



AGENDA

FOR COUNCIL MEETING TO BE HELD ON

25 SEPTEMBER 2017 AT 6:30 PM

IN THE COUNCIL CHAMBER, 12 JAMES STREET, SALISBURY

MEMBERS

Mayor G Aldridge
Cr D Proleta (Deputy Mayor)
Cr D Balaza
Cr S Bedford
Cr B Brug
Cr D Bryant
Cr C Buchanan
Cr G Caruso
Cr L Caruso
Cr R Cook
Cr E Gill
Cr D Pilkington
Cr S Reardon
Cr G Reynolds
Cr S White
Cr J Woodman
Cr R Zahra

REQUIRED STAFF

Chief Executive Officer, Mr J Harry
General Manager Business Excellence, Mr C Mansueto
General Manager City Development, Mr T Sutcliffe
Acting General Manager City Infrastructure, Ms K Pepe
General Manager Community Development, Ms P Webb
Acting Manager Governance, Ms J Rowett
Manager Communications and Customer Relations, Mr M Bennington
Legal Adviser, Mr M Kelledy
Governance Support Officer, Ms K Boyd

PRAYER

Father in heaven

We thank you for the wondrous resources of our City, for its people, its environment and its sense of community.

We thank you for the opportunity to now deliberate over how best to help our community.

Please bless that we will respect one another and that we will all do our best to make decisions that will help our community to grow and prosper.

Bless our efforts this day in God's name.

Amen.

KAURNA ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The City of Salisbury acknowledges that we are meeting on the traditional Country of the Kurna people of the Adelaide Plains and pays respect to Elders past and present. We recognise and respect their cultural heritage, beliefs and relationship with the land. We acknowledge that they are of continuing importance to the Kurna people living today.

APOLOGIES

LEAVE OF ABSENCE

Leave of absence for this meeting was previously granted to Cr R Cook.

PUBLIC QUESTION TIME

PQT1 Salisbury Heights Flooding

Mr Adrian Rigby from Salisbury Heights has submitted the following questions in relation to the Marilyn Court water catchment drain at Salisbury Heights:

1. We see that there has been what we consider an interim fix to the inlet by attaching a grid to the front of the concrete entry. Is this the final solution to the requested fix?
2. Has this been presented as an engineering solution and if not, how was this fix reported to be done?
3. I was made aware by a Councillor that this has been a known issue prior to the flood and funding was a problem. Why was this not considered then? After the flood, I was provided photo evidence by surrounding neighbours and letters relating to the known issues prior to the flood.

Mr Ian Rigby may also be in attendance.

The Chief Executive Officer, Mr John Harry has provided the following response:

1. *With respect to blockages, the extension of the grid further in front will significantly decrease the likelihood of blockage, against the pipe. However, it should be noted that as a result of the incident, Marilyn Court has been put on a "Prior to Storm – Review List" for Field Services to inspect, similar to key entry pits/headwalls in other areas, particularly on Escarpment Dams, prior to major rain events.*
2. *Moving the grid forward has been completed by maintenance staff in accordance with appropriate stormwater practices, but Council is also addressing, highlighted as a result of the event, the alteration of the overflow path to be constructed in 2017/18.*

Moving the grid was considered to be a practical way of improving the functionality of the inlet and was undertaken by maintenance staff. As a consequence of this event, Council is also considering changes to the overland flow path for possible works in 2017/18.

3. *While the information obtained from "a councillor" is not clarified in the question, Council administration believes that those comments made in the past may make reference to flooding issues further up the catchment rather than in the vicinity of Mr Rigby's property.*

Council has from time to time received and considered information regarding stormwater flows in the general locality, but nothing alerted council to the likelihood of the particular problem experienced at this location on the date in question, which occurred during an unusually high /intense rainfall event.

The drainage network in this locality, like all drainage systems, has limited capacity. In times of significant rainfall, overland water flows may occur at various locations within Council's district, and may take some time to dissipate. Areas which are more problematic are identified over time and as part of Council's ongoing infrastructure program, improvements are funded and undertaken within the Council's budget. These projects are ongoing. An example of that is the Anzac drive minor flooding project which will be completed this financial year.

Council's CEO and Staff have met with Mr Rigby to discuss this matter further and it is noted that, at its meeting on 28 August 2017, Council resolved that Staff bring back a report and costings on providing a permanent long term solution at the Anzac Plantation Catchment at Salisbury Heights. This report is in the process of being prepared and will be presented to Council at its October meeting.

DEPUTATIONS

DEP1 Revocation of Community Land Classification on Neales Green

Ms Kay Nash will be in attendance as a deputation in relation to the proposed revocation of community land classification on Neales Green (refer item 2.5.2 of the 18/09/2017 Works and Services agenda).

DEP2 Revocation of Community Land Classification on Neales Green

Mr Steve Hines will be in attendance as a deputation in relation to the proposed revocation of community land classification on Neales Green (refer item 2.5.2 of the 18/09/2017 Works and Services agenda).

DEP3 Community Hub

Ms Sandra Holloway will be in attendance as a deputation in relation to the Community Hub (refer item 1.3.4 of the 18/09/2017 Policy and Planning Committee agenda).

DEP4 Community Hub

Mr Tony Rossi will be in attendance as a deputation in relation to the Community Hub (refer item 1.3.4 of the 18/09/2017 Policy and Planning Committee agenda).

PRESENTATION OF MINUTES

Presentation of the Minutes of the Council Meeting held on 28 August 2017.

Presentation of the Minutes of the Confidential Council Meeting held on 28 August 2017.

QUESTIONS ON NOTICE

QON1 Number of Confidential Items 2007-2017

Cr Chad Buchanan has submitted the following question:

Can the Mayor please provide Council with the number and percentage of Council agenda items and reports considered in confidence or declared confidential for each year from 2007 – 2017.

Chief Executive Officer, Mr John Harry has provided the following response:

	<i>No. of items considered in confidence</i>	<i>Confidential items as a % of no. of items considered</i>
2006/07	1	0.20%
2007/08	6	1.00%
2008/09	4	0.80%
2009/10	5	1.19%
2010/11	12	2.15%
2011/12	14	2.33%
2012/13	14	2.54%
2013/14	34	5.61%
2014/15	38	6.59%
2015/16	55	9.56%
2016/17	44	8.05%

Increase in items over the last four years is attributable to consideration of items in confidence associated with confidential property dealings, consideration of program review reports with an impact on employees and consideration of reports relating to Code of Conduct complaints.

QON2 Artwork on St Jays Recreation Centre external wall

Cr Chad Buchanan has submitted the following questions:

1. Can the Mayor advise what happened to the artwork that was on the wall of the St Jays Recreation Centre, facing Brown Terrace?
2. As the artwork was completed by students from Thomas More School, was any attempt made to contact the school to see if they wanted it retrieved? Or were there any efforts to preserve the art work by way of photos etc?

The Acting General Manager City Infrastructure, Ms Karen Pepe, has provided the following response:

1. *The artwork was lost as part of the demolition of the building.*
2. *The school was not contacted in relation to this artwork. Photos of the artwork had been taken previously and are attached.*



QON3 Proposed Community Hub

At the 28/08/2017 Council Meeting, Mr Scott Chappell of Parafield Gardens asked the following questions in relation to the proposed Community Hub:

1. Where are the replacement parks going to be?
2. What full time employment will be gained at the end of construction?

The Questions were taken on Notice.

General Manager City Development, Mr Terry Sutcliffe, has provided the following response:

1. *There will be no net loss of carparking at the completion of construction on the community hub project. The carparking currently located on the site will be replaced through the reconstruction of James Street, to provide additional on-street parking to provide short turnover parking, and the balance of parking will be reconstructed on the 12 James Street, Civic Centre site post demolition as an extension of the existing staff carpark. The replacement carparking area will be connected to the community hub through improved footpath network and road crossings supported by upgrades to pedestrian lighting and CCTV. A plan of the replacement carparking was provided as Attachment 3 to Item 1.3.4, Policy and Planning, 18/09/2017.*

2. *A requirement of major projects is the production of a prudential management report to consider Council's strategic objectives, economic impact, financial capacity and project delivery to meet the requirements of Section 48 Local Government Act 1999. The prudential management report was considered by Council under Item 1.10.1, Policy and Planning, 17/07/17) and included an economic impact assessment produced for the project through the REM plan economic modelling system. This modelling identified as per page 21 of the Section 48 Prudential Report*

4.2.2 Based on the cost of construction works for the Project, the construction output is estimated to result in an increase of up to 150 jobs. This represents a Type 2 Employment multiplier of 3.409, as detailed below.

4.2.2.1 The direct effect is estimated to create up to 44 jobs

4.2.2.2 The indirect or flow on effect is estimated to result in the gain of a further 81 jobs. This represents a Type 1 Employment multiplier of 2.841

4.2.2.3 The consumption effect is estimated to further boost employment by 25 jobs

Specific modelling on post-construction jobs from the Community Hub project was not undertaken as part of the preparation of the independent Prudential Report, but the comment was made by the independent consultant that:

4.4.2. Following completion of the Project, the increase in patronage and activity at the Salisbury Community Hub is likely to provide additional custom and trade for a wide range of businesses in the vicinity of the Project and is therefore considered likely to have a positive impact on business in the proximity.

QON4 City of Salisbury debt position

Cr Chad Buchanan has submitted the following questions on notice:

1. Can the Mayor advise Council what the current total debt of the City of Salisbury is and is this debt inclusive of expenditure to date or budgeted for the proposed community hub?
2. Can the Mayor advise Council what the projected total debt is for Council in financial years 2017/18, 2018/19 and 2019/20? Is this debt inclusive of a total spend of the estimated \$37 million expenditure on the proposed Community Hub?

The General Manager Business Excellence has provided the following response:

1. *The total debt of the City of Salisbury is \$14.6M as at 30 June 2017, with our next repayments due in December 2017 (excludes the amount borrowed for the Salisbury Bowling club approx. \$500k).*

There is also \$24.9M in Cash Advance Debentures facilities which are currently not drawn down on.

These borrowings and facilities provide the funding required to deliver on all Council decision for budget 2016/17 (and previous budgets) effective to the 3rd quarter budget review, inclusive of funding decisions regarding the community Hub.

2. *The Council endorsed Long Term Financial Plan contains the projections of Council for the coming 10 years. Over the next 3 years the capital program totals \$132M, inclusive of the community hub project, with projected total debt of \$18.7M 2017/18, \$27.7M 2018/19 and \$24.9M 2019/20 of which the Community Hub borrowings total \$18million. Actual debt levels will be dependent on budgets endorsed by Council over the next 3 years, the level of property development proceeds, actual expenditure compared to budget, timing of grants and timing of delivery of the capital program and the associated the level of carry forward projects.*

QON5 Assistance to Salisbury Business Association with public marketing campaign

Cr Chad Buchanan has submitted the following Question on Notice:

1. Can the Mayor tell the ratepayers of Salisbury if any Council staff time, assistance, fact checking or resources was provided to the Salisbury Business Association in its public marketing campaign, promotional material and video productions related to the proposed civic hub or associated potential future land development opportunities and or anything relating to the master plan for the city centre over the last 3 months.

The Chief Executive Officer, Mr John Harry, has provided the following response:

The Mayor and I recently met with the Chairman of the Salisbury Business Association to discuss Council's broad plans for the revitalisation of the Salisbury City Centre. A follow up letter was then sent to the Association which provided a greater level of detail on the information sought and particular aspects of the project.

Apart from the meeting and correspondence outlined above, staff have not provided specific services of the type described to the Salisbury Business Association. Any information, apart from that detailed above, has been provided to the Association in relation to the Community Hub via the consultation process for the Community Hub, consistent with the Hub engagement plan process and stakeholder list, and in response to any requests for specific information consistent with Council's Customer Service Charter.

QON6 Sale/development of Library site

Cr Chad Buchanan has submitted the following Question on Notice.

General Manager City Development, Mr Terry Sutcliffe has provided the following responses to the questions:

1. Can the mayor advise why Salisbury Business Association believe that the current Council building needs to be sold so that the current library site will be freed up for 6 level development?

A response cannot be provided on behalf of the Salisbury Business Association regarding why they may have a particular view. However the potential sale for either redevelopment or re-purposing has been included in information used for community engagement regarding the proposed Community Hub project, and the estimated return from sale of the site is reflected in the overall budget for the project and in Council's Long Term Financial Plan. Building heights within Salisbury City Centre are defined by the Development Plan, which was in turn informed by the Salisbury City Centre Urban Design Framework, both of which are approved by Council. The Development Plan states in part, in relation to the precinct containing the Len Beadell Library:

John Street and Civic Square

The village atmosphere and mix of main street fine grain land uses that make John Street unique will be retained and regenerated supporting retail, cafes and restaurants on the ground level, with offices and opportunities for mixed use residential development promoted on upper levels.

Building heights up to 4 levels are envisaged with upper storeys set back from the ground and first storeys to retain the low scale existing pedestrian scale and character of John Street. Development will connect directly to the civic square area, providing strong active frontages. The existing fine grain street based development should be maintained along the western end of John Street, and new development along the eastern portion designed to reflect this pattern. Pedestrian permeability through to adjoining areas will be improved, with existing pedestrian connections both within streets and through private buildings, carparks and covered malls retained and enhanced. The exterior storage or display of goods or service areas should not compromise pedestrian movement or amenity.

In relation to Church Street, the Development Plan also states:

Possible Church Street Extension

An extension to Church Street to the north is envisaged to create a new high street characterised by wide footpaths, street trees and landscape plantings which will assist in improving the overall appearance and legibility of the centre.

Upon completion, this extension to Church Street will provide opportunities for ground level activation incorporating new retail and commercial land uses, mixed use and medium to high density residential development. Building heights up to 6 storeys are anticipated along the Church Street extension within the Core Area.

The above provisions of the character statement in the Development Plan are supported by the following Principle of Development Control, noting that the Len Beadell Library site is located within the Core Area:

Building Height

18. Except where airport building height restrictions prevail, or interface height provisions require a lesser height, building heights (excluding any rooftop located mechanical plant or equipment) should be consistent with the following provisions:

Core Area

Maximum building height: 6 storeys and 24.50 metres

Transition Area

Maximum building height: 4 storeys and up to 16.5 metres

2. Can the Mayor confirm to the Salisbury Business Association, rate payers and council generally that, council does not need to sell the current council building site to free up the library site for private development?(besides the fact council hasn't made any decision to this affect)

Council has not made a formal decision to sell either the Len Beadell library site or the current Civic Centre site as this formal decision will be subject to a future Council decision upon these sites becoming available for potential sale and/or redevelopment. However Council has flagged that this is a likely outcome through its commitment in policy documents to the extension of Church Street (requiring the demolition of the existing Civic Centre) and the inclusion of the proceeds of sale of these sites into Council's long term financial plan. Subject to a future Council decision on the existing Civic Centre site and the Len Beadell library site, Council could resolve to retain both sites, sell both sites or retain one of the sites and sell the other.

3. Can the Mayor confirm that it is possible to for council to determine that we upgrade existing council building and begin an Expression of Interest process for developing the existing library site?

This is a matter for Council to determine. It is assumed that the referral to an expression of interest (EoI) relates to a public EoI for a private developer to develop the existing Len Beadell Library site. This process could be followed by Council should it so determine, noting that should the existing Civic Centre be retained and upgraded, and an EoI process for developing the Len Beadell Library site be undertaken, the EoI may require incorporation and upgrade of the library into the redevelopment or Council relocating the library to another site to provide for a private development on the existing site.

4. Can the Mayor confirm that herself, the CEO and or General Manager have been approached by private developers expressing interest in developing the library site over the last 4 years? Can the Mayor confirm if Council was ever advised of these approaches or been presented any correspondence from the private developers expressing interest in the library site or any other site within the city precinct over the last 4 years? If not, why not? Can the Mayor advice who?

Informal approaches have been made to Council in relation to development opportunities on Council land within Salisbury City Centre over the last four years, but a search of Council records indicates that no formal approaches or proposals have been received over that period (ie since September 2013). A formal approach would need to be dealt with as an 'unsolicited bid' and managed through Council's probity processes, and parties that have made informal approaches have been advised of this requirement and the process Council must follow. It is not appropriate for commercial reasons that the names of parties who have made such approaches to Council on a confidentiality basis be made public, and a formal proposal would require confidentiality and probity to be appropriately managed as an 'unsolicited bid'.

5. Given that this question on notice was submitted on 8 September, did the Mayor through the CEO make any attempt to contact private developers who may have expressed interest or submitted proposal about developing in the city centre, to seek their permission to have their proposal and correspondence shared with the Council and tabled in response to question 4.

No. Please refer to the response to Question 4 above

QON7 Council Buildings - Building Inspections, Project/Contract Management and Security Issues

Cr Chad Buchanan has submitted the following Questions on Notice.

General Manager City Development, General Manager Business Excellence, and Acting General Manager City Infrastructure have provided the following responses:

1. Can the Mayor please tell the Council if we have any employees that hold a builders licence? If so, how many?
 - a. *The City of Salisbury operates in the “Client” side of work whereby the City appoints a suitably qualified/licenced builder to be responsible for meeting legislative Codes for good practice for delivery of building all infrastructure works. There is no legal or legislative requirement for staff at Council to hold a builders licence.*
 - b. *There was a previous long standing Employee within the Projects Division that held a Builders Licence and one of the current Project Managers will be receiving a Building Works Supervisors – (any building) registration in early October.*
2. Do we have the employees with a builders licence present when doing hand over of a new council building from the contractor, for example, some of the recent sporting facilities that have been built?

Please refer to question 1 above which explains the requirements of holding a builders licence.

This is not required for the handover of a building. As part of any building project consultants are engaged to provide professional advice or undertake works such as design which includes services.

There are strict legislative requirements before a building can be occupied. A Certificate of Occupancy is issued by a Private Certifier engaged by Council.

The procedure is then handed over to the Asset Owner (Property & Buildings Division) of buildings where staff from that Division inspect the facility and make a list of any defects that need to be attended to as part of the warranty period (12 months). Staff from the Division that attend the handover are suitably qualified (tradesperson & Senior Building Designer that holds a Bachelor in Architecture).

Staff from the Property & Buildings Division actively monitor the warranty period following up to ascertain if there are any defects that needed to be attended to and liaise with the Project Manager.

3. Can the Mayor confirm that CCTV has been installed inside the Operations Centre building to monitor staff? Can the Mayor confirm the use of Council Security to monitor the cameras to confirm the arrival and departure time of depot staff?

CCTV has been installed at the Operations Centre for quite some time. Technology does change and there is currently a program in place that is replacing CCTV from the current analogue technology to the new Avigilon CCTV systems. These works have been undertaken in other Council buildings e.g. Municipal Office at James Street, Community Centres and Libraries as well as public spaces such as the Salisbury CBD & St Kilda. Whilst upgrading CCTV at the Operations Centre in 2016/2017, which was approved by Council, additional cameras were installed to ensure that the front carpark and rear yard had full coverage which also included entry and exit points in the building. The security cameras are not monitored to oversee arrival and departure time of staff but are only monitored if an incident such as unauthorised entry, theft of property or public nuisance is reported. Staff record their start and finish times in a timesheet that is a record of their attendance. As required for Work Health Safety reasons, the CEO and Council has supported the installation of CCTV to ensure safety of staff as well as the protection of Council assets

4. Does the Mayor believe GPS should be installed in all Council cars, not just field vehicles, including Council Managers and other staff who use a council provided car for OHS reasons (same reason given to field staff)?

Council has GPS installed in all of its vehicles with the exception of vehicles used for private use in accordance with contractual requirements for individual employees. The value of the vehicle is paid through payroll as a deduction from gross remuneration.

5. Can the Mayor tell Council if the proposed \$37 Million building is based on a fixed price or a variation order?

The budget for the proposed Salisbury City Centre Community Hub is based upon cost estimates provided by Council's cost Managers, Rider Levett Bucknall. The cost managers based their estimates upon a schedule of floor areas approved by Council as part of its consideration of the Hub project in July 2015 (Item 1.10.2, Policy and Planning, 20/07/15). Subject to Council decision to proceed to tender on the project, the end cost will be determined by tender responses, and subject to Council's allocated budget for the project.

6. Can the Mayor explain to Council what a Variation Order (VO) is in relation to a tender for constructing a council building?

A Variation Order (VO) has no meaning in relation to a Tender. A tender is for the procurement of goods and/or services. A Variation Order (VO) is an alteration to the scope of works in a construction contract in the form of an

addition, substitution or omission from the original scope of work.

7. Can the Mayor please provide the detailed costing for the total project including, a breakdown of the costs for upgrading the existing building and expenditure for each component of the new building.

