2), 10(2), 10(3), 13(1), 13(3) of Schedule 1B
  - 2.3 *Planning, Development and Infrastructure Act 2016*
    - Sections 73(2)(b)(iv), 73(6), 73(8), 73(9), 74(8), 82(d), 83(i), 83(1)(h), 83(1)(i), 83(2), 84(1)(c)(ii)(B) and 86(2)(a)



---

2.4 *Road Traffic Act 1961*

- Section 33(2)

2.5 *Work, Health and Safety Act 2012*

- Sections 65(1) and 229(1)

3. Such powers and functions may be further delegated by the Chief Executive Officer as the Chief Executive Officer sees fit and in accordance with the relevant legislation unless otherwise indicated in the conditions and/or limitations specified in the Delegations Register.

**CARRIED**  
**2065/2017**

**3.6.5 Verge Development by Residents - Fruit and Vegetable Planting**

Moved Cr D Pilkington  
Seconded Cr S Reardon

1. The report be received and noted

**CARRIED**  
**2066/2017**

---

**6 Budget and Finance Committee Meeting**

Minutes of the Budget and Finance Committee Meeting held on 18 September 2017 were considered by Council.

*Administration*

**6.0.1 Future Reports for the Budget and Finance Committee**

Moved Cr G Reynolds  
Seconded Cr S Reardon

1. The information be received.

**CARRIED  
2067/2017**

**7 Sport, Recreation and Grants Committee Meeting**

Minutes of the Sport, Recreation and Grants Committee Meeting held on 11 September 2017 were considered by Council.

***Administration***

**7.0.1 Future Reports for the Sport, Recreation and Grants Committee**

Moved Cr J Woodman  
Seconded Cr L Caruso

1. The information be received.

**CARRIED  
2068/2017**

***Community Grants***

**7.2.1 Youth Sponsorship - August Applications**

Moved Cr J Woodman  
Seconded Cr S Reardon

1. The information be received.

**CARRIED  
2069/2017**

**7.2.2 Community Grants Program Applications for September 2017**

Moved Cr S Reardon  
Seconded Cr D Pilkington

1. The information be received and noted.

**CARRIED  
2070/2017**

**7.2.3 15/2017: Grace for All Nations Church Inc. - Community Grants Program Application**

Moved Cr S White  
Seconded Cr L Caruso

1. It be noted that, in accordance with delegated powers set out in the endorsed Terms of Reference, the Sport, Recreation and Grants Committee assessed and allocated funding for the September 2017 round of Community Grants as follows:
  - a. Grant No. 15/2017: Grace for All Nations Church Inc. be awarded the amount of **\$2,500.00** to assist with the purchase of audio equipment for the January 2018 Conference as outlined in the Community Grant Application and additional information.

**CARRIED  
2071/2017**

---

**OTHER BUSINESS**

Cr C Buchanan provided further commentary on the Salisbury Heights flooding issue addressed in Public Question Time (PQT1) and asked questions of the Mayor on behalf of Mr Adrian Rigby. The Mayor took the questions on notice.

**MAYOR'S DIARY**

**MD1 Mayor's Diary**

Moved Cr J Woodman  
 Seconded Cr B Brug

1. That this information be noted.

**CARRIED  
 2072/2017**

**REPORTS FROM COUNCIL REPRESENTATIVES**

**Cr E Gill:**

- |            |  |
|------------|--|
| 30/08/2017 | Meeting with Cr Reardon & staff  |
| 31/08/2017 | JYC Advisory Meeting<br>Parafield Airport Master Plan public forum   |
| 5/11/2017  | Radio programme with Mayor<br>Unveiling of Susan Grace Benny Bust at Brighton Council                            |
| 6/11/2017  | Meeting with Christmas Parade group  |
| 13/09/2017 | Meeting with Lawyer  |
| 14/09/2017 | Rotary Op Shop   |
| 16/09/2017 | Jets Athletics open day Come-n-try   |
| 17/09/2017 | Bridgestone Park 'Salisbury Plays'   |
| 19/09/2017 | Radio programme with Jim Binder  |
| 20/09/2017 | Salisbury Senior Citizens 52nd birthday lunch  |
| 21/09/2017 | JYC Open Day<br>Deliver Salisbury Aware to RSL<br>Meeting with SDA at Parabanks<br>ALGWA board at North Adelaide |
| 22/09/2017 | Meeting with councillor at Port Adelaide   |
| 23/09/2017 | Multicultural Festival   |
| 24/09/2017 | Meeting at Highway One Caravan Park  |

**CONFIDENTIAL ITEMS**

**2.9.1 Minutes of the Confidential Strategic Property Development Sub Committee meeting held on Tuesday 12 September 2017**

Moved Cr D Pilkington  
Seconded Cr J Woodman

1. *Pursuant to Section 90(2) and (3)(b)(i) and (b)(ii) and (d)(i) and (d)(ii) of the Local Government Act 1999, the principle that the meeting should be conducted in a place open to the public has been outweighed in relation to this matter because:*
  - *it relates to information the disclosure of which could reasonably be expected to confer a commercial advantage on a person with whom the council is conducting, or proposing to conduct, business, or to prejudice the commercial position of the council; and*
  - *information the disclosure of which would, on balance, be contrary to the public interest; and*
  - *commercial information of a confidential nature (not being a trade secret) the disclosure of which could reasonably be expected to prejudice the commercial position of the person who supplied the information, or to confer a commercial advantage on a third party; and*
  - *commercial information of a confidential nature (not being a trade secret) the disclosure of which would, on balance, be contrary to the public interest.*
2. *In weighing up the factors related to disclosure,*
  - *disclosure of this matter to the public would demonstrate accountability and transparency of the Council's operations*
  - *Non-disclosure of this matter would protect Council's commercial position as public disclosure may provide third parties with a commercial advantage.*

*On that basis the public's interest is best served by not disclosing the **Minutes of the Confidential Strategic Property Development Sub Committee meeting held on Tuesday 12 September 2017** item and discussion at this point in time.*
3. *Pursuant to Section 90(2) of the Local Government Act 1999 it is recommended the Council orders that all members of the public, except staff of the City of Salisbury on duty in attendance and Legal Adviser Mr Michael Kelledy, be excluded from attendance at the meeting for this Agenda Item.*

**CARRIED  
2073/2017**

The meeting moved into confidence at 1.09am on 26 September 2017.

The meeting moved out of confidence and closed at 1.10am on 26 September 2017.

CHAIRMAN.....

DATE.....