A current estimated cost breakdown for the Salisbury City Centre Community Hub project based on 30% completion of design development is as follows:

Table 1	4 Storey Design Development (30% Drawings)
<i>Demolition & Site Preparation</i>	\$210k
<i>Site Infrastructure & Servicing</i>	\$617k
<i>Building Works</i>	\$28.446m
<i>Contingencies and professional fees</i>	\$7.7m
<i>Furniture Fittings and Equipment/AV/ICT</i>	\$3.43m
<i>Decanting and Relocation</i>	\$85k
<i>Post Occupancy Tuning & Project Communications</i>	\$205k
<i>Open Space / Streetscape Upgrade</i>	\$1.607m
<i>Demolition Civic Building & Carpark Replacement</i>	\$1.06m
<i>Project Client Representative (outside normal professional fee allowances)</i>	Incl.
Total Order of Cost Estimate	\$43.36 (2)
<i>Net Project Cost following identified returns from sale of Len Beadell & 12 James Street land holdings</i>	\$36.36m

The costs identified in the 2015 MPH report for the options of:

- Option 2: New Civic and office building (excluding library) of 4,612m² and carpark upgrade*
- Option 3: Refurbishment of existing facilities including all new services, seismic upgrade, office extension, new roof and new carpark at grade, with new gross floor area of 729m² (including courtyard infill)*
- Option 4: Toilet refurbishment to DDA/BCA compliance, seismic upgrade and associated base building upgrades*

are summarised in the table extracted from the report below:

Cost Summary Breakdown				
Summary	Option 2 (New Build)	Option 3 (Refurbishment)	Option 4 (Minor Works)	Comments
Demolition & Bulk Civil Works	\$897,500.47	Included Below	Included Below	Percentages for builders margin, etc. is slightly different from Option 2 to Option 3 reflecting an appropriate risk profile for each option.
Construction & Integrated Fitout	\$28,980,188.71	\$19,978,055.00	\$8,826,750.00	Includes all new FFE for Options 2,3 and reused FFE for Option 4
IOT Allowance	\$250,000.00	\$250,000.00	\$250,000.00	As advised by the City of Salisbury
Seismic Upgrade Works	N/A	\$448,332.50	\$1,052,232.50	Option 4 includes additional staging, out of hours work, etc.
Car Park Works	\$192,360.82	\$289,850.00	Excl.	29 car park on grade. Option 3 also includes the demolition of the Annex building.
Sub Total	\$25,320,000.00	\$20,966,237.50	\$10,128,982.50	
Decanting / Relocation	\$180,500.00	\$180,500.00	\$70,000.00	Option 4 assumed decanting of staff off site for eight months to allow access for seismic upgrade works
Temporary Fitout	\$1,844,500.00	\$1,844,500.00	\$663,500.00	
Temporary Accommodation leasing costs	\$1,937,100.00	\$1,291,000.00	\$387,000.00	Option 2 is based on 18 months temporary accommodation, Option 3 is based on 12 months accommodation and Option 4 is based on 10 months temporary accommodation.
Sub Total	\$3,962,000.00	\$3,316,000.00	\$1,120,500.00	
Total ex GST	\$29,282,000.00	\$24,282,237.50	\$11,249,482.50	Includes all project costs e.g; contingencies and fees
Order of Cost Range	\$27.9M to \$30.7M	\$23.0M to \$25.6M	\$10.5M to \$12.1M	

In addition to the above summary, for each of the options a detailed cost estimate schedule prepared by cost managers Rider Levett Bucknall was attached as an appendix to the report. In relation to option 4 (minor works), the major cost items (over \$500k, were identified in the cost estimates as being (noting that these costs are 2015 estimates with escalation calculated using the ABS 3020 Non-residential building construction South Australia Index):

<i>Item</i>	<i>Estimated Cost</i>	<i>With Escalation</i>
<i>Seismic upgrade works</i>	<i>\$1.052m</i>	<i>\$1.073m</i>
<i>Relocation, decanting and temporary accommodation</i>	<i>\$1.12m</i>	<i>\$1.14m</i>
<i>Roof replacement</i>	<i>\$984k</i>	<i>\$1.004m</i>
<i>Amenities and DDA toilet upgrade</i>	<i>\$639k</i>	<i>\$652k</i>
<i>Fit out demolition and reinstatement following seismic works</i>	<i>\$2.104m</i>	<i>\$2.147m</i>
<i>Contingencies</i>	<i>\$1.279m</i>	<i>\$1.305m</i>
<i>Professional fees</i>	<i>\$1.015m</i>	<i>\$1.036m</i>

*(The total cost estimate for Option 4 – Minor Works with escalation since 2015 is **\$11.47m** (excl. GST)*

*In addition, none of the above cost estimates for options 2, 3 and 4 include the cost of a new library. In a 2011 report from Hardy Milazzo Architects which preceded the 2015 MPH report, various options were considered for new civic, administration and library facilities in the Salisbury City Centre and the estimate at that time for a replacement stand-alone library of 3000m² was estimated to be \$13.5m. Cost escalation (using ABS 3020 Non-residential building construction South Australia Index since 2011) would bring this estimate to **\$14.2M**.*

The Hardy Milazzo report was prepared to inform the consideration of the Salisbury City Centre Renewal Strategy by the former Salisbury Town Centre Sub-Committee, and the 2011 cost estimate for a new stand-alone library and other options was used in a presentation to a 15 February 2015 Elected Member Workshop. The document and all workshop presentations were made available to Elected Members after the workshop consistent with current practice.

8. Can the Mayor advise Council if we have any employees with the appropriate qualifications or experience ie builders licence, quantity surveyor, to go over and check the costings of the proposed new Hub? Has Council at this stage engaged a quantity surveyor for this project?

Council has engaged Rider Levett Bucknall as cost managers for the Salisbury Community Hub project across the life of the project.

9. Can the Mayor advise Council what the desirable and essential qualifications are for a Council Project Manager for constructing a major building (sporting club, civic hub), or a project like the St Kilda Playground?

Essential qualifications of a Council Project manager are:

- *High level of project management skills in the planning, design, procurement and construction of municipal works.*
- *Demonstrated knowledge of project cost planning and budgeting processes.*
- *Experience in procurement including the preparation of tenders and contract/specifications, evaluation and implementation of new contracts.*
- *Experience in contract administration of infrastructure construction projects*

10. Can the Mayor tell Council if our current project managers have experience and relevant or appropriate qualifications for overseeing these projects?

The current Project Managers, Divisional Managers and General Managers are suitably qualified. The vast range of staff also have experience ranging up to 30 years in Project Management, some of the technical qualifications include but are not limited to the following;

- i. Bachelor of Engineering (Civil & Structural) & a Chartered Professional Engineer*
- ii. Bachelor of Applied Science (Environmental)*
- iii. Diploma of Surveying*
- iv. Bachelor of Surveying*
- v. Advanced Certificate in Contract Management*
- vi. Bachelor of Management*
- vii. Diploma of Project Management*

-
- viii *Bachelor of Construction Management & Economics*
 - ix. *Graduate Certificate in Management*
 - x. *Master of Business Administration*
 - xi. *Integrated Bachelor and Master's Degree in Architecture*
 - xii. *Members of the Australian Institute of Project Management*
 - xiii *Bachelor of Business*
 - xiv. *Construction Industry White Card*
 - xv. *WHS Specific Training*

11. Can the Mayor please tell Council how many Variation Orders and additional costs incurred due to Variation orders to do with the St Kilda adventure playground capital works?

Council endorsed a budget of \$4,056,900.00 for the construction of Stages 1a- 1d of the St Kilda Playground. The final construction cost which incorporated various construction contracts was \$4,060,113.73. Included within this were 31 contract variations which were funded from the project contingencies included within the endorsed council budget.

- i. \$20,588.40 of variations were related to client driven changes on site.*
- ii. \$56,992.50 of variations were related to unforeseen latent conditions.*
- iii. \$224,654.50 of variations were related to safety and compliance requirements.*

Further changes to the adventure playground were undertaken following Council endorsement due to safework requirements, as well as an upgrade to the wave slide and the construction of an additional wave slide.

QON8 Current Building and MPH Report

In relation to QON5 'further information relating to proposed Community Hub' at the 28/08/2017 Council Meeting, Cr Buchanan asked further questions in relation to the status of the current building and the MPH Report as follows:

1. Has the Council ever been formally advised in terms of reference to the MPH Report – building issues and reasons for replacement of the existing building besides the two points raised in Part 3, noting the CEO's last answer also, in regards to disability access and earthquake code issues?

To summarise - have Councillors, through the formal reporting mechanism of Council, been advised of the main reasons for the replacement of this building is only disability access and earthquake code - referenced in report of 20 July 2015 – is there anywhere that states the other reasons in detail as per Page 4 of the MPH report of the other reasons?

The Questions were taken on notice.

General Manager City Development, Mr Terry Sutcliffe, has provided the following response:

As part of a confidential report (subsequently released from confidentiality) to the Policy and Planning Committee on 20 July 2015 (item 1.10.2) which scoped the options for a new Community Hub in Salisbury City Centre, the following reference (section 8 of the report) was made to a report prepared by architects MPH in May 2015:

- 8.1 While the concept is still being refined, high level order of costs and potential revenue returns from Council-owned sites have been completed to inform scale and delivery options to be explored in the next phase.*
- 8.2 Prior to the commencement of the community hub project a civic centre feasibility study was prepared, completed in May 2015 by MPH Architects. The MPH report is effectively providing the base line minimum order of costs associated with resolving the building code compliance of the existing civic centre in respect to disability access and earthquake code.*
- 8.3 The baseline expenditure has an order of costs of **\$11.25 million**, including lease costs involved in decanting staff for a 10 month period due to the disruptions required to complete works on the roof, wall frames and floor of the current building.*
- 8.4 This order of cost range will deliver a “business as usual” outcome, addressing the building code non-compliance within the existing civic building. This option at the current order of cost has limited ability to deliver Council a contemporary facility that can provide an alternative service delivery option and flexibility in building utilisation and services.*
- 8.5 The MPH report also considered order of cost options for complete refurbishment of existing footprint and an option for a complete 2 storey rebuild of the current service offering on the civic site including decanting costs and temporary lease fees for the construction period.*
 - To retain and refurbish the existing building including filling in the courtyard to deliver a gross floor area of 5,939m² bringing the building up to a contemporary civic/office standard an order of cost range has been identified of **\$24.3 million**.*
 - To demolish and rebuild on the existing site to a standard office footprint with a floor area of 4,755m² on a two storey footprint has an order of cost of **\$29.3 million**. As this rebuild is undertaken on a smaller footprint, it could free up additional site area of 1000m² for disposal, subject to decisions on carparking provision.*
- 8.6 The order of costs outlined above for compliance works, refurbishment or rebuild of our current facility in a model that retains the current mix of uses and service provision are significant. The community hub project has considered alternate delivery scenarios with potential to improve civic and community services when combined in a community hub building, investigating potential benefits of an activity based working model and explore potential for increased shared use to manage floor areas and resultant construction costs.*

In summary, the issues with existing building identified in the above extract from the report include:

- *building code compliance of the existing civic centre in respect to disability access and earthquake code*
- *works on the roof, wall frames and floor of the current building*

The MPH report in full has subsequently also been made public.

QON9 Exclusive Community Accessible Areas Clarification

In relation to QON2 ‘Questions relating to background and planning for the proposed Community Hub’ at the 28/08/2017 Council Meeting, Cr Brug sought clarification as to what “exclusive community accessible areas” (refer Part 6) meant in this context.

The Question was taken on notice.

General Manager City Development, Mr Terry Sutcliffe has provided the following response:

In the context of the original response to QON2 (Part 6) to Council 28/08/2017, “exclusive community accessible areas” related to the areas of the building that would be accessible to community members at all times that the building is open to the public.

QON10 Pending available space

At the 28/08/2017 Council Meeting, Cr Buchanan asked the following question in relation to the response provided for QON3 ‘Consideration of former Centrelink building as Council Administrative functions accommodation’.

1. Why the space to become available soon has been ruled out of further consideration.

The Question was taken on notice.

General Manager City Development, Mr Terry Sutcliffe has provided the following response:

The former Centrelink Building at 30 Gawler Street was investigated and confirmed that a tenancy of approximately 1000 square metres. This was not considered for any further investigation due to the size of the tenancy being significantly smaller than the floor area of 6270m² for the Fully Enclosed Covered Area scoped for the Community Hub project.

Please note this response is in addition to that provided for QON3 at the 28/08/2017 meeting of Council

QUESTIONS WITHOUT NOTICE

NOTICES OF MOTION

NOM1 Installation of Bus Shelters

Cr David Bryant has submitted the following Notice of Motion:

1. That staff report back with details of planned bus shelter installations, including any plans to provide 'age friendly' shelters to accommodate mobility equipment such as walkers/walking frames.

NOM2 Anti-Poverty Network

Cr Beau Brug has submitted the following Notice of Motion:

1. That the City of Salisbury allow the Anti-Poverty Network to address Council regarding the Newstart Allowance and how it affects City of Salisbury residents.
2. The City of Salisbury recognises that the Mayor declined their original deputation request on the basis that their issue was the responsibility of the Federal Government.
3. That Council acknowledges that a high number of residents within City of Salisbury are in receipt of Newstart and given the imminent closure of Holden's and associated businesses, City of Salisbury is expected to increase the number of Newstart recipients.
4. Whilst this maybe a federal issue, City of Salisbury Council recognises that it has an important role in expressing local community views and raising awareness on issues of importance and/or relevance to local residents to all levels of Government. Thus it is acknowledged that Local Government plays a role in advocacy for the people who we represent, a large demographic of which are affected by the Newstart Payment.

NOM3 Flood Management

Cr David Bryant has submitted the following Notice of Motion:

1. That Staff report back with a comprehensive report providing details of areas within the City of Salisbury that are currently subject to flooding or inundation during periods of heavy rainfall and any planned and ongoing work undertaken to mitigate the impact of flooding across the City.

NOM4 Recording of Meetings

Cr Chad Buchanan has submitted the following Notice of Motion:

1. That Council supports the active engagement of residents in Council decision making.
2. That Council encourages residents to attend Council meetings and sit in the public gallery.
3. That Council recognise, as part of being transparent and open to our rate payers, that residents to be allowed to video record as well as audio record from the public gallery, noting that we are all public representatives and this is the Chamber.
4. That Council note that it previously passed a motion about recording Council meetings for YouTube. Therefore Council recognises that there is no difference if a rate payer wishes to record a Council meeting from the gallery or watch it on YouTube.

NOM5 Community Hub

Cr David Balaza has submitted the following Notice of Motion:

1. That the report that makes up item 1.3.4 Policy and Planning be received, and the current status of the Salisbury City Centre Community Hub project be noted.
2. That the Salisbury Community Hub Design Development Report – Hassell Studios September 2017 provided as Attachment 2 Item No. 1.3.4 Policy and Planning Committee 18/09/2017) be noted.
3. The proposed Salisbury Community Hub project in its current form be deferred pending the following:
 - a) Staff to undertake and present an updated detailed engineers report in regards to the current condition of the existing council building to be presented to council for consideration.
 - b) Staff to undertake and provide detailed and itemised costings and plans in regards to the upgrade of the current existing council building, including a draft design incorporating a better usage of existing floor space as well as a costing breakdown of each item raised within the engineers report that suggests action is required.
 - c) Upon receipt of the above information, the Concept of the Salisbury City Centre Community Hub be removed from hold to allow for an informed decision to be made.

NOM6 \$43 Million Dollar Council Hub

Cr Chad Buchanan has submitted the following Notice of Motion:

1. Council notes that the Hub is one of the main issues of contention in the community. Council notes that it has made a decision to proceed with spending of \$43 million of ratepayer's money on a new building instead of considering one of the four options outlined in the MPH Builders Report dated 5th May 2015.
2. Elected Members and more importantly the public, were never presented with the following issues with the current building before the consultation, the report contends that:
 - a. Office accommodation is cellular, fragmented and inconsistent.
 - b. Lunchroom is segregated on level 2.
 - c. Some areas are only accessible by stairs which contravenes the disability access code.
 - d. Various workstation sizes possibly creating unnecessary hierarchy issues with staff.
 - e. Work point sizes below minimum guidelines standard of 6 square metres.
 - f. Lack of central amenities and support spaces.
 - g. The Records Building and the Home Assist building are disconnected from the main building thus undermining the desirable characteristics of a contemporary office design.
 - h. Non-compliance with current Building Code of Australia in areas including toilet design, disability access, earthquake performance and energy efficiency.
3. Council acknowledges that the Elected Members and the public were never properly advised or made aware through Council reports of the "key issues in the current Municipal Office" as mentioned in Part 3 of the motion. Instead both Council and residents during public consultation period were only advised of issues of building code non-compliance relating to disability access (toilets), earthquake code and a suggested baseline costing (\$11.25 million) that would be required to repair the Civic Centre to resolve these identified issues. Council notes that the only identified issues presented to Elected Members and the public was in relation to item (h) of part 3 of this motion and not any of the issues (a) - (g) were mentioned thus the consultation was flawed.
4. Therefore Elected Members and the public must consider the full information, options and issues raised in the MPH Builders report, therefore to repair the existing building it may cost less than \$11 million and would be a more viable solution than spending \$43 million and with further 3 percent rate increases to pay for the new proposed building.

-
5. As a result, and as part of a proper due diligence and cost benefit analysis process, Council not proceed at this stage with the current Hub plan until Council has given proper and full consideration of MPH Builders Reports and staff provide costings and explanations of all of the key issues identified in part 2 of the motion and give further consideration to the real “key issues with the Municipal Building” before proceeding with the current \$43 million proposed hub.
 6. Furthermore, request Council to extend the consultation to residents with information received from part 5 before making any further serious decisions as to spending on the Hub.

NOM7 Hub Proposal

Cr Beau Brug has submitted the following Notice of Motion:

1. That Council conduct further consultation now that the Hub Building Report has been released to the public.
2. That Council wait until this consultation is completed before proceeding.

PETITIONS

No Petitions have been received.

COMMITTEE REPORTS

1. Policy and Planning Committee Meeting

Chairman - Cr D Pilkington

Consideration of the minutes of the Policy and Planning Committee Meeting - 18 September 2017 and adoption of recommendations in relation to item numbers:

Administration

1.0.1 Future Reports for the Policy and Planning Committee

It is recommended to Council that:

1. The information be received.

1.02 Minutes of the Tourism and Visitor Sub Committee meeting held on Monday 11 September 2017

1.0.2 TVSC1 Review of Tourism and Visitor Sub Committee

It is recommended to Council that:

1. The information be received.
2. That the Tourism and Visitor Sub Committee continues for the remainder of the current term of Council.
3. That the Tourism and Visitor Sub Committee Terms of Reference be amended to include four Elected Members instead of three Elected Members and that Cr Julie Woodman be appointed to the Sub Committee.

1.0.2 TVSC2 Recreational Vehicle Site in the Salisbury City Centre

It is recommended to Council that:

1. That in-principle support for the purposes of community consultation to use Pioneer Park as a Recreational Vehicle parking site as defined in paragraph 4.2 of this report (Item No. 1.0.2-TVSC2, Tourism and Visitor Sub Committee, 11/09/2017) be endorsed.
2. That public consultation in relation to the use of Pioneer Park (South Terrace) as a Recreational Vehicle parking site be undertaken in accordance with section 202(2) of the Local Government Act 1999 and the City of Salisbury Public Consultation Policy.
3. That following the conclusion of the public consultation process a further report be presented providing the outcomes of the consultation process and the proposed actions as a consequence of the consultation outcomes.

Community Development

1.1.1 Draft Intercultural Strategic Plan

It is recommended to Council that:

1. The information be received
2. The Intercultural Strategic Plan Background Paper forming Attachment 1 to this report (Item No. 1.1.1, Policy and Planning Committee, 18/09/2017) be noted.
3. The City of Salisbury Intercultural Strategic Plan forming Attachment 2 to this report (Item No. 1.1.1, Policy and Planning Committee, 18/09/2017) be endorsed.
4. The Intercultural Strategic Implementation Plan forming Attachment 3 to this report (Item No. 1.1.1, Policy and Planning Committee, 18/09/2017) be noted.

1.1.2 Change in Opening Hours at Salisbury Recreation Precinct

It is recommended to Council that:

1. That the information be received.
2. That a further report be presented to Council to cover concerns raised in relation to the financial impact on possible changes to opening hours, hot weather policy and minimum attendance numbers.

1.1.3 Salisbury Secret Garden 2018

It is recommended to Council that:

1. That Council endorse the revised format of the Salisbury Secret Garden detailed in Section 6.4 of this report.
2. That staff prepare a non discretionary budget bid of \$45,000 for the First Quarter Budget Review 2017/18, with staff authorised to commence implementation of the program from the date of this resolution.
3. That a Strategic Advisory Board be established to guide sponsorship and be comprised of representatives from the Council, Salisbury Business Association and industry.
4. That two (2) Council representatives be appointed to the 2018 Salisbury Secret Garden Strategic Advisory Board being Mayor Gillian Aldridge and Cr Julie Woodman.

1.1.4 Minutes of the Strategic and International Partnerships Sub Committee meeting held on Tuesday 12 September 2017

1.1.4 SIPSC1 Appointment of Deputy Chairman - Strategic and International Partnerships Sub Committee

It is recommended to Council that:

1. Mr C Moore be appointed as Deputy Chairman of the Strategic and International Partnerships Sub Committee for the remainder of the current Council term of Council, effective 01/10/2017.

1.1.4 SIPSC2 Amended Policy and Procedures for Assessment of Sister City and Friendship City Relationships

It is recommended to Council that:

1. That this report be received.
2. That the Sister City and Friendship City Selection and Maintenance Policy and Procedures as set out in Attachments 1 and 2 to this report (Item No. SIPSC2, Strategic and International Partnerships Sub Committee, 12/09/2017) be noted.

1.1.4 SIPSC3 Strategic and International Partnerships Assessment of Strategic International Relationships Workshop

It is recommended to Council that:

1. That the information be received.
2. That the principles for assessing strategic international relationships as set out in Attachment 4 to this report (Item No. SIPSC4, Strategic and International Partnerships Sub Committee, 12/09/2017) be endorsed, noting the following change to part a):
 - a) *All international relationships take into consideration the Council's City Plan and strategic priorities.*
3. That the business case template contained in Attachment 3 of the SIPSC4 report (Item No. SIPSC4, Strategic and International Partnerships Sub Committee, 12/09/2017) be adopted for assessing future strategic international relationships projects.
4. That the matrix framework contained in Attachment 3 of the SIPSC4 report (Item No. SIPSC4, Strategic and International Partnerships Sub Committee, 12/09/2017) be adopted as a tool for conducting an assessment of strategic international relationships projects.
5. That the importance of the International Bird Sanctuary and Bird Migration draft business case is noted and Council's administration progress this as part of critical actions within the City Plan and the St. Kilda Master Plan delivery.

6. That staff prepare a business case assessment for the committee's consideration of the following projects discussed at the workshop held on 22nd August 2017:
 - i. Develop the economic elements of the Linyi relationship around technical and knowledge transfer, and explore opportunities to develop a cultural component;
 - ii. Developing a project to build strategic civic building partnerships with communities across Australia (such as indigenous communities, and intercultural communities) to enhance understanding.

SIPSC-OB1 International Partnership/Engagement Criteria

It is recommended to Council that:

1. The Strategic and International Partnerships Sub Committee recommends that the Sport, Recreation and Grants Committee give consideration to amending the "Youth Sponsorship Guidelines and Community Grants Program Guidelines and Eligibility Criteria" by including the Sister City Program.

Economic Development

1.2.1 Adapting Northern Adelaide Annual Progress Report for 2016-17

It is recommended to Council that:

1. That the Adapting Northern Adelaide Plan report for 2016-17 be noted.

Urban Development

1.3.1 Mawson Lakes Development Plan Amendment Part 2

It is recommended to Council that:

1. That the City of Salisbury Mawson Lakes Part 2 Development Plan Amendment, incorporating the amendments included in the documents provided in Attachments 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, and 7 of Item No. 1.3.1 of the Policy and Planning Committee agenda 18/9/17 be endorsed as being a suitable variation to the Council's Development Plan.
2. The Chief Executive Officer sign the Schedule 4B Certificate certifying that the Mawson Lakes Part 2 DPA is suitable for approval, and submit the DPA and supporting documents to the Minister for Planning requesting the Minister's approval pursuant to Section 25 (14) of the Development Act 1993.
3. The General Manager City Development be authorised to make minor administrative amendments and changes as necessary to the Mawson Lakes Part 2 DPA that do not alter the approved policy intent of the DPA, to ensure it is suitable for approval by the Minister.

-
4. The Minister for Planning be requested to separate the requirement for Community Land Revocation for the Shoalhaven wetland area from the Mawson Lakes Part 2 Development Plan Amendment in order to finalise the DPA and assist in the timely adoption of the new state planning policy framework and the Planning and Design Code.

1.3.2 Parafield Airport Master Plan 2017

See Further Information Report – Page 91

1.3.2FI Rating Strategy 2017/18

It is recommended to Council that:

1. The submission on the 2017 Parafield Airport Master Plan set out in Attachment 1 to this report (Item No. 1.3.2, Policy and Planning Committee, 18/09/2017) be endorsed and forwarded to Parafield Airport Ltd.

1.3.3 Discussion Draft - Community Engagement Charter (State Planning Commission) - Consultation Stage 1

It is recommended to Council that:

1. That the report be noted.
2. That a response be provided covering the matters raised in Paragraph 3.6 of the report to Policy and Planning Committee, 18 September 2017 Agenda Item no. 1.3.3 to the Local Government Association for inclusion in its submission to the State Planning Commission.
3. That a further report be presented to Council when the final draft Community Engagement Charter is released for consultation by the State Government.

1.3.4 Salisbury Community Hub - Design Development Report

It is recommended to Council that:

1. That the report be received, and the current status of the Salisbury City Centre Community Hub project be noted.
2. That the Salisbury Community Hub Design Development Report – Hassell Studios September 2017 provided as Attachment 2 Item No. 1.3.4 Policy and Planning Committee 18/09/2017) be endorsed to proceed to Detailed Tender Drawings at approximately 50% design completion, including application for Development Plan Consent, noting that the project program incorporates a further hold point for review and endorsement of the plans prior to a decision by Council to proceed to tender.

Corporate Plans

1.7.1 Customer Service Framework and Charter

It is recommended to Council that:

1. That the Customer Service Framework and Charter as set out in Attachments 1 and 2 to this report (Item No. 1.7.1, Policy and Planning Committee, 18/09/2017) be endorsed for implementation to enable the remaining projects within the Customer Service improvement Project to be initiated.

2. Works and Services Committee Meeting

Chairman - Cr G Reynolds

Consideration of the minutes of the Works and Services Committee Meeting - 18 September 2017 and adoption of recommendations in relation to item numbers:

Administration

2.0.1 Future Reports for the Works and Services Committee

It is recommended to Council that:

1. The information be received.

Property

2.5.1 Lease Renewal - Parafield Property Holdings - Cross Keys Road Reserve

It is recommended to Council that:

1. The information in this report be received.
2. Staff be authorised to commence public consultation in relation to leasing portions of allotments 200, 202, and 204 in Deposited Plan 71027 and granting an Authorisation Agreement for exclusive use over allotments 201 and 203 in Deposited Plan 71027 to Parafield Property Holdings Pty Ltd as delineated in attached plan (Attachment 2 Item No. 2.5.1, Work and Services Committee, 21/08/2017), through notices in the News Review Messenger and letters to surrounding property owners and residents.
3. Should no objections be received, The Manager of Property and Buildings be authorised to prepare a lease agreement pursuant to section 202 of the Local Government Act 1999 over portions of community land known as allotments 200, 202, and 204 in Deposited Plan 71027 and an Authorisation Agreement over portions of road, known as allotments 201 and 203 in Deposited plan 71027, as delineated on the attached plan (Attachment 2 Item No. 2.5.1, Works and Services Committee, 21/08/2017) between the City of Salisbury and applicant under the following conditions:
 - For a period of 10 years.
 - At a commencing rental rate of \$41,945.97 (including GST) to increase by CPI annually and with market rent review at the 5 year period.
 - The Applicant be responsible for all costs incurred in the negotiations of the lease agreement and all costs in relation to Councils public consultation.

2.5.2 Revocation of Community Land Classification for the whole of Neales Green, a portion of Salisbury Oval and the whole of St Jays Recreation Centre

It is recommended to Council that:

1. The submissions in response to Council's public consultation be received and noted
2. The comments contained in section 4.3 of the Works and Services Committee Agenda of 18/9/17 (item 2.5.2) in response to the issues raised within the submissions be adopted and staff inform relevant parties of Council's determination.
3. The land delineated in Attachment 1 of Works and Services Committee Agenda of 18/9/17 (item 2.5.2) (Land proposed for revocation) and described as Allotment 123 Deposited Plan 6430 known as Neales Green, Portion of Allotment 300 Deposited Plan 55257 known as Salisbury Oval and Allotment 303 Deposited Plan 55257 known as St Jays Recreation Centre be declared surplus to Council's requirements.
4. Having complied with the public consultation requirements of the Local Government Act 1999 and having dealt with the submissions received Council resolves to proceed with the revocation process and authorises the Manager Property and Buildings to prepare and submit the necessary report and related documentation to the Minister for approval pursuant to Section 194 (3) of the Local Government Act 1999.

2.5.3 Expressions of Interest - Facility (Southern End) and fenced playing field at Adams Oval, Salisbury North

*This item was referred to Council for a decision as it was a **TIED** vote at the Works and Services Committee meeting held on 18 September 2017*

It is recommended to Council that:

1. The information in this report be received and noted.
2. Salisbury United Junior Football Club be awarded the lease agreement for the facility (southern end) and fenced playing fields at Adams Oval, Salisbury North as identified in the attached site plan (Attachment 1, Item No. 2.5.3, Works and Services Committee 18/9/2017) from 1 October 2017 and expiring on 30 September 2018, in line with all the current sporting club lease period.
3. Staff assist Salisbury United Junior Soccer Club in facilitating discussions with Elizabeth and Districts Junior Soccer Association Inc. for the use of the pitch adjoining the clubrooms for training.
4. Staff to assist in facilitating discussions with Pooraka Football Club, the opportunity for Metro United Women's Football Club to become the Lessee for the facility they currently sub licence from them.
5. Subject to Pooraka Football Club consenting to Metro United Football Club taking on the lease for the facility they currently sub licence, staff proceed with facilitating the lease agreement for a period agreed to by both parties expiring on 30 September 2018, in line with the current sporting club leased period.

2.5.4 Minutes of the Strategic Property Development Sub Committee meeting held on Tuesday 12 September 2017

2.5.4 SPDSC1 Appointment of Deputy Chairman - Strategic Property Development Sub Committee

It is recommended to Council that:

1. Cr G Reynolds be appointed as Deputy Chairman of the Strategic Property Development Sub Committee for the remainder of the term of Council.

2.5.4 SPDSC2 Tranche 1 Status Update Report

It is recommended to Council that:

1. That the report be received and the update on the status of the Tranche 1 program be noted.

Public Works

2.6.1 Capital Progress Report - August 2017

It is recommended to Council that:

1. Include within the 2017/18 First Quarter Budget Review the \$47k income received from strata corporations for works completed at Ingle Farm Recreation Centre and Salisbury West Library as part of PR18097 Building Renewal Program.
2. Include within the 2017/18 First Quarter Budget Review the \$15k income gain received from the Coast Protection Board in 2016/17 as part of PR22512 Drainage and Waterways Planning Program.
3. Include Edward Street, Paralowie; Garden Terrace, Mawson Lakes; Murrell Road, Para Hills; Northbri Avenue, Salisbury East; Pentland Road, Salisbury South; and Wyatt Street, Burton, within the 2017/18 Road Reseal Program.
4. Due to failure, bring forward the replacement of an Expander Slasher from 2019/20 into the 2017/18 Plant and Fleet Replacement Program with the associated \$30k funding approved as a non-discretionary budget review item at the 2017/18 First Quarter Budget Review with staff authorised to progress this replacement from the date of this resolution.

2.6.2 Funds Expended on Council Community Buildings and Facilities

It is recommended to Council that:

1. That the information be received

Traffic Management

2.7.1 Proposal for Connector Road between Correena Avenue and Halba Crescent, Paralowie

It is recommended to Council that:

1. That the information pertaining to the implementation of a one way road connecting from Correena Avenue to Halba Crescent, be received and noted.
 - a. Implementation of the roadway be pursued subject to community consultation, and created as a ‘private’ one way roadway from Correena Avenue to Halba Crescent, Paralowie.
 - b. Further, it will also be subject to acceptance of the conditions of use by school staff to keep the roadway closed except for those periods in the morning and afternoon periods on weekdays when the school is in operation. The roadway is strictly to be used as a “kiss and ride” facility during those periods.
2. As the project is not currently funded, it is proposed that a new initiative bid be submitted, based on the estimated cost of \$190,000 for consideration within the 2018/19 budget year.
3. Contributory funding be sought with Paralowie R-12 School.

2.7.2 Salisbury Highway - Cars For Sale

It is recommended to Council that:

1. That the information be received.
2. The situation pertaining to ‘cars for sale’ along Salisbury Highway, Parafield Gardens continue to be monitored as part of the Inspectorate Team’s regular surveillance across the City.
3. That ongoing liaison with SAPOL be maintained to assist in addressing specific issues relating to driver behaviour and road safety.

Confidential Items

Refer to CONFIDENTIAL ITEMS section of Council Agenda

2.9.1 Minutes of the Confidential Strategic Property Development Sub Committee meeting held on Tuesday 12 September 2017

3. Resources and Governance Committee Meeting

Chairman - Cr S Bedford

Consideration of the minutes of the Resources and Governance Committee Meeting - 18 September 2017 and adoption of recommendations in relation to item numbers:

Administration

3.0.1 Future Reports for the Resources and Governance Committee

It is recommended to Council that:

1. The information be received.

Corporate Governance

3.6.1 Local Government Act Order Making Policy

It is recommended to Council that:

1. The Information be received.
2. The Local Government Act Order Making Policy as set out in Attachment 1 to the Resources and Governance Committee 18/9/17 agenda report (Item No. 3.6.1) be endorsed.

3.6.2 Review of Code of Practice - Access to Meetings and Associated Documents - Results of Public Consultation

It is recommended to Council that:

1. The information be received.
2. The Code of Practice – Access to Meetings and Associated Documents as set out in Attachment 1 to this report (Resources and Governance 3.6.2, 18/09/2017) be endorsed

3.6.3 Local Government Association Annual General Meeting 16/11/2017 - Call for Notices of Motion

It is recommended to Council that:

1. The information be received.

3.6.4 Variations to Delegations

It is recommended to Council that:

1. Having conducted a review of Delegations in accordance with Section 44(6) of the *Local Government Act 1999*, the Council hereby revokes its previous delegations to the Chief Executive Officer, effective from 3 October 2017 of those powers and functions under the following:
 - 1.1 *Heavy Vehicles National Law (SA) Act 2014*
 - Section 174(2)
 - 1.2 *Local Government Act 1999*
 - Section 187(1)
 - 1.3 *Work, Health and Safety Act 2012*
 - Sections 65(1) and 229(1)

2. In exercise of the power contained in Section 44 of the *Local Government Act 1999* the powers and functions under the following Acts contained in the proposed Instruments of Delegation forming attachments to this report (Attachments 2-6, Item No. 3.6.4, Resources and Governance Committee, 18/09/2017), are hereby delegated from 4 October 2017 to the person occupying the office of Chief Executive Officer, subject to the conditions and / or limitations indicated herein or in the Schedule of Conditions contained in the proposed Instrument of Delegation under the following Acts:
 - 2.1 *Heavy Vehicles National Law (SA) Act 2014*
 - Section 174(2)
 - 2.2 *Local Government Act 1999*
 - Section 187(1), Clauses 2(1), 2(3), 2(4), 4, 5(1), 5(2), 6(1), 6(2), 7(2), 7(3), 9(1), 9(2), 9(3), 10(2), 10(3), 13(1), 13(3) of Schedule 1B
 - 2.3 *Planning, Development and Infrastructure Act 2016*
 - Sections 73(2)(b)(iv), 73(6), 73(8), 73(9), 74(8), 82(d), 83(i), 83(1)(h), 83(1)(i), 83(2), 84(1)(c)(ii)(B) and 86(2)(a)
 - 2.4 *Road Traffic Act 1961*
 - Section 33(2)
 - 2.5 *Work, Health and Safety Act 2012*
 - Sections 65(1) and 229(1)

3. Such powers and functions may be further delegated by the Chief Executive Officer as the Chief Executive Officer sees fit and in accordance with the relevant legislation unless otherwise indicated in the conditions and/or limitations specified in the Delegations Register.

3.6.5 Verge Development by Residents - Fruit and Vegetable Planting

It is recommended to Council that:

1. The report be received and noted

6. Budget and Finance Committee Meeting

Chairman - Cr R Zahra

Consideration of the minutes of the Budget and Finance Committee Meeting - 18 September 2017 and adoption of recommendations in relation to item numbers:

Administration

6.0.1 Future Reports for the Budget and Finance Committee

It is recommended to Council that:

1. The information be received.

7. Sport, Recreation and Grants Committee Meeting

Chairman - Cr L Caruso

Consideration of the minutes of the Sport, Recreation and Grants Committee Meeting - 11 September 2017 and adoption of recommendations in relation to item numbers:

Administration

7.0.1 Future Reports for the Sport, Recreation and Grants Committee

It is recommended to Council that:

1. The information be received.

Community Grants

7.2.1 Youth Sponsorship - August Applications

It is recommended to Council that:

1. The information be received.

7.2.2 Community Grants Program Applications for September 2017

It is recommended to Council that:

1. The information be received and noted.

7.2.3 15/2017: Grace for All Nations Church Inc. - Community Grants Program Application

It is recommended to Council that:

1. It be noted that, in accordance with delegated powers set out in the endorsed Terms of Reference, the Sport, Recreation and Grants Committee assessed and allocated funding for the September 2017 round of Community Grants as follows:
 - a. Grant No. 15/2017: Grace for All Nations Church Inc. be awarded the amount of **\$2,500.00** to assist with the purchase of audio equipment for the January 2018 Conference as outlined in the Community Grant Application and additional information.

7.2.4 19/2017: Para Hills Wanderers Netball Club Inc. - Community Grants Program Application

It is recommended to Council that:

1. It be noted that, in accordance with delegated powers set out in the endorsed Terms of Reference, the Sport, Recreation and Grants Committee assessed and allocated funding for the September 2017 round of Community Grants as follows:
 - a. Grant No. 19/2017: Para Hills Wanderers Netball Club Inc. be awarded the amount of **\$1,500.00** to assist with the purchase of the netball shoe subsidy and workshop facilitator (podiatrist) for the Injury Prevention Workshop as outlined in the Community Grant Application and additional information.

GENERAL BUSINESS

GB1 Elected Member Request to Attend National Local Roads and Transport
Congress in Albany, Western Australia: 6 - 8 November 2017 97

GB2 Fund My Neighbourhood 115

OTHER BUSINESS

MAYOR'S DIARY

MD1 Mayor's Diary 173

REPORTS FROM COUNCIL REPRESENTATIVES

CONFIDENTIAL ITEMS

2.9.1 Minutes of the Confidential Strategic Property Development Sub Committee meeting held on Tuesday 12 September 2017

Pursuant to section 83(5) of the *Local Government Act 1999* the Chief Executive Officer has indicated that, if Council so determines, this matter may be considered in confidence under Part 3 of the *Local Government Act 1999* on that grounds that:

1. Pursuant to Section 90(2) and (3)(b)(i) and (b)(ii) and (d)(i) and (d)(ii) of the *Local Government Act 1999*, the principle that the meeting should be conducted in a place open to the public has been outweighed in relation to this matter because:
 - it relates to information the disclosure of which could reasonably be expected to confer a commercial advantage on a person with whom the council is conducting, or proposing to conduct, business, or to prejudice the commercial position of the council; and
 - information the disclosure of which would, on balance, be contrary to the public interest; and
 - commercial information of a confidential nature (not being a trade secret) the disclosure of which could reasonably be expected to prejudice the commercial position of the person who supplied the information, or to confer a commercial advantage on a third party; and
 - commercial information of a confidential nature (not being a trade secret) the disclosure of which would, on balance, be contrary to the public interest.
2. In weighing up the factors related to disclosure,
 - disclosure of this matter to the public would demonstrate accountability and transparency of the Council's operations
 - Non-disclosure of this matter would protect Council's commercial position as public disclosure may provide third parties with a commercial advantage.

*On that basis the public's interest is best served by not disclosing the **Minutes of the Confidential Strategic Property Development Sub Committee meeting held on Tuesday 12 September 2017** item and discussion at this point in time.*

3. Pursuant to Section 90(2) of the *Local Government Act 1999* it is recommended the Council orders that all members of the public, except staff of the City of Salisbury on duty in attendance and Legal Adviser Mr Michael Kelledy, be excluded from attendance at the meeting for this Agenda Item.

CLOSE



John Harry
CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER



**MINUTES OF COUNCIL MEETING HELD IN THE COUNCIL CHAMBER,
12 JAMES STREET, SALISBURY ON**

28 AUGUST 2017

MEMBERS PRESENT

Mayor G Aldridge
Cr D Balaza
Cr S Bedford
Cr B Brug
Cr D Bryant (*from 6:42 pm*)
Cr C Buchanan
Cr G Caruso
Cr L Caruso
Cr E Gill
Cr D Pilkington
Cr S Reardon
Cr G Reynolds
Cr S White
Cr R Zahra

STAFF

Chief Executive Officer, Mr J Harry
General Manager Business Excellence, Mr C Mansueto
General Manager City Development, Mr T Sutcliffe
General Manager City Infrastructure, Mr M van der Pennen
General Manager Community Development, Ms P Webb
Manager Governance, Ms T Norman
Acting Manager Communications and Customer Relations, Mr C Treloar
Manager Property and Buildings, Ms K Pepe
Governance Support Officer, Ms K Boyd

The meeting commenced at 6:31 pm.

OPENING PRAYER AND WELCOME

The Mayor welcomed the members, staff and the gallery to the meeting.
The Chief Executive Officer read the Opening Prayer.

ITEM

The Mayor read the Kurna Acknowledgement.

APOLOGIES

Apologies were received from Cr D Proleta, Cr R Cook and Cr J Woodman.

LEAVE OF ABSENCE

LOA1 Leave of Absence

Moved Cr R Zahra
Seconded Cr G Caruso

That Council approve the leave of absence request for Cr Robyn Cook for the period 28 August 2017 to 30 November 2017 inclusive.

**CARRIED
1954/2017**

PUBLIC QUESTION TIME

Mr Scott Chappell of Parafield Gardens asked the following questions in relation to the proposed Community Hub:

1. Where are the replacement parks going to be?
2. What full time employment will be gained at the end of construction?

The Questions were taken on Notice.

DEPUTATIONS

No Deputations were received.

PRESENTATION OF MINUTES

Moved Cr D Pilkington
Seconded Cr S White

The Minutes of the Council Meeting held on 24 July 2017, be taken and read as confirmed.

**CARRIED
1955/2017**

Moved Cr D Pilkington
Seconded Cr S White

The Minutes of the Confidential Council Meeting held on 24 July 2017, be taken and read as confirmed.

**CARRIED
1956/2017**

QUESTIONS ON NOTICE

QON1 **Salisbury Wetlands**

Cr Damien Pilkington submitted the following questions:

1. Which of the current Salisbury wetlands would it be appropriate to kayak/canoe on?
2. Which if any policies or messaging needs to be changed to allow these activities on our wetlands?

Acting General Manager, City Infrastructure, Ms Karen Pepe has provided the following response:

1. *Greenfields Stage 1 (the Watershed) is the only wetland that could reasonably accommodate kayak tours for most of the year.*
2. *The wetlands are designed and operated to capture and filter urban pollutants to prevent them getting into the marine environment. They are routinely monitored, under EPA licences, for over 70 chemicals (eg pesticides, herbicides etc) of concern. There should be no change to Council policy that currently prevents swimming, fishing, drinking from and boating in the wetlands. Managed kayaking activities could be facilitated and appropriately resourced, but only when the water quality meets human contact standards and only when it would not impact on MAR water harvesting activities*

QON2 Questions relating to background and planning for the proposed Community Hub

Cr Beau Brug submitted the following questions to which the *General Manager, City Development, Mr Terry Sutcliffe* provided the following responses:

1. Can the Mayor provide the cost breakdown of the new Hub?
Where does the approx. \$44 million dollars come from?

[Section 7.3 of Item No. 1.10.2 PPC 17 July 2017](#) provided a tabulated breakdown of the \$43.82 million total project cost estimate from the 2016/17 Annual Plan, which is tabulated below. For a more complete outline of the order of costs, refer to the Policy and Planning Committee Report via the link above.

<i>Demolition & Site Preparation</i>	<i>\$0.7m</i>
<i>Site Infrastructure & Servicing</i>	<i>\$0.8m</i>
<i>Building Works & Fit Out including contingencies</i>	<i>\$36.2m</i>
<i>Decanting & Relocation</i>	<i>\$0.1m</i>
<i>Open Space/Streetscape Upgrade</i>	<i>\$2m</i>
<i>Demolition Civic Building & Carpark Replacement</i>	<i>\$3.6m</i>
<i>Electricity Infrastructure</i>	<i>\$0.4m</i>

2. Can the Mayor provide reasoning for the need for the new Hub to be constructed?

The Background section set out in Section 1 of [Item No. 1.10.2 PPC 17 July 2017](#) outlined the strategic objectives for the hub and alignment to Council endorsed strategies including identification as a Critical Action in the endorsed City Plan 2030. In summary these are (extracted from the report):

- *Deliver improved community and civic services and facilities to meet the needs of Salisbury's existing and future community and support increased community use and interactions.*
- *Offers opportunity for rationalisation of Council's existing facilities to:*
 - *secure improved service and operational efficiencies;*
 - *optimise floor space usage; and*
 - *free up Council owned sites within the Salisbury City Centre for strategic outcomes aligned with Council's objectives for the City Centre and return revenue, through alternate development on these sites.*

- *Address the forecast costs associated with the need, in the absence of an alternative, to upgrade the existing Civic Centre to ensure building code compliance in a financially sustainable manner that optimises community benefit from the investment.*
- *Act as a catalyst for the Salisbury City Centre Renewal Project through attraction of private and government investment into the city centre.*

3. Can the Mayor provide the issues with the existing building?

Item No. 1.10.2, Policy and Planning, 20 July 2015, Section 8 referenced the MPH Architects May 2015 report which identified the issues of building code compliance relating to disability access and earthquake code and base line minimum order of costs that were required to be invested in the civic centre to resolve the identified issues. In summary, the key issues identified are:

- *A baseline expenditure requirement of \$11.25m (2015 costs) to complete works on the roof wall frames and floor of the current building, including decanting costs related to the need to vacate the building whilst the work is undertaken*
- *Addressing the building code non-compliance within the existing civic building.*
- *This option was identified as having limited ability to deliver Council a contemporary facility that can provide an alternative service delivery option and flexibility in building utilisation and services*

The report also identified that to refurbish or demolish and build the existing Civic Centre would cost between \$24.3m to \$29.3m but this would not deliver any improved community facilities or align to the objectives of the Salisbury City Centre Renewal Strategy. Further a separate community facility would cost in the order of \$17.26m.

4. Can the Mayor provide the costs of maintaining the existing building?

In 2016/17 approximately \$102,000 has been spent on the Civic Centre and \$40,000 on the Len Beadell Library in building compliance and general maintenance to ensure the building is maintained in a safe and serviceable state.

5. Can the Mayor provide information as to what the proportion of the new planned Hub will be attributed to Council administration staff?

Noting that area schedules are still refining as part of design development (which will include consideration of feedback from community engagement), however the concept plan provided as Attachment 2, [Item No. 1.10.2 PPC 17 July 2017](#), included an area schedule of uses. From this information it can be determined that

the area assigned for Council administration forms approximately 43% of the building area. Noting out of office hours additional workplace meeting rooms will be available for community use equating to approximately a further 5%.

In respect to dollar value of the total project budget the administration accommodation component equates to 37% (\$16,369,450) of the overall budget, as the cost of fit out of the office space is lower than the community spaces.

6. Can the Mayor provide information as to what the proportion of the new planned Hub will be attributed to community use?

See above, and noting that area schedules are still refining as part of design development, the concept plan provided as Attachment 2, [Item No. 1.10.2 PPC 17 July 2017](#), included an area schedule of uses, it can be determined that exclusive community accessible areas of the building equate to 57% during office hours, expanding to approximately 62% out of hours through access by the community to meeting spaces not required by the office.

In addition the project is delivering an additional outdoor terrace (approximately 200m²) and expanded civic square space (approximately 750m²) for community entertainment and activity over and above the internal community spaces contained within the internal floor space numbers above.

7. Can the Mayor provide information as to what the proportion of the new planned Hub will be attributed to Council chambers?

Area schedules are still refining as part of design development. The concept development plan provided as Attachment 2, [Item No. 1.10.2 PPC 17 July 2017](#), included an area schedule of uses including a Council Chamber of 200m² which is equivalent in size to the current chamber at 12 James Street. This equates to 3% of the total floor area of the building. It should be noted that the Council Chamber (and the committee rooms) are proposed to be available for community use outside of Council use.

8. Can the Mayor provide the financial and budget implications of the new Hub compared to maintaining the existing building to the ratepayer?

Item No. 1.10.2, Policy and Planning, 20 July 2015, Section 8 outlined scenarios and costs associated with proposals to repair and upgrade the existing 12 James Street, civic centre.

Item No. 1.10.2, Policy and Planning, 18 July 2016 Section 6.6 set out the targeted improvements to energy costs compared to existing facilities anticipated to deliver a 50% energy cost saving, through achieving an equivalent of 5 star Green Star/ 5 star National Australian Building Energy Rating (NABER) in construction.

9. Can the Mayor provide information as to what benefits the new Hub deliver for the community?

Item No. 1.3.3, Policy and Planning, 20 April 2015 endorsed the Salisbury Community Hub Vision (Attachment 1) and a set of shaping principles (Attachment 2) that outlined the benefits of the project to the community. This report and two endorsed attachments were not confidential and have been publically available to aid discussion on the Community Hub Project since April 2015. Also refer to response to Question 2 above.

Multifunctional Place – A contemporary place that brings together Council’s civic and front of house, learning, meeting, social and community spaces to provide an improved customer experience.

Future Place – Spaces are designed to be future proofed and flexible to easily accommodate uses of different scales and the needs of a constantly evolving community

Vibrant Place – An iconic contemporary place that will act as a catalyst for revitalisation of the Salisbury City Centre, through stimulating private investment in retail, commercial, residential and employment generators

Community Place - Promote the use of capital expenditure to first deliver improved community facilities and services while also meeting Council administrative requirements

Sustainable Place – Consolidation of existing facilities is achieved to promote co-location and shared use efficiencies and improved service provisions.

Implicit in the endorsed Shaping Principles are the benefits of investment attraction and support for business, and improved service delivery to the community.

10. Can the Mayor provide information as to what benefits will the new Hub deliver for council administration staff?

Item No. 1.10.2, Policy and Planning, 20 July 2015, Section 5 outlined the benefits and key principles adopted in the design of the staff accommodation component of the Salisbury Community Hub. In summary the benefits include (extracted from the above report):

- *Contemporary office designs similar to the trends guiding community hub developments have a focus on efficiency and multi-purpose spaces supporting a mobile technology based workforce.*
- *The office accommodation area currently proposed is based on Activity Based Working (ABW) principles providing an open flexible space with a significant reduction in fixed base workstations with a component of ‘hot desk’ solution to optimise desk usage.*

An objective is that these benefits will also assist in delivering enhanced services to the community.

11. Can the Mayor confirm that one of the main reasons provided to council for a new building, is that the current building is not ‘earthquake proof’?

Please refer to response to Question 3 above

Cr Brug sought clarification as to what “exclusive community accessible areas” (refer Part 6) meant in this context.

The Question was taken on notice.

QON3 Question on Notice - consideration of former Centrelink building as Council Administrative functions accommodation

Cr Chad Buchanan submitted the following question:

1. Can the Mayor please tell Council if either the CEO, or a member of staff, or yourself as Mayor, have made enquiries to the status of the former Centrelink building and the possibility of relocating some or all of the Council Administrative functions to the vacant Centrelink site?

General Manager, City Development, Mr Terry Sutcliffe provided the following response:

As set out in Item 1.10.2, Policy and Planning, 20 July 2015, specifically Attachment 3 – Salisbury City Centre Community Hub Phase 2 – Summary Report & Options Analysis, the former Centrelink Building at 30 Gawler Street was not one of the 10 site options (16 sub-options) investigated as part of the shortlisting of five sites for further investigation. As a result of the receipt of this Question on Notice, staff made enquiries in relation to the current status of the Centrelink Building, and have been advised that the building is not yet vacant, and a tenancy of approximately 1000 square metres will soon become available. This is not adequate for Council’s needs.

Cr Buchanan asked a further question as to why the space to become available soon has been ruled out of further consideration.

The Question was taken on notice.

QON4 Question on Notice - expenditure associated with proposed Community Hub, related consultation processes and consultants

Cr Chad Buchanan submitted the following question to which *General Manager, City Development, Mr Terry Sutcliffe* provided the following responses:

1. Can the Mayor tell the residents of the City of Salisbury the following -
 - what is the total expenditure Council has committed and spent on the community hub proposal to date?

Feasibility funding of \$250k was allocated in 15/16 Annual Plan for project scoping, site investigations and land acquisition negotiations. Approximately \$10k remains in this budget.

As of 11 August 2017, the total expenditure spent on the Community Hub project) is \$543,473, against the \$43.36m budget (excluding land transaction and transformer relocation).

Further contracts have been committed for the Design Team, Cost Manager and Project Client Representative equating to \$3.4m in the overall budget, noting that all consultant contracts have been prepared with hold points aligned with Council hold point decisions on the project as endorsed in [Recommendation 2, Item 1.10.1, Policy and Planning, 12 December 2016](#) and endorsed updated program presented as part of [Recommendation 4, Attachment 1, Item No. 1.10.2 PPC 17 July 2017](#).

- how much money has council spent on marketing and promoting the community hub, including the alleged consultation on city centre done previously, which the CEO and Mayor advised council was part of the consultation which led to the proposal of this community Hub proposal?

Initial consultation was undertaken in 2011 in relation to the Salisbury City Centre Renewal Strategy which pre-dated the current SCC Community Hub proposal. The consultation spending for the Renewal Strategy is estimated at \$17,000. The City Plan 2030 was informed by community engagement undertaken in March 2016 including the concept of the Community Hub as a Critical Action. The City Plan 2030 included a \$50k expenditure on engagement and communication. Further, the Community Hub was included in both the 16/17 and 17/18 Annual Plan engagement, with a combined spent for engagement of the plans across both years a total of \$4,000, noting this does not include staff time and use of social media to promote the Annual Plan.

The Community Hub design development community engagement currently underway has an engagement and promotion budget of \$15,000.

- how much money has council spent on consultants, external reports and advice on the community Hub proposal, and any other city centre work which has contained linkages to the proposed community hub?

In addition to the cost identified above, the following consultant work has been undertaken to inform the Salisbury Community Hub proposal and related City Centre Strategic Planning, including an approximate consultant expenditure figure:

- *SCC Renewal Strategy (Hames Sharley) 2011 - \$86,000*
- *SCC Branding 2012 - \$45,000*
- *MPH Architects – Municipal Office & Operations Centre Review (Stage 1) May 2014 and MPH Architects – Stage 2 Civic Centre Feasibility Study May 2015 (Stage 2) equated to a total consultant expenditure of \$49,000*
- *SCC Urban Design Framework (Wax Design) – \$17,00*

QON5 Question on Notice - further information relating to proposed Community Hub

Cr D Bryant entered the meeting at 6:42 pm.

Cr Chad Buchanan has submitted the following questions to which General Manager, City Development, Mr Terry Sutcliffe has provided the following responses to the questions:

1. Can the Mayor explain if residents have been advised about the building or alleged compliance issues with the existing Council building?

The key findings from the MPH Architects report were presented to Council as part of Item 1.10.2, Policy and Planning, 20 July 2015 within the confidential agenda, which has now been taken off confidentiality. The MPH Architect reports are not currently public documents, consequently this information is not currently in the public domain.

2. Can the Mayor advise the costs of upgrading the existing Council building, since Council has become aware and started planning for a new building to accommodate staff and Council Chambers? If so when and how was this done?

Work undertaken to maintain the existing Civic Centre and Len Beadell Library since Council's decision to proceed with the hub concept via the adoption of the 2016/17 Annual Plan has incurred expenditure of:

<i>Level 2 Bathroom Upgrade</i>	<i>\$67,000</i>
<i>Security Camera and Duress Alarm</i>	<i>\$ 4,000</i>
<i>Security Screen / Internal Room</i>	<i><u>\$22,000</u></i>
<i><u>Total</u></i>	<i><u>\$93,000</u></i>

The work undertaken has primarily been to maintain safe and serviceable buildings.

3. Can the Mayor advise as to why was the report and building report of the existing Council building was confidential until recently released, along with the other reports?

As part of Item 1.10.2, Policy and Planning, 20 July 2015 Council resolved that pursuant to Section 91 (7) of the Local Government Act that the report containing the information summary relating to the MPH report addressed in Question 1, remain confidential until such time as a final decision was made on a preferred location of the community hub and/or civic/office accommodation so as not prejudice any commercial negotiations of Council into a site not wholly owned by the City of Salisbury selected as the preferred site of the Community Hub. This confidentiality has recently been lifted. However the MPH Architect reports are not currently public documents, consequently this information is not currently in the public domain.

4. Can the Mayor please advise why residents were not consulted or given the opportunity to provide feedback about the options Council have been considering in confidence over the last few years including but not limited to the various options for upgrade of existing building, new council building, and council/developer joint ventures?

As set out above under Question 3, Council resolved to retain the confidentiality of the site options under investigation and the eventual preferred site until such time as a final decision was made on the location, and negotiations completed to purchase the preferred site so as not to prejudice Council's commercial negotiation position. The confidentiality was a resolution of Council.

5. Can the Mayor please advise why the professional marketing campaign for the proposed Community Hub does not provide information about alternatives, issues with current building or enable residents to provide alternatives?

As part of [Item 1.10.2, Policy and Planning, 17 July 2017](#), Council resolved under Recommendation 3 that the Salisbury Community Hub Design Concept Option B – Four Storey be endorsed to proceed to Design Development. The same report noted as part of Recommendation 1 that community engagement commence as a result of this decision following the lifting of the site confidentiality to run concurrent with design development to be undertaken by the

Design Team across August and September 2017. The engagement plan provides an opportunity for community feedback on the design direction and facility inclusions proposed in the endorsed 4 storey concept plan, with community feedback directly informing the design development refined drawings to be presented to Council as part of the previously identified project hold point in September 2017. The engagement undertaken has been consistent with the information provided in the report to Council and the resolution of Council.

6. Can the Mayor explain why the way the consultation material is designed, gives the impression that Council has made its decision on a new building and is only seeking feedback on design and layout?

Please refer to response to Question 5 above. In addition, the consultation material provided as part of the current engagement activities, including the frequently asked questions and information available on the project at <http://www.salisburycitycentre.com.au/haveyoursay/> includes commentary relating to the future hold points for Council on the project including the following public statements:

“In the second half of 2017, Council will be considering the feedback received during the community consultation in its final designs and before making a final commitment to the community hub,”

7. Can the Mayor please clarify that the consultation is on the concept of a new building as a whole not just on the design on building option B that Council voted on last month? Or has the decision already been made by Council to go ahead with the Hub?

Please refer to the response to Questions 5 and 6 above. The consultation undertaken is consistent with the resolution of Council.

Council has not yet made a final decision to proceed with the proposed SCC Community Hub. As reported to Council previously, there have been and are a series of hold points at which Council can resolve to proceed, hold pending further consideration, or cease the project

Cr Buchanan asked further questions in relation to the status of the current building and the MPH Report.

The Questions were taken on notice.

QON6 Question on Notice - City Centre Association Separate Rate

Cr Chad Buchanan has submitted the following question to which *General Manager, Business Excellence, Mr Charles Mansueto has provided the following response:*

1. Can the Mayor advise council and ratepayers the amount of income the City Centre receives via the rate levy applied to business located in the City Centre?

The separate rate that the Salisbury Business Association (SBA) will receive for 2017/18 is \$178,145 inclusive of GST, providing a net income of \$161,950. (as per June Budget & Finance item 6.6.4 & Council item GB3)

2. Can the Mayor advise what the levy is used for and provide a breakdown of the expenditure?

As per June Budget & Finance item 6.6.4:

This funding enables the SBA to undertake a range of activities including:

- (i) Salisbury Christmas Parade;*
- (ii) food and cultural festival;*
- (iii) cross promotion of businesses in the area; and*
- (iv) support for small businesses and sole traders.*

The SBA priorities for the 2017/18 financial year are:

- (a) Increase in precinct activation activities such as car shows and a range of smaller scale events utilising Judd Lane and Civic Square*
- (b) Significant precinct marketing capitalising on the launch of the SCCBA Facebook page and other initiatives such as:*
 - fortnightly community radio program promoting the SCCBA as a destination and all of the great things about Salisbury,*
 - promotional signage for John Street and Civic Square surrounds,*
 - coordinated school holiday programs with key stakeholders*
- (c) Improved communication strategies with members and key stakeholders through fortnightly E-Newsletter which is hard copy distributed to those without an email address.*
- (d) The development of Member Welcome Packs and Existing Member Information Packs providing all businesses information on key council services, SAPOL, the SBA, local MP, and key government agencies and departments*

(e) *Investigating replacement of the John Street PA System to allow for music reactivation, as well as messages and precinct promotion, and for use during events*

(A profit & loss statement from the Salisbury Business Association was provided as an attachment to the June 2017 Budget & Finance Committee item 6.6.4)

3. Can the Mayor tell Council if the rate levy that council makes available to the City Centre Association is able to be used to recoup some of the expenditure and future expenditure related to the marketing and promotion of the Hub and City Centre revitalisation marketing strategy?

The levy is collected on behalf of the Salisbury Business Association and as resolved by Council needs to be used by the association “to promote and enhance business viability, profitability, and trade commerce and industry in that part of the Council’s area aligned to the activities of the Salisbury Business Association.....

Cr Buchanan requested that the profit and loss statement referred to in the response to Part 2 of his question be included as part of the final response recorded in the Council Minutes. This statement has been included as Attachment 1.

QUESTIONS WITHOUT NOTICE

Nil

NOTICES OF MOTION

NOM1 2018 Christmas Carols Event

Moved Cr B Brug
Seconded Cr G Reynolds

1. That staff report back with information on costs associated with Council holding a Community Christmas Carols event at Mobarra Park/other venues in 2018, including exploration of organisations to deliver the event, sponsorship opportunities and involvement of appropriate media/radio personalities (including the State Government) to support the event.

Cr Reynolds sought leave of the meeting to withdraw his Seconding of the Motion and leave was granted.

The MOTION LAPSED for WANT of a SECONDER

NOM2 Reduction of damage caused by fish/animals - Mawson Lakes

Cr D Balaza declared a perceived conflict of interest on the basis of his employment. Cr Balaza managed the conflict by remaining in the meeting and not voting on the item.

Moved Cr B Brug
Seconded Cr S White

1. That staff report back on options for reducing the damage caused by fish/animals in the waters of Mawson Lakes such as Carp, including consideration of whether stocking the lake with alternative fish species will positively impact the native ecosystems.

**CARRIED
1957/2017**

*The majority of members present voted IN FAVOUR of the MOTION.
Cr D Balaza DID NOT VOTE on the MOTION.*

NOM3 Provision of telephone for use in case of domestic violence/other emergency situations

Moved Cr B Brug
Seconded Cr E Gill

1. That, as part of the consideration of White Ribbon Australia Workplace Accreditation (refer Council resolution 1893/2017), staff investigate options and costs associated with the establishment of a telephone(s) located outside of council buildings which can be used by people fleeing domestic violence or other emergencies situations to obtain assistance from relevant emergency service providers.

**CARRIED
1958/2017**

PETITIONS

No Petitions were received.

Presiding Member in the Absence of the Mayor

Moved Cr C Buchanan

Seconded Cr S White

1. That in the absence of the Mayor, Cr D Pilkington act as presiding member if required.

**CARRIED
1959/2017**

The Mayor vacated the Chair at 7:38 pm.

Cr D Pilkington assumed the Chair at 7:38 pm.

COMMITTEE REPORTS

1 Policy and Planning Committee Meeting

Minutes of the Policy and Planning Committee Meeting held on 21 August 2017 were considered by Council.

Administration

1.0.1 Future Reports for the Policy and Planning Committee

Moved Cr L Caruso

Seconded Cr G Caruso

1. The information be received.

**CARRIED
UNANIMOUSLY
1960/2017**

Community Development

1.1.1 Australia Day 2018 - Options for the Future Management of the Australia Day Event

Moved Cr B Brug

Seconded Cr S Reardon

1. That a non-discretionary budget bid of \$35,000 to deliver Australia Day celebrations in January 2018 be endorsed, with staff authorised to progress this work from the date of this resolution.
2. That a Strategic Advisory Board be established to provide

operational advice on the scale and scope of the Australia Day January 2018 event in line with the evaluation findings contained in section 4.2 of this report (Item No. 1.1.1, Policy and Planning Committee, 21/08/2017) and budget.

3. That the Australia Day January 2018 Strategic Advisory Board be comprised of representatives from the Rotary Club of Salisbury, other service clubs, business and community groups.
4. That two (2) Council representatives be appointed to the Australia Day January 2018 Strategic Advisory Board being Mayor Gillian Aldridge and Cr Damien Pilkington.

**CARRIED
UNANIMOUSLY
1961/2017**

1.1.2 Minutes of the Youth Council Sub Committee meeting held on Tuesday 8 August 2017

Moved Cr S White
Seconded Cr B Brug

1. The information be received and noted.

**CARRIED
UNANIMOUSLY
1962/2017**

1.1.3 Youth Council Membership - Proposal to Remove Two Youth Members; Resignation of Mentor

Moved Cr L Caruso
Seconded Cr R Zahra

1. That in accordance with the process set out in the Youth Council Terms of Reference (section 4.9) letters be sent to Liam Bilborow and Teya Gribble seeking written confirmation of their resignation from the Youth Council and that failing a response within 14 days their membership of the Youth Council be terminated.
2. That the resignation from Andrew Chapman from the position of Mentor on the Youth Council be received and accepted.

**CARRIED
UNANIMOUSLY
1963/2017**

1.1.4 Youth Council Project Team Updates

Moved Cr S White
Seconded Cr R Zahra

1. That the information be received and noted.

**CARRIED
UNANIMOUSLY
1964/2017**

1.1.5 Twelve25 Salisbury Youth Enterprise Centre - August Update

Moved Cr G Caruso
Seconded Cr L Caruso

1. That the information be received and noted.

**CARRIED
UNANIMOUSLY
1965/2017**

The Mayor resumed the Chair at 7:41 pm.

Economic Development

1.2.1 Building Upgrade Finance

Moved Cr R Zahra

Seconded Cr G Reynolds

1. That the City of Salisbury participate in the Building Upgrade Finance mechanism, by offering to enter into Building Upgrade Agreements as defined by Schedule 1B of the *Local Government Act 1999*.
2. The Building Upgrade Agreements Policy as set out in Attachment 1 to this Report (Item 1.2.1, Policy and Planning Committee, 21/08/2017) be endorsed.
3. In exercise of the power contained in Section 44 of the *Local Government Act 1999* the following powers and functions under Schedule 1B—Building upgrade agreements:
 - 2—Enter into a building upgrade agreement;
 - 6—Declaration of building upgrade charge; and
 - 13—Register of building upgrade agreements.are hereby delegated from the 29th of August 2017 to the person occupying the office of Chief Executive Officer which the Chief Executive Officer cannot further sub-delegate.
4. The schedule of fees and charges provided in paragraph 3.13 of this Report (Item 1.2.1, Policy and Planning Committee, 21/08/2017) be endorsed.
5. That it be noted that staff will continue to work with the State Government and the Local Government Association to develop administrative procedures to ensure implementation is consistent with Council's obligations and mitigates potential risks arising from participating in the scheme, with the objective of the scheme being operational by 1 October 2017.
6. That the CEO provide a report to Council of the exercise of powers delegated under Schedule 1B - Building Upgrade Agreements at the next appropriate Council meeting following exercise of the delegation.

**CARRIED
1966/2017**

Urban Development

1.3.1 Annual Report of the Development Assessment Panel for 2016/17

Cr L Caruso declared a material conflict of interest on the basis of being a member of the Development Assessment Panel. Cr L Caruso left the meeting at 7:42 pm.

Cr S White declared a material conflict of interest on the basis of being a member of the Development Assessment Panel. Cr White left the meeting at 7:42 pm.

Cr G Reynolds declared a material conflict of interest on the basis of being a member of the Development Assessment Panel. Cr Reynolds left the meeting at 7:42 pm.

Moved Cr D Pilkington

Seconded Cr G Caruso

1. That the Annual Report of the Development Assessment Panel for 2016/17 be noted.

**CARRIED
1967/2017**

Cr L Caruso returned to the meeting at 7:44 pm.

Cr S White returned to the meeting at 7:44 pm.

Cr G Reynolds returned to the meeting at 7:44 pm.

BREAK

As a consequence of equipment failure, the Mayor provided a break to all present. The meeting was suspended at 7:44 pm.

The meeting reconvened at 7:56 pm.

Subsidiaries

1.6.1 Appointment of NAWMA Board Deputy Member to General Manager City Infrastructure

Cr D Balaza declared a material conflict of interest on the basis of being a Member of the Board of NAWMA. Cr D Balaza left the meeting at 7:56 pm.

Moved Cr D Bryant

Seconded Cr S White

1. Mr Mark Purdie, Manager Field Services, be appointed as the deputy to Mr Mark van der Pennen, General Manager City Infrastructure on the Northern Adelaide Waste Management Authority Board for the remainder of the current term of Council.

**CARRIED
1968/2017**

Cr D Balaza returned to the meeting at 7:57 pm.

Corporate Plans

1.7.1 Salisbury City Centre Renewal - Branding and Tagline

Moved Cr G Caruso
Seconded Cr G Reynolds

1. That the information be received.
2. That “Creating Opportunities, Connecting People” be endorsed as the tagline for the Salisbury City Centre renewal project.
3. That the Community Hub Building Branding Principles as outline in this report (Item No. 1.7.1, Policy and Planning committee, 21/08/2017, 4.18 – 4.25) be endorsed.

**CARRIED
1969/2017**

Cr C Buchanan sought leave to make a personal explanation and leave was granted.

A DIVISION was requested by Cr C Buchanan and the following members responded to the Mayor's call as having voted in favour of the MOTION:

Crs D Balaza, D Bryant, G Caruso, L Caruso, E Gill, D Pilkington,

S Reardon, G Reynolds, R Zahra and S White

The following members responded to the Mayor's call as having voted against the MOTION:

Crs S Bedford, B Brug and C Buchanan

The Mayor declared the MOTION was CARRIED **2 Works and Services Committee Meeting**

Minutes of the Works and Services Committee Meeting held on 21 August 2017 were considered by Council.

Administration

2.0.1 Future Reports for the Works and Services Committee

Moved Cr R Zahra
Seconded Cr S White

1. The information be received.

**CARRIED
1970/2017**

Property

2.5.1 New Lease Agreements with Uniti for existing

telecommunication infrastructure at various Council sites

Cr S Reardon sought leave of the meeting to speak for a second time and leave was granted.

Moved Cr C Buchanan
Seconded Cr G Reynolds

1. The information in this report be received.
2. The Manager Property and Buildings be authorised to prepare a lease agreement over portion of land at 12 James Street, Salisbury, as indicated on the attached plan (Attachment 1, Item No. 2.5.1, Works and Services Committee, 21/8/2017), described as Lot 2 in Deposited Plan 50015 contained within Certificate Title Volume 5608 Folio 704, between the City of Salisbury and the applicant under the following conditions:
 - Until 31 December 2018
 - For rental fee of \$18,000 (net) plus GST
 - Applicant to cover Council's legal costs incurred in the negotiations of the lease agreement.
3. The Manager Property and Buildings be authorised to prepare a lease agreement over portion of land at 120 Cross Keys Road, Salisbury South, as indicated on the attached plan (Attachment 2, Item No. 2.5.1, Works and Services Committee, 21/8/2017), described as Lot 9 in Filed Plan 113393 contained within Certificate Title Volume 5360 Folio 948, between the City of Salisbury and the applicant under the following conditions:
 - For a period of 5 years plus option to renew for 5+5+5 years.
 - For rental fee of \$18,000 (net) plus GST
 - Applicant to cover Council's legal costs incurred in the negotiations of the lease agreement.
4. The Manager Property and Buildings be authorised to prepare a lease agreement on behalf of Strata Corporation 14356 Inc. over portion of land at Beovich Road, Ingle Farm, as indicated on the attached plan (Attachment 3, Item No. 2.5.1, Works and Services Committee, 21/8/2017) described as Unit 3 in Strata Plan 14356 contained within Certificate Title Volume 5720 Folio 248 between Strata Corporation 14356 Inc. and the applicant under the following conditions:
 - For a period of 5 years plus option to renew for 5+5+5 years.
 - For rental fee of \$18,000 (net) plus GST
 - Applicant to cover Council's legal costs incurred in the negotiations of the lease agreement.

Public Works**2.6.1 Capital Progress Report - July 2017**

Cr C Buchanan declared a material conflict of interest on the basis of being president of a sporting club which has a lease located on a reserve that is having upgrades. Cr C Buchanan left the meeting at 08:33 pm.

Moved Cr B Brug
Seconded Cr S White

1. To facilitate the inclusion of Bardsley Avenue, Parafield Gardens, within the tree screen renewal program of works for 2017/18, funding of \$70,000 for PR17147 Tree Screen Renewal Program be approved as a non-discretionary budget review item at the 2017/18 First Quarter Budget Review with staff authorised to progress this work from the date of this resolution.
2. Transfer Andrew Smith Drive Oval Sports Court Renewal and Playground Renewal and their associated budgets into PR21456 Reserve Upgrade Program to aid delivery of the works.
3. To assist in the delivery of unforeseen lighting requests funding of \$70,000 for PR21478 Public Lighting Program be approved as a non-discretionary budget review item at the 2017/18 First Quarter Budget Review with staff authorised to progress this work from the date of this resolution.
4. Include Elder Drive Reserve, Mawson Lakes, within the 2017/18 Renewal of Feature Landscapes Program.
5. Include Para Hills Football Clubrooms and Adams Oval Clubrooms into the 2017/18 Building Renewal Program.

CARRIED
1972/2017

Cr C Buchanan returned to the meeting at 08:38 pm.

Other Business**OB1-W&S Update on Council Community Buildings**

Moved Cr G Reynolds
Seconded Cr L Caruso

1. That a report be prepared on the funds expended on new construction and updates of Council community buildings and facilities for a period of the last five years.

CARRIED
1973/2017

3 Resources and Governance Committee Meeting

Minutes of the Resources and Governance Committee Meeting held on 21 August 2017 were considered by Council.

Administration

3.0.1 Future Reports for the Resources and Governance Committee

Moved Cr D Pilkington
Seconded Cr E Gill

1. The information be received.

CARRIED
1974/2017

Development Control Administration

3.2.1 Appointment of the Council Assessment Panel and Options for a Regional Assessment Panel

Moved Cr D Pilkington
Seconded Cr S White

1. That the following persons be appointed as Independent Members of the City of Salisbury Council Assessment Panel for the period of 1 October 2017 to 31 May 2019:
 - Mr Doug Wallace – Presiding Member
 - Mr Ross Bateup - Independent Member
 - Ms Stephanie Johnston - Independent Member
 - Mr John Watson - Independent Member
2. The Remuneration for the term of appointment for members is set at \$430 for the Presiding Member, \$320 for Independent Members and Additional Members, and \$220 for the Elected Member.
3. In exercise of the powers contained in Sections 20 and 34(23) of the Development Act 1993 the powers and functions under the Development Act 1993 and the Development Regulations 2008 contained in the Delegations Register (Delegations Register, pages 1 - 3) as set out in Attachment 2 to this report (Resources and Governance 3.2.1, 21/08/2017) are hereby delegated to the Council's Council Assessment Panel from 1 October 2017, subject to any conditions specified herein or in the Schedule of Conditions contained in the proposed Instrument of Delegation under the Development Act 1993.
4. That the "Appointment of the Council Assessment Panel Procedure" forming Attachment 1 to the 21/8/17 Resources and Governance Committee Agenda Item 3.2.1 be endorsed.
5. That Council not pursue the option of a Regional Assessment

Panel at this time.

**CARRIED
1975/2017**

3.2.2 Appointment of an Elected Member and Deputy Elected Member to the Council Assessment Panel

Cr L Caruso declared a material conflict of interest on the basis of being nominated for the position of Elected Member of the Council Assessment Panel. Cr L Caruso left the meeting at 08:43 pm.

Cr White declared a material conflict of interest on the basis of being nominated for the position of Deputy Elected Member of the Council Assessment Panel. Cr S White left the meeting at 8:43 pm.

Cr G Caruso declared a perceived conflict of interest on the basis of a community perception that he is closely related to Cr L Caruso. Cr G Caruso managed the conflict by remaining in the meeting and not voting on the item.

Moved Cr D Balaza

Seconded Cr E Gill

1. That Cr Linda Caruso be appointed as the Elected Member appointment to the Council Assessment Panel for the term 1 October 2017 to 30 November 2018.
2. That Cr Steve White be appointed as the Deputy Elected Member appointment to the Council Assessment Panel for the term 1 October 2017 to 30 November 2018.

**CARRIED
1976/2017**

*The majority of members present voted IN FAVOUR of the MOTION.
Cr G Caruso DID NOT VOTE on the MOTION.*

Cr L Caruso returned to the meeting at 08:44 pm.

Cr S White returned to the meeting at 08:44 pm.

Health, Animal Management and By-laws

3.3.1 St Kilda Dog Signs

Moved Cr D Pilkington

Seconded Cr G Reynolds

1. The information be received.
2. Staff finalise a signage design and install the additional signage to the existing bin container infrastructure at the St Kilda Adventure Play-space as presented in Attachment 2 to Item 3.3.1 of the Resources and Governance Committee agenda of 21 August 2017 with a further 3 signs to be installed in the Northern car park.

**CARRIED
1977/2017**

FURTHER MOTION Dedicated Dog Park at St Kilda

Moved Cr B Brug
Seconded Cr L Caruso

1. That staff report back on options for installation of a dedicated dog park at St Kilda.

**CARRIED
1978/2017**

External Relations

**3.4.1 Nominations Sought for the South Australian Boating Facility
Advisory Committee**

Cr C Buchanan declared a material conflict of interest on the basis of the Board receiving a fee for being a member. Cr C Buchanan left the meeting at 08:49 pm.

Moved Cr D Pilkington
Seconded Cr D Balaza

1. Cr Chad Buchanan be nominated as a Local Government Member on the South Australian Boating Facility Advisory Committee.

**CARRIED
1979/2017**

Cr C Buchanan returned to the meeting at 08:50 pm.

3.4.2 Nominations Sought for the Dog and Cat Management Board

Mayor G Aldridge declared a material conflict of interest on the basis of being nominated for a position on the Dog and Cat Management Board. Mayor Aldridge left the meeting at 08:51 pm.

Cr D Pilkington assumed the Chair in the Mayor's absence.

Cr D Balaza declared a material conflict of interest on the basis of being nominated for a position on the Dog and Cat Management Board. Cr D Balaza left the meeting at 08:51 pm.

Moved Cr S White
Seconded Cr D Bryant

1. Cr David Balaza and Mayor Gillian Aldridge be nominated as a Local Government Member on the Dog and Cat Management Board.

**CARRIED
UNANIMOUSLY
1980/2017**

Mayor G Aldridge returned to the meeting at 08:52 pm and resumed the Chair.

Cr D Balaza returned to the meeting at 08:53 pm.

3.4.3 Nominations Sought for the Local Government Transport Advisory Panel

Moved Cr E Gill

Seconded Cr D Balaza

1. No nomination be made as a 'Metro Council' Member on the Local Government Transport Advisory Panel.

**CARRIED
1981/2017**

3.4.4 Nominations Sought for the South Australian Heritage Council

Cr S Reardon declared a material conflict of interest on the basis of being nominated for the position. Cr S Reardon left the meeting at 08:54 pm.

Moved Cr D Pilkington

Seconded Cr D Balaza

1. Cr Shiralee Reardon be nominated as a Local Government member on the South Australian Heritage Council.

**CARRIED
1982/2017**

Cr S Reardon returned to the meeting at 08:54 pm.

Corporate Management

3.5.1 Elected Member Development Program - Evaluation Report

Moved Cr S Bedford

Seconded Cr G Reynolds

1. The information be received.

**CARRIED
1983/2017**

3.5.2 Strategic Procurement - Procurement Policy

Moved Cr G Caruso

Seconded Cr R Zahra

1. That the information be received.
2. That the Procurement Policy as set out in Attachment 1 to this report (Item No 3.5.2, Resources and Governance Committee, 21/08/2017), be endorsed.

*Corporate Governance***3.6.1 Annual Review of Delegations**

Moved Cr L Caruso
Seconded Cr D Pilkington

1. The information be received.
2. That, having conducted its annual review of its Delegations Register in accordance with Section 44(6) of the Local Government Act 1999, the Council:

Revocations

- a. Hereby revokes its previous delegations to the Chief Executive Officer, effective from 18th day of September 2017, of those powers and functions under the following:
 - i. Burial and Cremation Act 2013 and Burial and Cremation Regulations 2014
 - ii. Community Titles Act 1996
 - iii. Development Act 1993 and Development Regulations 1993/2008
 - iv. Dog and Cat Management Act 1995
 - v. Electronic Conveyancing National Law (South Australia) Act 2013
 - vi. Environment Protection Act 1993 and Environment Protection (Waste to Resources) Policy 2010
 - vii. Expiation of Offences Act 1996
 - viii. Fences Act 1975
 - ix. Fire and Emergency Services Act 2005 and Fire and Emergency Services Regulations 2005
 - x. Food Act 2001
 - xi. Freedom of Information Act 1991
 - xii. Heavy Vehicle National Law (South Australia) Act 2013
 - xiii. Land Acquisition Act 1969 and Land Acquisition Regulations 2004
 - xiv. Land and Business (Sale and Conveyancing)

- Act 1994
 - xv. Liquor Licensing Act 1997
 - xvi. Local Government Act 1999

 - xvii. Local Nuisance and Litter Control Act 2016 and Local Nuisance and Litter Control Regulations 2017
 - xviii. Natural Resources Management Act 2004, Natural Resources Management (General) Regulations 2005 and Natural Resources Management (Transitional Provisions – Levies) Regulations 2005
 - xix. Planning, Development and Infrastructure Act 2016
 - xx. Real Property Act 1886
 - xxi. Roads (Opening and Closing) Act 1991
 - xxii. Road Traffic Act 1961 (SA), Road Traffic (Miscellaneous) Regulations 2014 and Road Traffic (Road Rules – Ancillary and Miscellaneous Provisions) Regulations 2014
 - xxiii. Safe Drinking Water Act 2011
 - xxiv. South Australian Public Health Act 2011 along with the South Australian Public Health (Legionella) Regulations 2013 and the South Australian Public Health (Wastewater) Regulations 2013
 - xxv. Strata Titles Act 1988
 - xxvi. Supported Residential Facilities Act 1992
 - xxvii. Unclaimed Goods Act 1987
 - xxviii. Water Industry Act 2012 and Water Industry Regulations 2012
 - xxix. Work Health and Safety Act 2012
- b. Hereby revokes its previous delegations to its Development Assessment Panel, effective from 18th day of September 2017, under the Development Act 1993 and Development Regulations 2008.

Delegations made under Local Government Act 1999

3. In exercise of the power contained in Section 44 of the Local Government Act 1999 the powers and functions under the following Acts and specified in the Delegations Register are hereby delegated from the 19th day of September 2017 to the person occupying the office of Chief Executive Officer

subject to the conditions and or limitations specified within the Delegations Register:

- i. Burial and Cremations Act 2013 and Burial and Cremation Regulations 2014 (Delegations Register pages 4 - 16)
- ii. Community Titles Act 1996 (Delegations Register pages 17 - 32)
- iii. Dog & Cat Management Act 1995 (Delegations Register pages 158 - 169)
- iv. Electronic Conveyancing National Law (South Australia) Act 2013 (Delegations Register page 170)
- v. Environment Protection Act 1993 and Environment Protection (Waste to Resources) Policy 2010 (Delegations Register pages 171 - 173)
- vi. Expiation of Offences Act 1996 (Delegations Register pages 174 - 184)
- vii. Fences Act 1975 (Delegations Register pages 185 - 186)
- viii. Fire and Emergency Services Act 2005 and Fire and Emergency Services Regulations 2005 (Delegations Register pages 187 - 196)
- ix. Freedom of Information Act 1991 (Delegations Register pages 197 - 215)
- x. Heavy Vehicle National Law (South Australia) Act 2013 (Delegations Register pages 216 - 221)
- xi. Land Acquisition Act 1969 and Land Acquisition Regulations 2004 (Delegations Register pages 222 - 233)
- xii. Land and Business (Sale and Conveyancing) Act 1994 (Delegations Register page 234)
- xiii. Liquor Licensing Act 1997 (Delegations Register pages 235 - 236)
- xiv. Local Government Act 1999 (Delegations Register pages 237 - 357)
- xv. Local Nuisance and Litter Control Act 2016 and Local Nuisance and Litter Control Regulations 2017 (Delegations Register pages 358 - 430)
- xvi. Natural Resources Management Act 2004, Natural Resources Management (General) Regulations 2005 and Natural Resources Management (Transitional Provisions – Levies) Regulations 2005 (Delegations Register pages 431 - 441)

- xvii. Planning, Development and Infrastructure Act 2016 (Delegations Register pages 442 - 447)
 - xviii. Real Property Act 1886 (Delegations Register pages 448 - 466)
 - xix. Road Traffic Act 1961 (SA), Road Traffic (Miscellaneous) Regulations 2014 and Road Traffic (Road Rules – Ancillary and Miscellaneous Provisions) Regulations 2014 (Delegations Register pages 467 - 472)
 - xx. Roads (Opening and Closing) Act 1991 (Delegations Register pages 480 - 490)
 - xxi. Safe Drinking Water Act 2011 (Delegations Register pages 491 - 497)
 - xxii. South Australian Public Health Act 2011 along with the South Australian Public Health (Legionella) Regulations 2013 and the South Australian Public Health (Wastewater) Regulations 2013 and South Australian Public Health (General) Regulations 2013 (Delegations Register pages 498 - 530)
 - xxiii. Strata Titles Act 1988 (Delegations Register pages 531 - 537)
 - xxiv. Unclaimed Goods Act 1987 (Delegations Register pages 551 - 553)
 - xxv. Water Industry Act 2012 and Water Industry Regulations 2012 (Delegations Register pages 554 - 578)
 - xxvi. Work Health and Safety Act 2012 (Delegations Register pages 579 - 584)
4. Such powers and functions may be further delegated by the Chief Executive Officer in accordance with Sections 44 and 101 of the Local Government Act 1999 as the Chief Executive Officer sees fit, unless otherwise indicated herein or in the Schedule of Conditions contained in each such proposed Instrument of Delegation.

Delegations made under Development Act 1993

5. In exercise of the powers contained in Sections 20 and 34(23) of the Development Act 1993, the powers and functions under the Development Act 1993 and the Development Regulations 2008 contained the Delegations Register (Delegations Register, pages 33 – 157) are hereby delegated from the 19th day of September 2017 to the person occupying the office of Chief Executive Officer, subject to the conditions and or limitations specified herein or in the

Schedule of Conditions in each such proposed Instrument of Delegation.

6. Such powers and functions may be further delegated by the Chief Executive Officer as the Chief Executive Officer sees fit and in accordance with the relevant legislation unless otherwise indicated herein or in the Schedule of Conditions contained in the proposed Instrument of Delegation under the Development Act 1993.
7. In exercise of the powers contained in Sections 20 and 34(23) of the Development Act 1993 the powers and functions under the Development Act 1993 and the Development Regulations 2008 contained in the Delegations Register (Delegations Register, pages 1 - 3) are hereby delegated to the Council's Development Assessment Panel, subject to any conditions specified herein or in the Schedule of Conditions contained in the proposed Instrument of Delegation under the Development Act 1993.

Delegations Made under Food Act 2001

8. In exercise of the powers contained in Section 91 of the Food Act 2001, the powers and functions under the Food Act 2001 contained in the Delegations Register (Delegations Register, pages 473 – 479) are hereby delegated from the 19th day of September 2017 to the person occupying the office of Chief Executive Officer (“the head of the enforcement agency” for the purposes of the Food Act 2001), subject to the conditions or limitations indicated herein or in the Schedule of Conditions contained in the proposed Instrument of Delegation under the Food Act 2001.
9. Such powers and functions may be further delegated by the Chief Executive Officer as the Chief Executive Officer sees fit and in accordance with the relevant legislation unless otherwise indicated herein or in the Schedule of Conditions contained in the proposed Instrument of Delegation under the Food Act 2001.

Delegations under Supported Residential Facilities Act 1992

10. In exercise of the power contained in Section 9 of the Supported Residential Facilities Act 1992, the powers and functions under the Supported Facilities Act 1992 and Supported Residential Facilities Regulations 2009 contained in the Delegations Register (Delegations Register, pages 539 - 550) are hereby delegated from the 19th day of September 2017 to the person occupying the office of Chief Executive Officer, subject to the conditions or limitations indicated herein or in the Schedule of Conditions contained in the proposed Instrument of Delegation under the Supported

Residential Facilities Act 1992.

11. Such powers and functions may be further delegated by the Chief Executive Officer as the Chief Executive Officer sees fit and in accordance with the relevant legislation unless otherwise indicated herein or in the Schedule of Conditions contained in the proposed Instrument of Delegation under the Supported Residential Facilities Act 1992.

Authorisations and Sub-delegation under the Road Traffic Act 1961

12. In accordance with the Instrument of General Approval and Delegation to Council dated 22 August 2013 from the Minister for Transport and Infrastructure (the ‘instrument’) the Council authorises the following persons pursuant to Clause A.7 of the Instrument to endorse Traffic Impact Statements for the purposes of Clause A of the Instrument provided that such persons shall take into account the matters specified in Clause A.7 of the Instrument in respect of Traffic Impact Statements.
 - i. Patrick Trimboli, Senior Traffic Engineer
 - ii. Dameon Roy, Manager Technical Services
 - iii. Tony Calandro, Senior Traffic Engineer
13. In accordance with Clause A.7 of the Instrument, the Council is of the opinion that the following persons are experienced traffic engineering practitioners for the purposes of preparing a Traffic Impact Statement as required by Clause A.7 of the Instrument:
 - i. Patrick Trimboli, Senior Traffic Engineer
 - ii. Dameon Roy, Manager Technical Services
 - iii. Tony Calandro, Senior Traffic Engineer
14. In exercise of the power contained in, and in accordance with, Clause G.1 of the Instrument, the power contained in Section 33(1) of the Road Traffic Act 1961 and delegated to the Council pursuant to Clause G of the Instrument and contained in the Delegations Register (Delegations Register, page 586) is hereby sub-delegated from the 19th day of September 2017 to the person occupying the office of Chief Executive Officer of the Council subject to:
 - a. The conditions contained in the Instrument; and
 - b. Any conditions contained in this Resolution or in the Instrument of Sub-delegation; and
 - c. The creation of a separate instrument in writing reflecting such sub-delegation under the Instrument and this Resolution.

15. In accordance with Clause E.2 of the Instrument, the Council is of the opinion that the following persons have an appropriate level of knowledge and expertise in the preparation of traffic management plans:
 - i. Patrick Trimboli, Senior Traffic Engineer
 - ii. Dameon Roy, Manager, Technical Services
 - iii. Tony Calandro, Senior Traffic Engineer

Delegations under Safe Drinking Water Act 2011 (of enforcement agency)

16. In exercise of the power contained in Section 43 of the Safe Drinking Water Act 2011 the powers and functions of the Council as a relevant authority under the Safe Drinking Water Act 2011 contained in the Delegations Register (Delegations Register, pages 491 - 497) are hereby delegated from the 19th day of September 2017 to the person occupying the office of Chief Executive Officer, subject to the conditions or limitations indicated herein or in the Schedule of Conditions contained in the proposed Instrument of Delegation under the Safe Drinking Water Act 2011.
17. Such powers and functions may be further delegated by the Chief Executive Officer as the Chief Executive Officer sees fit and in accordance with the relevant legislation unless otherwise indicated herein or in the Schedule of Conditions contained in the proposed Instrument of Delegation under the Safe Drinking Water Act 2011.

Delegations under Fire and Emergency Services Act 2005 to a Fire Prevention Officer

18. In exercise of the power contained in Section 93 of the Fire and Emergency Services Act 2005 the powers and functions under the Fire and Emergency Services Act contained in the Delegations Register (Delegations Register, Page 585) are hereby delegated from the 19th day of September 2017 to the person appointed Fire Prevention Officer of the Council under the Fire and Emergency Services Act 2005 subject to the conditions or limitations indicated herein or in the Schedule of Conditions contained in the proposed Instrument of Delegation under the Fire and Emergency Services Act 2005.
19. Such powers and functions may be further delegated by the Fire Prevention Officer as the Fire Prevention Officer sees fit and in accordance with the relevant legislation unless otherwise indicated herein or in the Schedule of Conditions contained in the proposed Instrument of Delegation under the Fire and Emergency Services Act 2005.

20. The Development Act 1993 and Development Regulations Delegations Policy as set out in Attachment 3 to this report (Resources and Governance 3.6.1, 21/08/2017), be endorsed.

**CARRIED
1985/2017**

3.6.2 Annual Report on Internal Reviews of Council decisions in the year ending 30 June 2017 under Section 270 of the Local Government Act 1999

Moved Cr D Pilkington
Seconded Cr G Caruso

1. The information be received.

**CARRIED
1986/2017**

3.6.3 Summary Report for Attendance at Training and Development Activity - Cr Linda Caruso

Moved Cr B Brug
Seconded Cr G Caruso

1. The information be received.

**CARRIED
1987/2017**

3.6.4 Summary of Elected Member Training and Development Expenditure and Outstanding Summary Reports

Moved Cr D Pilkington
Seconded Cr S Reardon

1. The information be received.

**CARRIED
1988/2017**

3.6.5 Purchasing of vehicles

Moved Cr D Bryant
Seconded Cr L Caruso

1. Information be received.

**CARRIED
1989/2017**

3.6.6 Local Government Finance Authority Annual General Meeting: 16 November 2017 - Council Representatives and Notices of Motion

Moved Cr D Pilkington
Seconded Cr G Caruso

1. The information be received.
2. Cr Linda Caruso be appointed as Council Representative (Voting Delegate) to the 16 November 2017 Local Government Finance Authority Annual General Meeting, with Cr Sean Bedford as their deputy.
3. No Notice of Motion be submitted to the Local Government Finance Authority Annual General Meeting being held on 16 November 2017.

**CARRIED
1990/2017**

Confidential Items

Refer to CONFIDENTIAL ITEMS section of Council Minutes

**3.8.1 Appointment of Independent Member - Audit Committee
Appointment of Chairman - Audit Committee**

5 Development Assessment Panel Meeting

A meeting of the Development Assessment Panel took place on 25 July 2017. The minutes have been distributed to all members for information.**6 Budget and Finance Committee Meeting**

Minutes of the Budget and Finance Committee Meeting held on 21 August 2017 were considered by Council.

Administration

6.0.1 Future Reports for the Budget and Finance Committee

Moved Cr D Pilkington
Seconded Cr S White

1. The information be received.

**CARRIED
1991/2017**

6.0.2 Minutes of the Program Review Sub Committee meeting held on Monday 14 August 2017

6.0.2-PRSC1 Final Report Program Review for the Strategic Development Projects Division

Moved Cr D Pilkington

Seconded Cr L Caruso

1. The Strategic Development Projects Program Review report (provided as Attachment 1, Item No. PRSC1, Program Review Sub Committee, 14 August 2017), be received and noted.
2. The recommendations listed in paragraph 4.8 of this report (Item No. PRSC1, Program Review Sub Committee, 14 August 2017) outlined below be endorsed:
 - Recommendation 15: Develop a new projects website, hosted and maintained internally to promote past projects, current projects, future projects and success stories.
 - Recommendation 17: Continue the approach adopted at Boardwalk at Greentree but focus on an open EOI to the home building industry to identify opportunities for joint house and land products that meet the specific needs of the projects, with the inclusion of price point caps and simplified submission requirements.
 - Recommendation 18: Develop promotion material and a database to provide information on the future opportunities to the not for profit housing sector to identify potential partnerships for consideration on future projects.
 - Recommendation 28: A review of all Division staff contracts to align to the adopted work program should be undertaken and extensions considered as appropriate.
 - Recommendation 29: An additional 12 month contract position, anticipated at a level 7 (\$91-\$97k annual salary) be created in the Strategic Development Projects team. This role is to be focused around the project establishment, governance and Council reporting, filled either through an internal secondment or externally recruited contract position, to enable the committed work program to be delivered concurrent with the peak demand of work relating to the design and procurement of the Salisbury

Community Hub project. Funding for the position for this financial year be met with an allocation from the wages and salaries provision with an appropriate offset through capitalisation into the relevant Strategic Development Projects.

3. The recommendations for administrative action itemised on page 6, 7 & 8 of Attachment 1, Item No. PRSC1, Program Review Sub Committee, 14 August 2017 be noted.
4. The Executive Group monitor the implementation of actions as required.

**CARRIED
1992/2017**

6.0.2-PRSC2 Inspectorate Services Program Review Outcome

Moved Cr D Pilkington

Seconded Cr L Caruso

1. The information be received.
2. The Healthy Environs Report – Program Review Inspectorate Services (as set out in Attachment 1, Item No. PRSC2, Program Review Sub Committee, 14/08/2017) be received and noted.
3. That the recommendations 6 and 9 and related Management responses as contained in Attachment 3 (Item No. PRSC2, Program Review Sub Committee, 14/08/2017) Inspectorate Services Program Review Recommendations be endorsed, and the Executive Group monitor the implementation of the actions, as required.
4. That the balance of the recommendations and Management responses as contained in Attachment 3 (Item No. PRSC2, Program Review Sub Committee, 14/08/2017) Inspectorate Services Program Review Recommendations be noted and the Executive Group monitor the implementation of the actions, as required.

**CARRIED
1993/2017**

Finance

6.1.1 2016-2017 Carried Forward Funds

Moved Cr D Pilkington

Seconded Cr R Zahra

1. The information be received.
2. The 2017/18 budget be adjusted with \$16,790,600 funds carried forward to be applied as detailed in Schedule of Funds Carried Forward from 2016/17 to 2017/18 (Item No. 6.1.1, Budget and Finance Committee, 21/8/2017, Attachment 1).

**CARRIED
1994/2017**

7 Sport, Recreation and Grants Committee Meeting

Minutes of the Sport, Recreation and Grants Committee Meeting held on 14 August 2017 were considered by Council.

Administration

7.0.1 Future Reports for the Sport, Recreation and Grants Committee

Moved Cr R Zahra
Seconded Cr G Reynolds

1. The information be received.

**CARRIED
1995/2017**

Community Grants

7.2.1 Review of Youth Sponsorship Program Application and Guidelines

Moved Cr R Zahra
Seconded Cr S White

1. The Youth Sponsorship Guidelines be updated to include a category for school organised sporting competitions and other changes as set out in Attachment 1 to this report (Item No. 7.2.1, Sport Recreation and Grants Committee, 14/08/2017) be endorsed.
2. An optional section be included on the acquittal form to allow for provision of details of results achieved as part of participation in the supported event.

**CARRIED
1996/2017**

7.2.2 Youth Sponsorship - July Applications

Moved Cr R Zahra
Seconded Cr S White

1. The information be received.
2. The application noted in paragraph 3.3, Item No. 7.2.2, Sport Recreation and Grants Committee, 14/08/2017 for at a value of \$250 to represent South Australia at the SAPSASA National Cross Country Championships to be held in August be approved.

**CARRIED
1997/2017**

7.2.3 Minor Capital Works Grants - Status Report

Moved Cr R Zahra
Seconded Cr D Pilkington

1. The information be received.
2. Following advice from the Football Federation SA in relation to their approved Minor Capital Works Grant, which was originally endorsed under their delegation by the Sports, Recreation and Grants Committee at its meeting 09/05/2016, that they do not wish to proceed with the planned surface improvement works between pitches, this approved grant be cancelled and removed from the Minor Capital Works Grant Program with funds to be reallocated back into this program.

**CARRIED
1998/2017**

7.2.4 Community Grants Program Applications for August 2017

Moved Cr L Caruso
Seconded Cr G Reynolds

1. The information be received and noted.

**CARRIED
1999/2017**

7.2.5 13/2017: Mawson Lakes Soccer Club Inc. - Community Grants Program Application

Moved Cr B Brug
Seconded Cr L Caruso

1. It be noted that, in accordance with delegated powers set out in the endorsed Terms of Reference, the Sport, Recreation and Grants Committee assessed and allocated funding for the August 2017 round of Community Grants as follows:
 - a. Grant No. 13/2017: Mawson Lakes Soccer Club Inc. be awarded the amount of **\$2,500.00** to assist with the purchase of uniforms for ongoing use as outlined in the Community Grant Application and additional information.

**CARRIED
2000/2017**

7.2.6 14/2017: Community Thrifty Food - Community Grants Program Application

Moved Cr G Caruso
Seconded Cr S Reardon

1. The information be received and noted.

**CARRIED
2001/2017**

7.2.7 16/2017: Salisbury Business Association Inc. - Community Grants Program Application

Cr D Balaza declared a material conflict of interest on the basis of being a Member of the Board of the Salisbury Business Association. Cr D Balaza left the meeting at 09:04 pm.

Cr G Reynolds declared a perceived conflict of interest on the basis of entering his car in the sponsored event. Cr G Reynolds left the meeting at 09:04 pm.

Cr E Gill declared a perceived conflict of interest on the basis of entering her car in the sponsored event. Cr E Gill left the meeting at 09:04 pm.

Moved Cr B Brug
Seconded Cr S Reardon

1. It be noted that, in accordance with delegated powers set out in the endorsed Terms of Reference, the Sport, Recreation and Grants Committee assessed and allocated funding for the August 2017 round of Community Grants as follows:
 - a. Grant No. 16/2017: Salisbury Business Association Inc. be awarded the amount of **\$2,500.00** to assist with the purchase of entertainment, marquees, umbrella's, trestle tables and mail-out marketing for the Aussie Era Salisbury Car Show as outlined in the Community Grant Application.

**CARRIED
2002/2017**

*Cr D Balaza returned to the meeting at 09:05 pm.
Cr G Reynolds returned to the meeting at 09:06 pm.*

7.2.8 17/2017: Ingle Farm Little Athletics Centre Inc. - Community Grants Program Application

Moved Cr C Buchanan
Seconded Cr S Bedford

1. It be noted that, in accordance with delegated powers set out in the endorsed Terms of Reference, the Sport, Recreation and Grants Committee assessed and allocated funding for the August 2017 round of Community Grants as follows:
 - a. Grant No. 17/2017: Ingle Farm Little Athletics Centre Inc. be awarded the amount of **\$2,500.00** to assist with the purchase of an upright freezer, digital thermometer and uniforms for ongoing use as outlined in the Community Grant Application.

**CARRIED
2003/2017**

8 CEO Review Committee Meeting

Minutes of the CEO Review Committee Meeting held on 15 August 2017 were considered by Council.

Reports

8.1.1 CEO Performance Evaluation - Proposed Personal Evaluation System for 2017/2018

Cr E Gill returned to the meeting at 09:07 pm.

Cr S White left the meeting at 09:08 pm.

Moved Cr D Bryant
Seconded Cr L Caruso

1. Information be received.
2. The Personal Evaluation System to apply to the CEO for the 2017/2018 review period, comprising CEO Key Organisational Performance Indicators (attached) be endorsed.
3. The Performance Appraisal Survey be resubmitted at the next meeting incorporating comments provided and the realignment of Executive Behaviours linked to the Key Result Areas.

**CARRIED
2004/2017**

GENERAL BUSINESS

Nil

OTHER BUSINESS

OB1 Acknowledgement of Contribution by the Manager Governance

Moved Cr G Reynolds
Seconded Cr S White

1. The Manager Governance be acknowledged for her contribution to the City of Salisbury and wished all the best for her new role.

**CARRIED
2005/2017**

OB2 Flooding Issues – Anzac Plantation Catchment

Cr D Bryant left the meeting at 09:19 pm.

Cr L Caruso declared a perceived conflict of interest on the basis of previous issues with the Ombudsman relating to Salisbury Heights (DPA). Cr L Caruso left the meeting at 09:20 pm.

Cr C Buchanan sought leave of the meeting to speak for a further two minutes and leave was granted.

Moved Cr C Buchanan
Seconded Cr D Balaza

1. That Council acknowledge previous flooding issues relating to the Anzac Plantation Catchment at Salisbury Heights.
2. The Mayor, CEO and relevant General Manager attend Mr Rigby's home and discuss the issue, subject to clarification of the current status of consideration by the Mutual Liability Scheme.
3. Staff bring back a report and costings on providing a permanent long term solution at the Anzac Plantation Catchment at Salisbury Heights.

**CARRIED
2006/2017**

Cr L Caruso returned to the meeting at 09:30 pm.

Cr D Bryant returned to the meeting at 09:30 pm.

OB3 Congratulations – Cr S Bedford

Moved Cr C Buchanan
Seconded Cr B Brug

1. That Council congratulate Cr Sean Bedford and his wife Ruth on the birth of their first child.

**CARRIED
2007/2017**

Cr S White returned to the meeting at 09:40 pm.

OB4 MPH Builders' Report – Public Availability

Moved Cr C Buchanan
Seconded Cr G Caruso

1. That the MPH builders report of May 2015 be made publicly available as per the advice received from the CEO earlier in the meeting.

**CARRIED
2008/2017**

*A **DIVISION** was requested by Cr C Buchanan and the following members responded to the Mayor's call as having voted in favour of the **MOTION**:*

Crs D Balaza, S Bedford, B Brug, C Buchanan, G Caruso, L Caruso, E Gill, S Reardon, G Reynolds and R Zahra, Cr S White

*The following members responded to the Mayor's call as having voted against the **MOTION**:*

Crs D Bryant and D Pilkington

*The Mayor declared the **MOTION** was **CARRIED***

OB5 Reappointment of Independent Chairperson to NAWMA

Cr C Buchanan left the meeting at 09:42 pm.

Cr D Balaza declared a material conflict of interest on the basis of being a Member of the NAWMA Board. Cr D Balaza left the meeting at 09:43 pm.

Cr E Gill declared a material conflict of interest on the basis of being a Deputy Member of the NAWMA Board. Cr E Gill left the meeting at 09:43 pm.

Cr G Reynolds declared a material conflict of interest on the basis of being a Deputy Member of the NAWMA Board. Cr G Reynolds left the meeting at 09:43 pm.

Moved Cr D Pilkington
Seconded Cr D Bryant

1. The information be received.
2. The NAWMA Board resolution to re-appoint Mr Brian Cunningham to the position of Independent Chair of the NAWMA Board for a further period of two years be endorsed.

**CARRIED
2009/2017**

Cr E Gill returned to the meeting at 09:46 pm.

Cr D Balaza returned to the meeting at 09:46 pm.

Cr G Reynolds returned to the meeting at 09:46 pm.

OB6 Recreational Use of Greenfields Wetlands

Moved Cr D Pilkington
Seconded Cr G Reynolds

1. That staff report back on what is required to enable people from the community to be able to kayak or canoe on the Greenfields Wetlands.

**CARRIED
2010/2017**

OB7 Barker Inlet Wetlands Program

Moved Cr D Pilkington
Seconded Cr G Caruso

1. That staff report back on the impact of the City of Salisbury wetlands program on the Barker Inlet.

**CARRIED
2011/2017**

OB8 Wetlands Water Quality

Moved Cr R Zahra
Seconded Cr D Balaza

1. That staff report back on the water quality of City of Salisbury wetlands and any issues arising from the assessment.

**CARRIED
2012/2017**

MAYOR'S DIARY

MD1 Mayor's Diary

Moved Cr D Bryant
Seconded Cr D Pilkington

1. That this information be noted.

**CARRIED
2013/2017**

BREAK

In accordance with section 10 (2) of the Code of Practice for Meeting Procedures, the Mayor provided a break to all present. The meeting was suspended at 10:05 pm.

The meeting reconvened at 10:16 pm.

REPORTS FROM COUNCIL REPRESENTATIVES

Cr S White:

- Attended Parafield Airport Consultative Committee meeting on 17/08/2017 with Cr Gill and received a briefing on the Parafield Airport Development Plan.

Cr E Gill:

- Public Meeting to be held in St John's Church to discuss Parafield Airport Master Plan
- | | |
|-----------|--|
| 27/7/2017 | Rotary Op Shop |
| 28/7/2017 | Meeting with CEO & GM |
| 15/8/2017 | Radio programme with Mayor |
| 16/8/2017 | Strategy Session |
| 17/8/2017 | PACC Meeting |
| 18/8/2017 | Info session re Hub |
| | Long Tan Day |
| | Writers festival |
| 21/8/2017 | ALGWA Teleconference |
| 22/8/2017 | Workshop: Strategic & International Partnerships |
| 24/8/2017 | Hub Consultation |
| | Rotary Op Shop |
| 25/8/2017 | Pick up ALGWA Flowers & daffodils |
| | Judging Gawler Show Handicrafts |
| 26/8/2017 | ALGWA President's Brunch |
| 28/8/2017 | Safety forum with Minister/s |
| | Meeting with 12/25 Advisory |

Cr Buchanan returned to the meeting at 10:18 pm.

CONFIDENTIAL ITEMS

C2 Minutes of the Confidential Program Review Sub Committee meeting held on Monday 14 August 2017

Moved Cr R Zahra

Seconded Cr B Brug

1. Pursuant to Section 90(2) and (3)(a) and (b)(i) and (b)(ii) and (d)(i) and (d)(ii) and (k) of the Local Government Act 1999, the principle that the meeting should be conducted in a place open to the public has been outweighed in relation to this matter because:
 - it relates to information the disclosure of which would involve the unreasonable disclosure of information concerning the personal affairs of any person (living or dead); and
 - information the disclosure of which could reasonably be expected to confer a commercial advantage on a person with whom the council is conducting, or proposing to conduct, business, or to prejudice the commercial position of the council; and
 - information the disclosure of which would, on balance, be contrary to the public interest; and
 - commercial information of a confidential nature (not being a trade secret) the disclosure of which could reasonably be expected to prejudice the commercial position of the person who supplied the information, or to confer a commercial advantage on a third party; and
 - commercial information of a confidential nature (not being a trade secret) the disclosure of which would, on balance, be contrary to the public interest; and
 - tenders for the supply of goods, the provision of services or the carrying out of works.
2. In weighing up the factors related to disclosure,
 - disclosure of this matter to the public would demonstrate accountability and transparency of the Council's operations
 - Non disclosure of this matter in advance of a decision as to which courses of action Council would prefer to take would enable information that may have implications for resourcing/service levels to be considered in detail and will protect Council's commercial position and third party commercial information.

*On that basis the public's interest is best served by not disclosing the **Minutes of the Confidential Program Review Sub Committee meeting held on Monday 14 August 2017** item and discussion at this point in time.*
3. Pursuant to Section 90(2) of the Local Government Act 1999 it is recommended the Council orders that all members of the public, except staff of the City of Salisbury on duty in attendance, be excluded from attendance at the meeting for this Agenda Item.

**CARRIED
2014/2017**

The meeting moved into confidence at 10:18 pm.

The meeting moved out of confidence at 10:21 pm.

**3.8.1 Appointment of Independent Member - Audit Committee
Appointment of Chairman - Audit Committee**

Moved Cr L Caruso

Seconded Cr S Reardon

1. *Pursuant to Section 90(2) and (3)(a) of the Local Government Act 1999, the principle that the meeting should be conducted in a place open to the public has been outweighed in relation to this matter because:*
 - *it relates to information the disclosure of which would involve the unreasonable disclosure of information concerning the personal affairs of any person (living or dead).*
2. *In weighing up the factors related to disclosure,*
 - *disclosure of this matter to the public would demonstrate accountability and transparency of the Council's operations*
 - *non disclosure will protect personal information of applicants for the position of independent member of the Audit Committee*

*On that basis the public's interest is best served by not disclosing the **Appointment of Independent Member - Audit Committee Appointment of Chairman - Audit Committee** item and discussion at this point in time.*
3. *Pursuant to Section 90(2) of the Local Government Act 1999 it is recommended the Council orders that all members of the public, except staff of the City of Salisbury on duty in attendance, be excluded from attendance at the meeting for this Agenda Item.*

**CARRIED
2017/2017**

The meeting moved into confidence at 10:22 pm.

The meeting moved out of confidence at 10:26 pm.

C1 Code of Conduct Complaint - 02/2017

Moved Cr D Bryant
Seconded Cr S Reardon

1. *Pursuant to Section 90(2) and (3)(a) of the Local Government Act 1999, the principle that the meeting should be conducted in a place open to the public has been outweighed in relation to this matter because:
 - it relates to information the disclosure of which would involve the unreasonable disclosure of information concerning the personal affairs of any person (living or dead).*
2. *In weighing up the factors related to disclosure,
 - disclosure of this matter to the public would demonstrate accountability and transparency of the Council's operations
 - Non disclosure of this matter to the public at this time will enable Council to make a decision in relation to the course of action to be taken in response to the complaint, without prejudicing the consideration of the complaint.

*On that basis the public's interest is best served by not disclosing the **Code of Conduct Complaint - 02/2017** item and discussion at this point in time.**
3. *Pursuant to Section 90(2) of the Local Government Act 1999 it is recommended the Council orders that all members of the public, except staff of the City of Salisbury on duty in attendance, be excluded from attendance at the meeting for this Agenda Item.*

**CARRIED
2019/2017**

The meeting moved into confidence at 10:29 pm.

The meeting moved out of confidence at 10:39 pm.

The meeting closed at 10:40 pm.

CHAIRMAN.....

DATE.....

ITEM

ITEM	1.3.2FI
	POLICY AND PLANNING COMMITTEE
DATE	18 September 2017
PREV REFS	Policy and Planning Committee 1.3.2 18/09/2017
HEADING	Parafield Airport Master Plan 2017 - Further Information
AUTHOR	Peter Jansen, Strategic Planner, City Development
CITY PLAN LINKS	1.4 Have well planned urban growth that stimulates investment and facilitates greater housing and employment choice.
SUMMARY	This report provides a revised response to the consultation process being undertaken by Parafield Airport Limited regarding the Parafield Airport Master Plan 2017. The attached submission reflects the issues raised at the Policy and Planning Committee meeting on 18 September 2017 and in various public forums.

RECOMMENDATION

1. The submission on the 2017 Parafield Airport Master Plan set out in Attachment 1 to this report (Item No. , Policy and Planning Committee, 18/09/2017) be endorsed and forwarded to Parafield Airport Ltd.

ATTACHMENTS

This document should be read in conjunction with the following attachments:

1. Draft submission - Parafield Airport Master Plan

1. BACKGROUND

The Policy and Planning Committee considered a report on the Parafield Airport Master Plan at its meeting on 18 September 2017. (Item 1.3.2 Policy and Planning)

At that meeting the Committee resolved:

A further information report be brought to the next Council meeting addressing matters raised in Committee and through responses received from concerned residents together with any revised submission to be made.

2. CONSULTATION / COMMUNICATION

- 2.1 Internal
 - 2.1.1. Not applicable
- 2.2 External

- 2.2.1. Parafield Airport to identify the issues that have been raised through its engagement process to date.
- 2.2.2. Two residents of Salisbury Heights and Mawson Lakes plus a member of the Salisbury Residents and Ratepayers Facebook Group.
- 2.2.3. Direct emails to the report author.
- 2.2.4. Viewing the Parafield Airport Noise Facebook pages, Salisbury Residents and Ratepayers Facebook page, Council's Facebook page and letters to the Messenger to identify concerns raised.

3. REPORT

- 3.1 Due to the closing date of the consultation process for the Parafield Airport masterplan and the limited time between the resolution of the Policy and Planning Committee and the September Council meeting, it was not possible to undertake a full public engagement in manner that would be consistent with Council's Public Consultation Policy.
- 3.2 However the feedback at the Policy and Planning Committee meeting on 18/9/17 as well as the sources of information identified in the external consultation section of the report have been reviewed and reflected in a revised submission attached to this report (attachment 1). The commentary can be summarised into three broad matters:
 - 3.2.1. Airplane noise

There is a strongly held view of some that the extent and amount of airplane noise affects their amenity. This is generally attributed to the number and frequency of training movements by the flight schools and the flying heights of a number of the planes attributed to the training circuits. There is also a view expressed that flight schools expanding their activities by training international students exacerbates the situation.
 - 3.2.2. Reporting Mechanisms

There is confusion and mistrust by some people regarding the reporting and complaint mechanisms set up by the federal agencies, the lack of clear promotion and understanding of the appropriate contact information and the belief that nothing comes of making a complaint.
 - 3.2.3. Effectiveness of community engagement by the Airport.

There have been expressions of concern with the adequacy of the public engagement by Parafield Airport Limited on the masterplan, both on its extent and on the ability to present viewpoints. There is a belief that the masterplan consultation does not provide an avenue for people to register their complaints with PAL and to have them considered by PAL or the Federal Minister when considering the masterplan.
- 3.3 There were also some matters raised which are outside the purview of the master plan, such as controls over mobile phone towers outside the airport site, which will be dealt with separately under relevant mechanisms such as through the development assessment framework.

3.4 The proposed submission to Parafield Airport Limited provided to the Policy and Planning Committee has been amended to reflect these concerns. Specifically, the following suggestions have been proposed:

- Reviewing the timing of the voluntary curfew with a view to reducing flying times (reflecting off site training that occurs and the increased use of simulators);
- Continuing efforts to spread the flight training circuits where possible to attempt to minimise the impact on residents, and encourages continued review for improvements; and
- The masterplan giving consideration to providing a sound proofing program for existing premises affected by noise resulting from aircraft activity.

Additionally Council seeks Parafield Airport Limited to:

- Review its consultation methodology in line with the International Association for Public Participation IAP2 spectrum on the Master Plans to assist the community in presenting its viewpoints, with consideration of the State Government Community Engagement Charter when it is finalised;
- Seek the consideration of the relevant federal aviation authorities for improvements to the complaint information and reporting systems; and
- Widen the selection process for membership of the Consultative Committee to allow representatives of resident groups that are not an incorporated body.

4. CONCLUSION / PROPOSAL

4.1 It is considered that the proposed additions to the submission reflect the concerns raised at the Policy and Planning Committee meeting on 18 September 2017 and those public concerns and issues that have been expressed through various forums on the airport operations and the masterplan consultation.

CO-ORDINATION

Officer: GMCID
Date: 21.09.17

26 September 2017

Mr Mark Young
Managing Director
Parafield Airport Limited
Building 18
Tigermoth Lane
PARAFIELD AIRPORT SA 5108

Contact: Peter Jansen
Telephone: 08 8260 8148

Dear Mr Young

Re: 2017 Parafield Airport Master Plan

The City of Salisbury thanks Parafield Airport Ltd for the opportunity to provide comment on the 2017 Parafield Airport Master Plan. Council considered the Master Plan at its September 2017 meetings.

Council supports Federal and State Government directions through the National Aviation Policy, National Airports Safeguarding Framework, 30 Year Plan for Greater Adelaide, and the Integrated Transport and Land Use Plan 2015 to promote the aviation industry, to provide a framework for investment certainty, and to balance the needs of airport users and the surrounding communities.

The masterplan contains elements that Council supports such as the continued focus on job-generating investment in the precinct, the environment strategy, the amended road network (road linkage with Elder Smith Road, the removal of the St Kitts Place link, and the identification of the potential internal link road between the Enterprise Zone and the Airport Business Zone). We also welcome the openness to consider additional pedestrian and cycling linkages as new internal roads are developed.

Council's planning policy currently accommodates the extension to the runway and taxiways and advises that the planning policies for Mawson Lakes and the Kings Road site immediately to the north of the airport have had regard to this matter.

In its response to the 2012 masterplan, Council noted the noise impact the operation of the airport has on surrounding residents. The noise mapping contained in the draft masterplan indicates the problem is more widespread than previously modelled. Furthermore the modelling for 2037 indicates a general increase in the extent of areas affected.

Council notes that a significant number of properties are now identified in the noise affected areas which will require consideration of AS 2021 during planning processes, particularly for the areas within the 30 ANEF, and the commercial and industrial properties in the 35 ANEF area. Council would support an ongoing regular review of the surrounding areas to monitor the requirement to trigger AS2021-2015 in development applications.

Council requests PAL and Airservices Australia to continue to provide updated and additional mapping for public information purposes that is based upon up to date maps reflecting current street patterns and development, and improve accessibility to the information, including the provision of digital mapping that can be used by Council and DPTI for its planning documents.

Council recommends a review of the support mapping for the Certified Airservices Australia 2037 ANEF Chart, particularly the Figure C21 in the 2017 Master Plan, to ensure the contours align accurately with that of the certified chart. Council notes and supports the use of the additional information map that models the N70 instances.

While Council acknowledges the initiatives of Parafield Airport Limited under its Fly Friendly Program, the issue of noise continues to be a significant concern for sections of our community. Council notes the requirement under Section 71 of the *Airports Act 1996* for airport masterplans to include "plans, developed in consultation with Local Government bodies, for managing aircraft noise intrusion above significant ANEF levels."

Accordingly Council requests:

- Reviewing the timing of the voluntary curfew with a view to reducing flying times (reflecting off site training that occurs and the increased use of simulators). The review should consider both hours of operation and types of aircraft with a view to limiting flight movements of noisier aircraft during "shoulder" times.
- Continuing efforts to spread the flight training circuits where possible to attempt to minimise the impact on residents, and encourages continued review for improvements; and
- The masterplan giving consideration to providing a noised attenuation program for existing premises affected by noise resulting from aircraft activity.

Council also notes feedback from the community regarding their perceptions that the current system for investigating and resolving noise complaints is inadequate and the master planning process seemingly excludes consideration of noise impacts. As such Council seeks Parafield Airport Limited to:

- Review its consultation methodology in line with the International Association for Public Participation IAP2 spectrum on the Master Plans to assist the community in presenting its viewpoints, with consideration of the State Government Community Engagement Charter when it is finalised. The use of targeted local media channels to improve awareness amongst residents, businesses and property owners in the vicinity of the airport is encouraged as part of this process;
- Seek the consideration of the relevant federal aviation authorities for improvements to the complaint information and reporting systems; and
- Widen the selection process for membership of the Consultative Committee to allow representatives of resident groups that are not an incorporated body.

Council looks forward to working constructively with Parafield Airport Limited to unlock the development opportunities on the Parafield site and the surrounding areas. While the masterplan has much to commend, further consideration of measures to mitigate noise impacts on the surrounding community should be pursued.

Yours sincerely

John Harry
Chief Executive Officer

ITEM	GB1
	COUNCIL
DATE	25 September 2017
HEADING	Elected Member Request to Attend National Local Roads and Transport Congress in Albany, Western Australia: 6 - 8 November 2017
AUTHOR	Michelle Woods, Projects Officer Governance, CEO and Governance
CITY PLAN LINKS	4.3 Have robust processes that support consistent service delivery and informed decision making.
SUMMARY	<p>The National Local Roads and Transport Congress will be held in Albany, Western Australia from 6 – 8 November 2017.</p> <p>In accordance with Council policy, any attendance at training and development activities where costs exceed \$1,000 or are held interstate, approval of Council is required.</p>

RECOMMENDATION

1. The information be received.
2. Council approve Cr White's attendance at the National Local Roads and Transport Congress being held in Albany, Western Australia from 6 – 8 November 2017.

ATTACHMENTS

This document should be read in conjunction with the following attachments:

1. National Local Roads and Transport Congress Program

1. BACKGROUND

- 1.1 The National Local Roads and Transport Congress will be held in Albany, Western Australia from 6 – 8 November 2017.
- 1.2 The theme of the congress is 'Shaping Transport's Future'.
- 1.3 The program is attached for reference.

2. CONSULTATION / COMMUNICATION

2.1 Internal

- 2.1.1 Elected Members were advised of the congress and asked to register their interest in attending with staff. At the time of writing this report, Cr Steve White is the only member who has indicated their wish to attend.

- 2.2 External
2.2.1 Nil.

3. REPORT

- 3.1 The National Local Roads and Transport Congress will be held in Albany, Western Australia from 6 – 8 November 2017.
- 3.2 At the time of writing this report, Cr Steve White is the only member who has registered their interest in attending the congress.

3.3 Anticipated Costs

Registration (by 29/9)	\$ 890.00	\$990 if after 29/9
Showcase Tour (Mon 6/11)	\$ 75.00	if registered for Congress
Welcome Reception (Mon 6/11)	\$ -	included in full rego
Congress Dinner (Tues 7/11)	\$ -	included in full rego
Return airfare	\$ 1,000.00	approx
Accommodation x 4	\$ 800.00	approx
Meals + incidentals	\$ 150.00	approx
CabCharge vouchers		?
	\$2,915.00	approx

3.4 Funding

- 3.4.1 Cr White currently has \$3,600 available in his individual training and development allocation.

4. CONCLUSION / PROPOSAL

- 4.1 In accordance with the Elected Member Training and Development Policy, Council approval is required for training and development activities where costs exceed \$1,000 or are to be held interstate.
- 4.2 On that basis, Council is asked to consider and, as appropriate, approve attendance by Cr Steve White at the National Local Roads and Transport Congress being held in Albany, Western Australia from 6 – 8 November 2017.

CO-ORDINATION

Officer: CEO A/MG
Date: 20/09/2017 19/09/2017

REGISTER NOW
www.alga.asn.au

Shaping Transport's Future



National Local Roads & Transport Congress 2017



AUSTRALIAN LOCAL
GOVERNMENT ASSOCIATION

6-8 NOVEMBER
2017

ALBANY • WA



 **National Local Roads & Transport Congress 2017**

MAJOR SPONSORS



Australian Government
Department of Infrastructure and Regional Development

CONTENTS

3 ... President's Welcome	8 ... Call for Case Studies	12 .. Congress Location	14 .. Congress Coach Transfers
4 ... Albany Showcase Tour	10 .. Registration Fees	12 .. Congress Venue	14 .. General Information
5 ... Congress Program	11 .. Social Functions	13 .. Accommodation	15 .. Registration Form
6 ... Keynote Speakers	11 .. Partner Tours	14 .. Travel	

National Local Roads & Transport Congress 2017

6-8 NOVEMBER
2017
ALBANY • WA

PRESIDENT'S WELCOME

Colleagues

Every year local government nationally spends around 25 cents in every dollar on local roads and transport. With that level of investment, local governments, without question, have a major stake in the future of Australia's transport systems and networks.

The challenges ahead suggest that all levels of government need to be smarter than we currently are and do more to ensure that our transport infrastructure is fit for purpose. If nothing changes, it is estimated that the cost of congestion will reach around \$30 billion by 2030, and freight is expected to double by 2030 and treble by 2050.

As a nation, there needs to be a serious discussion between all levels of government on how our roads and transport systems are managed and funded and local government must be at the table.

Our challenges are very real and very immediate. In the bush, the capacity of regional economies is constrained by underfunded maintenance and unsafe road conditions, a lack of support for regional transport planning, increased pressure on our infrastructure renewal budgets, all compounded by the ever-increasing freight task.

In the city, councils face many of these challenges as well as a range of emerging issues such as:

- providing electric car charging stations
- smart parking systems
- autonomous/driverless cars
- ever increasing congestion.

Local Government's role in recognising and resolving these issues is significant. Together, working in partnership with our State and Federal colleagues, we can identify solutions to all challenges.

If you or your teams want to know how to improve your community's roads and infrastructure, if you want to access the latest technology, to be inspired by the best in the business, and to speak directly to our federal decision makers, this is the local government conference for you.

I look forward to seeing you at ALGA's National Local Roads and Transport Congress this year, from 6-8 November 2017 in Albany WA.



Mayor David O'Loughlin
PRESIDENT ALGA



ALBANY SHOWCASE TOUR

Thank you for choosing to travel to Albany for the National Local Roads and Transport Congress. The following itinerary will provide a snapshot of our City. Your planned tour will highlight key transport issues in the Great Southern Region as well as providing opportunity to explore some of the key attractions of the region.

Although it is impossible to fit all aspects of the region within one familiarisation, we have endeavoured to provide you with a broad overview.

Casual dress for travelling and daily activities is recommended. Include enclosed walking shoes, sunscreen, sunglasses, hat, insect repellent and a waterproof coat/jacket (for sea spray at the Gap!). Your hosts and tour guides have a wealth of knowledge, so please ask lots of questions along the way.

For more general information on Albany head to www.amazingalbany.com.au

HOW TO REGISTER

Delegates attending the Roads Congress can be part of the Albany Showcase Tour demonstration for \$75, for non-Congress delegates the price is \$100.

SHOWCASE TOUR

DEPARTING FROM ALBANY ENTERTAINMENT CENTRE

MONDAY 6 NOVEMBER	
10:30 am	REGISTRATION
11:00 am	Showcase Tour Departing from Albany Entertainment Centre Tour of Albany Port from where grain and woodchips are shipped worldwide
11:30 am	Drive via Chester Pass Road, along Menang Drive (Stage 1 of Albany Ring Road and through to Cooperative Bulk Handling (CBH) receival site on Down Road CBH is Western Australia's main agricultural grain handler, using a combination of rail and road transport to shift grain from all parts of the Great Southern region to the Albany Port
12:45 pm	LUNCH Oranje Tractor Winery and enjoy their lovely selection of organic wines and fresh local produce
1:00 pm	Drive along Link Road – proposed Stages 2 and 3 of the Albany Ring Road
1:30 pm	Drive back towards town via Hanrahan Road and along Frenchman Bay Drive, visit Albany Wind Farm with views of the stunning Albany coastline
2:00 pm	Head further along Frenchman Bay Road to the new universally accessible lookout and platform over the crashing waves at the Gap and Natural Bridge
2:30 pm	Travel to the Mounts to visit the Desert Mounted Corps Memorial and Padre White Lookout for stunning 360° views
3:30 pm	TRANSFERS TO CONGRESS HOTELS

National Local Roads & Transport Congress 2017

6-8 NOVEMBER
2017
ALBANY • WA

CONGRESS PROGRAM

MONDAY 6 NOVEMBER	
11:00 am-3:30 pm	Albany Showcase and Tour
5:00-7:00 pm	WELCOME RECEPTION VENUE NATIONAL ANZAC CENTRE
TUESDAY 7 NOVEMBER	
8:45 am	OPENING ADDRESS ALGA President
9:00 am	GOVERNMENT ADDRESS The Hon Darren Chester MP , Minister for Infrastructure and Transport (invited)
9:30 am	KEYNOTE ADDRESS Transport – Challenges and Opportunities for Tomorrow Russell Whale , ARUP
10:00 am	MORNING TEA
10:30 am	PANEL Improving Freight Productivity Nicole Lockwood , National Freight and Supply Chain Strategy Inquiry, Regional case study – WA Wheat belt CSIRO, Dr Andrew Higgins
11:45 am	MELBOURNE CUP LUNCH
1:00 pm	KEYNOTE ADDRESS Shaping Australia's Transport Future Through Road Reform Adrian Kemp , HoustonKemp
1:30 pm	PANEL Local Roads and Bridges – Fit for Purpose Peter Damen , Australian Road Research Board Adrian Kemp , HoustonKemp
2:30 pm	Albany case study
3:00 pm	AFTERNOON TEA
3:30 pm	PANEL Community Infrastructure Funding
5:00 pm	CLOSE
6:15-11:00 pm	CONGRESS DINNER VENUE CENTENNIAL STADIUM

WEDNESDAY 8 NOVEMBER	
9:00 am	PANEL Intelligent Transport Infrastructure Donna Wieland , Transport Technology Futures Branch, Department of Infrastructure Russell Whale , ARUP
10:00 am	OPPOSITION ADDRESS The Hon Anthony Albanese MP , Shadow Minister for Infrastructure, Transport, Cities and Regional Development (invited)
10:30 am	MORNING TEA
11:00 am	PANEL Improving Safety on Local Roads David Bobberman , Austroads Adrian Kemp , HoustonKemp
11:45 am	PANEL Regional Airports
12:30 pm	LUNCH
1:30 pm	Update of the Local Government Transport Strategy and Congress Communique
3:00 pm	CLOSE



KEYNOTE SPEAKERS



The Hon Darren Chester MP

Minister for Infrastructure and Transport

Darren Chester was elected to Federal Parliament as the Member for Gippsland on June 26, 2008, in a by-election following the retirement of the Hon Peter McGauran.

Darren was re-elected in 2010 and served as the Opposition's Shadow Parliamentary Secretary for Roads and Regional Transport, as well as being an active member of various Parliamentary Committees until the most recent Federal election.

Following a successful campaign in 2013 and the introduction of the Abbott-Truss Government, he was appointed the Parliamentary Secretary to the Minister for Defence.

Darren continued as the Assistant Minister for Defence under the new Turnbull Government and in February 2016, was appointed to Cabinet as the Federal Minister for Infrastructure and Transport.

Prior to entering Federal Parliament, he worked as a newspaper and television journalist throughout Gippsland and then became Chief of Staff to the Leader of The Nationals in Victoria, Peter Ryan.

Darren is a former Chief Executive Officer of regional lobby group Champions of the Bush and served two terms as President of the Lakes Entrance Business Tourism Association (LEBTA), where he was heavily involved in tourism marketing and organising major events.



The Hon Anthony Albanese MP

Shadow Minister for Infrastructure, Transport, Cities and Regional Development

Anthony Albanese grew up in a public housing community in Camperdown and recognised early on the need to fight for social justice, so that there was equal opportunity in society.

That is what led Anthony to join the Australian Labor Party. He developed his political drive working for former prisoner of war and legendary Whitlam and Hawke Government Minister Tom Uren.

In 1996 Anthony was elected as the Member for Grayndler in Sydney's inner west, where he has lived his entire life.

Following the election of the Federal Labor Government in November 2007, Anthony became the Minister for Infrastructure and Transport and Leader of the House of Representatives.

Anthony was named Infrastructure Minister of the Year for 2012 by London based publication Infrastructure Investor. In June 2013, he became Deputy Prime Minister, and also took on additional responsibility as Minister for Broadband, Communications and the Digital Economy. Anthony was re-elected the Member for Grayndler in 2013 and is now the Shadow Minister for Transport and Infrastructure, and the Shadow Minister for Tourism.



Peter Damen

CEO, Australian Road Research Board

Peter Damen is the Chief Operating Officer at the Australian Road Research Board, which conducts applied research in the national interest to achieve an adaptable connected future for all Australians.

Peter is a qualified civil engineer with over 25 years' experience in the transport industry and has a solid background in research, innovation and emerging technologies. Peter works closely with all levels of government and has chaired or participated in numerous industry associations, state advisory committees, accreditation panels, and learned societies. Amongst other responsibilities he is currently a Board member of the Global Road Safety Partnership based in Geneva, the Chair of the Executive Steering Committee of the Australia and New Zealand Driverless Vehicle Initiative, and an International Vice President of the Road Engineering Association of Asia and Australasia. Peter is also responsible for other major national initiatives including the National Road Safety Partnership Program and the National Assets Centre for Excellence.



Dr Andrew Higgins

Principal Research Scientist, CSIRO Land and Water

Andrew is a Principal Research Scientist at the CSIRO Land and Water business unit, based in Brisbane. He joined CSIRO in 1996, with a passion in developing novel methods to optimise agriculture transport and logistics to increase profitability across the supply chains. Andrew worked closely with various Australian agriculture industries (including sugar and beef) for over 15 years, improving strategic and operational planning across all segments between farming and marketing.

From 2012, Andrew led the development of the Transport Network Strategic Investment Tool (TRANSIT), which is a state-of-the-art model for assessing and optimising infrastructure investments (road upgrades, use of rail versus road, processing and storage facilities) and policy interventions in agriculture logistics. It holistically estimates the transport benefits across the freight network, by analysing every possible vehicle and rail trip each year, and optimising routes between all enterprises in the supply network. Recent applications to northern Australia have shown significant transport cost savings at some locations. Andrew has a background in operations research and received his PhD from Queensland University of Technology on the topic of optimising rail freight schedules.



Adrian Kemp

Partner, HoustonKemp

Adrian Kemp is an economist and founding partner of HoustonKemp, a firm dedicated to the application of economics and data analytics to complex problems arising in public policy and business.

He has a particular interest in the role that technology and emerging data can play to improve transport infrastructure policy and practices within Australia and regionally, and so delivering better outcomes for the community through improved productivity and effectiveness of the transport industry.

This interest stems from having spent much of the last ten years at the forefront of debates surrounding road reform, road infrastructure funding and financing, heavy vehicle regulation, public transport policy, and the regulation of ports. Highlights of this work include shaping current road reform proposals through numerous projects on road infrastructure pricing, investment and funding, and being the principal regulatory advisor to the Victorian government on the Port of Melbourne lease, which involved redesigning the regulatory framework applying to port fees.

Most recently, Adrian has been developing metrics to monitor road safety, speed performance, and reliability, using GPS-probe data, and investigating how blockchain technology and machine learning can revolutionise the transport industry, including improvements to the operations and interactions of road agencies.



Caroline Wilkie

CEO, Australian Airports Association

Caroline Wilkie has been CEO of the Australian Airports Association (AAA) since 2011. The AAA represents all major regular passenger transport airports in Australia as well as council airports.

The membership spans from councils with grass strip runways to Australia's major gateways.

The AAA also represents a further 140 corporate members. The AAA is engaged in research, developing industry publications, education, advocacy and major industry events.

Caroline has a Masters of Public Affairs and more than fifteen years' experience in association management.

5 Shaping Transport's Future



CALL FOR CASE STUDIES

Local Government's Freight Strategy

In May 2017, the Government established an inquiry into National Freight and Supply Chain Priorities. The Inquiry is extremely important as the Australian Government seeks to improve freight and supply chain efficiency and capacity, and to reduce the costs of transporting goods through our major container ports, airports and intermodal terminals.

It is essential that local government's voice is heard by the Government and by key decision-makers so that they understand that further strategic investment is needed to address first and last mile issues. On local roads and strategic freight routes investment can unlock transport productivity, particularly in regional and rural Australia.

Last year ALGA released its Local Government Higher Productivity Investment Plan (Freight Strategy). The Plan shows that local government is an essential partner in providing solutions to improve transport productivity. Commonwealth Government

investment of \$200 million per year for five years will enable councils to better address first and last mile access issues on key regional freight routes.

To support this proposal ALGA has a number of excellent examples of regional transport plans that have been developed by Regional Organisations of Councils (ROC) and groups of councils.

However, these examples do not tell the full national story. To advocate for your region, and to help us show the Government the opportunities for investment right across the country, ALGA is seeking more case studies and examples of regional transport plans that have been undertaken by every ROC or regional groups of councils.

These case studies will be published to demonstrate councils' capacity to collaborate, and to play an essential role in regional economic development and national productivity.

WHAT DO WE WANT FROM YOU?

Ideally, we would like you to send us the following information:

- Name of regional partnership/ regional road group
- Councils included in the partnership
- Short summary of regional freight plan or regional freight initiative
- Costs and benefits of the project
- Project planning and implementation timeframes
- Map of area
- Photos.

If you think your council or group of councils can help and would like to have your Plan included in ALGA's advocacy resources, contact:

**Clare Sullivan at ALGA
Phone 02 6122 9400**

CASE STUDY • 2016

Wheatbelt Region

WESTERN AUSTRALIA



Local Government's Community Infrastructure Ideas Register

The Australian Local Government Association (ALGA) is developing a register of potential community infrastructure projects around Australia. ALGA will draw on this register in building the evidence base to strengthen the case for a Commonwealth funding program to assist councils to undertake vital projects to meet community needs.

In every town and every community across the nation, Australians are using council owned and managed community infrastructure. Facilities such as public pools, libraries, children's playgrounds, sports fields, youth drop-in centres, bike paths,

arts centres and parks enhance the quality of life and enrich the experience of citizens every day.

Community infrastructure underpins community wellbeing and fosters social interaction, and participation in sport, recreation and cultural endeavours. While some of these facilities are relatively new, many were built decades ago and require significant maintenance and upgrades to ensure they continue to meet the needs of the community.

ALGA is calling for a Commonwealth funding program of \$300 million per annum for four years to improve our community infrastructure.

WE NEED YOUR HELP

We need many council examples to demonstrate the strong need for Commonwealth funding – the register needs to contain at least three projects from every council in Australia.

Register your project ideas by completing the form on the ALGA website at: www.alga.asn.au

CASE STUDY • 2016
Break O'Day Council
TASMANIA



CASE STUDY • 2016
Cairns Regional Council
QUEENSLAND



CASE STUDY • 2016
Lockhart Shire Council
NEW SOUTH WALES





REGISTRATION FEES

 REGISTER ONLINE
www.alga.asn.au

STANDARD REGISTRATION

\$890

Payment received on or before
Friday 29 September 2017

LATE REGISTRATION

\$990

Payment received after
Friday 29 September 2017

REGISTRATION INCLUDES

- Attendance at all congress sessions
- One ticket to the Welcome Drinks, Monday
- One ticket to the Congress Dinner, Tuesday
- Morning tea, lunch and afternoon tea as per the congress program
- Congress satchel and materials.

PAYMENT PROCEDURES

Payment can be made by:

- Credit card – MasterCard and Visa
- Cheque made payable to ALGA Roads Congress.

CANCELLATION POLICY

All alterations or cancellations to your registration must be made in writing and will be acknowledged by post, facsimile or email.

Notification should be sent to:

Conference Co-ordinators
PO Box 4994
Chisholm ACT 2905
Facsimile (02) 6292 9002
Email roadscongress@confco.com.au

An administration charge of \$110.00 will be made to any participant cancelling before Friday 29 September 2017.

Cancellations received after Friday 29 September 2017 will be required to pay full registration fees. However, if you are unable to attend, substitutes are welcome at no additional cost.

By submitting your registration, you agree to the terms of the cancellation policy.

PRIVACY DISCLOSURE

ALGA collects your personal contact information in its role as a peak body for local government.

ALGA may disclose your personal contact information to the sponsors of the event for the purposes of commercial business opportunities. If you consent to ALGA using and disclosing your personal contact information in this way, please tick the appropriate box on the registration form.

Importantly, your name may also be included in the Congress List of Participants. You must tick the appropriate box on the registration form if you wish your name to appear in this list.

National Local Roads & Transport Congress 2017

6-8 NOVEMBER
2017
ALBANY • WA

SOCIAL FUNCTIONS

WELCOME RECEPTION

MONDAY 6 NOVEMBER

VENUE National Anzac Centre and Garrison's Restaurant
5:00–7:00 pm

COST \$50 per person for partners and guests. No charge for full registered delegates

DRESS Smart casual

The Welcome Reception will be held at the National Anzac Centre. The centre overlooks King George Sound, the location where the first convoy of Australian and New Zealand troops departed for World War I on 1 November 1914.

CONGRESS DINNER

TUESDAY 7 NOVEMBER

VENUE Centennial Stadium
6:30–10:30 pm

COST \$95 per person for partners and guests. No charge for full registered delegates

DRESS Smart casual

This year's Congress Dinner is being held at Albany's latest addition, the Centennial Stadium. Opened in February 2017, the stadium was part of the redevelopment of the Centennial Park Sporting Precinct.

PARTNER TOURS

TOUR 1 DISCOVER ALBANY TOUR



TUESDAY
7 NOVEMBER
\$70 per person

Today's tour will start with the opportunity to admire the outstanding views of the coast from the Gap-Natural Bridge lookouts and the viewing platform that sits 40 metres directly above the sea.

From there, guests will travel to Discovery Bay for lunch and the choice to either join a guided tour of the Whaling Station or follow the informative trails by themselves.

The afternoon will end with an opportunity to look around the Regional Wildflower Gardens and the Australian Wildlife Park.

TOUR 2 ALBANY HISTORY WALKING TOUR



WEDNESDAY
8 NOVEMBER
\$70 per person

The tour today will offer guests the opportunity to learn the history of Albany with a walk around the Museum of the Great Southern.

The museum overlooks the picturesque Princess Royal Harbour on the site of the first European settlement in Western Australia.

Exhibitions such as The Residency, The Brig Amity and The Eclipse Building will be available for viewing.

Lunch will be a short walk to Dylan's Restaurant and Café before making our way back to the Entertainment Centre.

Shaping
Transport's
Future



Item GB1 - Attachment 1 - National Local Roads and Transport Congress Program

Albany



CONGRESS LOCATION

Albany is the first settlement in Western Australia, located 418 km south east of Perth and has a population of 33,970. Albany is well known for some of the oldest historic buildings, the wildflower festival which runs from August to November and the Kalget River which is one of the oldest rivers in the world. The Kalget River dates to 4 billion years where it existed from the continent of Gondwana before Australia became its own continent.

ALBANY WEATHER IN NOVEMBER

Albany in November is generally very mild, with daily temperatures around 21 degrees and evening temperatures around 17 degrees.

WEST CAPE

Located on the southern coast and only a 30 minute drive from Albany, West Cape is well known for the stunning Shelley beach and Shelley beach lookout. It is a great location where there are multiple activities for visitors such as camping, fishing, hang-gliding, paragliding, bushwalking and four-wheel driving.

ALBANY WIND FARM

A popular tourist destination open seven days a week, the wind farm runs 80% of Albany's electricity and has beautiful views to the Southern Ocean which are not to be missed.

DESERT MOUNTED CORPS MEMORIAL

The Desert Mounted Corps Memorial highlights memory of the Australian and New Zealand soldiers who served in World War I. Situated on the memorial is a statue showing an Australian horseman defending a New Zealand rifleman standing next to his wounded horse.

TORNDIRRUPT NATIONAL PARK

A popular Western Australian National Park, located 10 kilometres south of Albany, Torndirrup National Park is 3,906 hectares and one of the three connected peninsulas on the southern coastline where headlands and white sandy beaches are hard to miss. Sightseeing highlights are the Natural Bridge, The Gap, Blowholes and Cable Beach.

CONGRESS VENUE

The 2017 National Local Roads and Transport Congress will be held at the Albany Entertainment Centre.

Located by the magnificent Princess Royal Harbour, the Albany Entertainment Centre is the perfect location for the 2017 National Roads Congress. Completed in 2010 the 70 million dollar facility is only minutes away from the main street, accommodation options and restaurants.

Parking at the Centre is free and subject to availability. The car park can take up to 135 vehicles. An alternative option is parking in the CBD north of the railway line.

ACCOMMODATION

Quality Suites Banksia Gardens Albany

212 Albany Highway
Albany WA 6330

★★★★ ACCOMMODATION

🕒 30 MINUTE WALK TO VENUE

This 4-star property is a 30-minute walk from the Albany Entertainment Centre.

The 1 Bedroom Suites contain a separate living area, microwave, toaster and refrigerator. 1 Bedroom Apartments feature an equipped kitchen, separate living area and laundry facilities. The 2 Bedroom Apartments have an open plan living and dining area, kitchenette, full sized refrigerator and separate laundry.

Inclusions for this property; free wi-fi, flat panel TV, free undercover parking, laundry facilities, recreation area, air-conditioning and printing, scanning, photocopying access.

The onsite BG's restaurant is open 7-days a week serving breakfast and dinner for all guests.

Room Type	Bedding configuration	Price
1 Bedroom Suite	Queen bedding	\$192.50 per night (1 or 2 pax)
1 Bedroom Apartment	Queen bedding	\$203.50 per night (1 or 2 pax)
2 Bedroom Apartment	Queen bed + single bed or Queen + queen beds	\$203.50 per night (max 4 pax)

Best Western Albany Motel & Apartments

Corner of Spencer Street
and Frederick Street
Albany WA 6330

★★★★ ACCOMMODATION

🕒 10 MINUTE WALK TO VENUE

This property is centrally located and surrounded by restaurants, cafes, shops and is a 10 minute walk from the Albany Entertainment Centre.

All rooms are spacious and include daily housekeeping, free parking, LCD TV, free wi-fi, coffee/tea facilities and air-conditioning.

The two bedroom apartments are located across the road from the main motel up an incline, daily housekeeping is serviced on an alternating day basis.

Room Type	Bedding configuration	Price
Classic Room	Queen bed + one single bed	\$171 per night (1 or 2 pax)
Executive Balcony Room	King bed	\$203 per night (1 or 2 pax)
Premier Harbour View Room	King bed	\$227 per night (1 or 2 pax)
Junior Two-Room Suite	Queen bed + two single beds	\$253 per night (max 4 pax)
Two Bedroom Family Apartment	Queen bed + two single beds and one-fold out bed	\$287 per night (max 6 pax)

Dog Rock Motel

303 Middleton Road
Albany WA 6330

★★★ ACCOMMODATION

🕒 20 MINUTE WALK TO VENUE

Recently updated, the 3-star motel is only a 10 minute walk to the town centre and a 20 minute walk to the Albany Entertainment Centre.

The Deluxe and Executive rooms are spacious and modern. All rooms include air-conditioning, room service, mini bar, free wi-fi, Foxtel, flat screen TV, tea/coffee facilities and free onsite and nearby parking. All Executive rooms include a balcony.

Located onsite is the Lime 303 Bar and Restaurant which has an Australian-Mediterranean styled menu. The bar is open from 4.30 pm till late and the restaurant opens from 6.00 pm till late.

Room Type	Bedding configuration	Price
Deluxe Queen	Queen bed	\$194 per night (1 or 2 pax)
Deluxe Twin	One queen + one single bed	\$224 per night (max 3 pax)
Executive	King bed	\$228 per night (1 or 2 pax)
Executive Twin	King bed + one single bed	\$258 per night (max 3 pax)



TRAVEL

TRAVELLING TO AND FROM ALBANY

Below is a list of flights that travel in and out of Albany from Perth at either end of the conference. Please note all passengers will be required to collect their bags upon landing at Perth Airport.

SUNDAY 5 NOVEMBER 2017

Depart	Arrive			
4:05 pm	5:15 pm	Perth – Albany	REX	
7:25 pm	8:35 pm	Perth – Albany	REX	

MONDAY 6 NOVEMBER 2017

Depart	Arrive			
8:40 am	9:50 am	Perth – Albany	REX	
12:10 pm	1:20 pm	Perth – Albany	REX	
4:05 pm	5:15 pm	Perth – Albany	REX	
7:25 pm	8:35 pm	Perth – Albany	REX	

WEDNESDAY 8 AND THURSDAY 9 NOVEMBER 2017

Depart	Arrive			
6:30 am	7:40 am	Albany – Perth	REX	
10:15 am	11:25 am	Albany – Perth	REX	
1:45 pm	2:55 pm	Albany – Perth	REX	
5:40 pm	6:50 pm	Albany – Perth	REX	

TERMINALS AT PERTH AIRPORT

There are four separate terminals at Perth airport. It is important to take note of which terminals you will need to access for your journey to Albany.

QANTAS

Qantas operates from T3 and T4, and passengers will be required to transfer to T2 for REX flights. There is a complimentary bus service, but travelling time is 15 minutes so please take this into consideration when booking connecting flights.

VIRGIN

The majority of Virgin flights will land at T1 and passengers will be required to make their way to the adjacent T2 for their REX flights to Albany.

FROM T3/T4 TO T1/T2

Bus Operating Hours	Schedule
6am to midnight	Departs on the hour, and at 20 minutes and 40 minutes past the hour
Midnight to 6am	Departs at 15 minutes and 45 minutes past the hour

FROM T1/T2 TO T3/T4

Bus Operating Hours	Schedule
6am to midnight	Departs at 10 minutes, 30 minutes and 50 minutes past the hour
Midnight to 6am	Departs at 25 minutes and 55 minutes past the hour

COACH

Perth to Albany

Pick up and drop off service from East Perth Terminal to Albany Visitor Centre.

\$126.60 return or \$63.30 one way per person which must be pre-booked.

<http://www.transwa.wa.gov.au/Portals/0/Timetables/GS1.pdf?ver=2016-08-29-140641-773>

TAXI SERVICE FROM ALBANY AIRPORT

Albany City Cabs

PHONE 131 008 or 08 9841 7000

Amity Taxis

PHONE 08 9844 4444

Eclipse Taxis

PHONE 13 2227

HIRE CAR

For everyone landing in Perth, the airport has rental cars available. Avis Australia, Budget, Europcar, Hertz, Redspot and Thrifty located on the ground floor at all terminals.

Please note the approximate driving time is 5.5 hours.

CONGRESS COACH TRANSFERS

WELCOME RECEPTION

Monday 6 November 2017

National Anzac Centre

Coaches will collect delegates from all congress hotels at approximately 4:45 pm. The return coaches will depart at 7:00 pm.

CONGRESS DINNER

Tuesday 7 November 2017

Centennial Stadium

Coaches will collect delegates from all congress hotels at approximately 6:15 pm. A return shuttle service will commence at 10:00 pm.

ALBANY ENTERTAINMENT CENTRE TO PERTH AIRPORT

Please register your interest in this potential service via the registration form.

GENERAL INFORMATION

REGISTRATION DESK

The registration desk will be located in the Albany Entertainment Centre outside the Kalyenup Studio Rooms and will be open for the duration of the congress, times below:

Monday 6 November

3:00–4:00 pm
Albany Entertainment Centre

5:00–7:00 pm
National Anzac Centre

Tuesday 7 November

8:00 am–5:00 pm
Albany Entertainment Centre

Wednesday 8 November

8:00 am–2:00 pm
Albany Entertainment Centre

SPECIAL REQUIREMENTS

Every effort will be made to ensure all delegates and guest requirements are catered for. If you have any special requirements (e.g. catering, mobility or any other assistance) please include a note on your registration form under special requirements or contact the Congress Secretariat.



REGISTRATION FORM

NATIONAL LOCAL ROADS AND TRANSPORT CONGRESS 2017
 Tax Invoice: ABN 31 008 613 876

 REGISTER ONLINE
www.alga.asn.au

Multiple delegates » photocopy form
 Register online, download PDF or return this form to:
 Conference Co-ordinators, PO Box 4994 Chisholm ACT 2905
 Phone (02) 6292 9000 Fax (02) 6292 9002
 Email roadscongress@confco.com.au
 By submitting your registration you agree to the terms and conditions of the cancellation policy

PERSONAL DETAILS

TITLE _____ NAME _____ SURNAME _____
(Cr/Ald/Mayor/Other)

POSITION _____

COUNCIL/ORGANISATION _____

ADDRESS _____

SUBURB _____ STATE _____ POSTCODE _____

PHONE _____ MOBILE _____ FAX _____

EMAIL _____

NAME FOR BADGE _____

TYPE OF COUNCIL METRO REGIONAL RURAL OTHER _____

PRIVACY DISCLOSURE

I DO consent to my name appearing in the 2017 Roads Congress List of Participants booklet (name, organisation and state only disclosed) as outlined in the privacy disclosure on page 10.

I DO consent to ALGA disclosing my personal contact information as outlined in the privacy disclosure on page 10.

REGISTRATION FEES

CONGRESS REGISTRATION FEES

STANDARD REGISTRATION FEES (payment received on or before 29 September 2017) \$890.00

LATE REGISTRATION FEES (payment received after 29 September 2017) \$990.00

ACCOMPANYING PARTNERS REGISTRATION

ACCOMPANYING PARTNER NAME FOR LAPEL BADGE _____

SOCIAL FUNCTIONS INCLUDED IN FEES

One ticket to each of the following functions is included in the full Congress registration and/or accompanying partners registration fee. Please confirm if you will be attending by placing a tick in the appropriate boxes. To purchase additional tickets to any of the following functions please indicate the number required and complete the total amount payable.

WELCOME RECEPTION (MONDAY 6 NOVEMBER 2017)

I/we will attend: Delegate Number of additional tickets @ \$50.00 each Total \$

CONGRESS DINNER (TUESDAY 7 NOVEMBER 2017)

I/we will attend: Delegate Number of additional tickets @ \$95.00 each Total \$

PARTNER TOURS

DISCOVER ALBANY TOUR (TUESDAY 7 NOVEMBER 2017)

I will attend: Partner Number of additional tickets @ \$70.00 each Total \$

ALBANY HISTORY WALKING TOUR (WEDNESDAY DAY 8 NOVEMBER 2017)

I will attend: Partner Number of additional tickets @ \$70.00 each Total \$

» Registration form continues over the page

ITEM	GB2
	COUNCIL
DATE	25 September 2017
HEADING	Fund My Neighbourhood
AUTHORS	Julie Douglas, Senior Social Planner, Community Development Craig Johansen, Team Leader Landscape Design, City Infrastructure
CITY PLAN LINKS	3.2 Have interesting places where people want to be. 3.1 Be an adaptive community that embraces change and opportunities. 4.1 Strengthen partnerships that enable us to better address our community's priorities.
SUMMARY	This report provides a summary of project ideas submitted by residents of the City of Salisbury to the Fund My Neighbourhood programme, inclusive of estimated budgets and recommendations for endorsement.

RECOMMENDATION

1. That this report be received.
2. That Council endorses the projects as recommended in Attachment 1 of this report (Item No. GB2, Council, 25/09/2017) for inclusion in the public voting phase of the 'Fund My Neighbourhood' programme noting the caveats in 2.8 of this report.

ATTACHMENTS

This document should be read in conjunction with the following attachments:

1. Fund My Neighbourhood Project Ideas Round 1

1. BACKGROUND

1.1 The state government's 'Fund My Neighbourhood' Programme is a \$40 million participatory budgeting programme that gives the South Australian community power to nominate and vote for projects to improve their neighbourhoods. The funding is being provided in 2 separate 'rounds' with Round 1 nominations having closed on 8 September 2017.

1.1.1 Participatory budgeting is where the community decides how government funding is allocated. In the 'ideas phase', community members suggested projects to improve their local neighbourhood. In the 'voting phase', the community (those aged 18 years and over) can vote for the projects they want to see funded in their local neighbourhoods. The projects that receive the most support in each region will be offered funding.

- 1.2 Projects must align with the program's objectives: 'to improve local neighbourhoods, be accessible to the community and be capable of being completed within twelve months (with one-off funding)'.
- 1.3 Projects have been deemed ineligible if all, or part, are already included in Council's 2017/18 budget.
- 1.4 Applicants were able to seek funding of between \$10,000 and \$150,000 for their project ideas. Funding for projects can only be provided to eligible organisations with which the State Government can enter a legally binding grant agreement and that have an ABN, which includes the following:
 - Incorporated community groups under the Associations Incorporation Act 1985 or similar;
 - Incorporated and registered not-for-profit organisations (e.g. a registered charity, social enterprise);
 - Schools, kindergartens and child care centres;
 - Community sporting/recreation clubs; and
 - Local councils.
- 1.5 An idea cannot be funded unless in due course it is sponsored by one of the listed organisations that are eligible to receive the funding.
- 1.6 This report provides a summary, estimated budget and recommendations for approval to proceed to the voting phase of the projects referred to the City of Salisbury by the Department of Premier and Cabinet (DPC).

2. REPORT

- 2.1 The 'ideas phase' where residents had an opportunity to nominate projects for consideration as part of the first round of funding under the 'Fund My Neighbourhood' project closed on Friday 8 September 2017. During the 'ideas phase' some applicants sought liaison with Council staff prior to lodging an application. All applications (whether liaison occurred or not) identified as relevant for potential Council sponsorship or sited on Council land have been referred to Council by DPC, with assistance from the Local Government Association (LGA). The list of project ideas referred to the City of Salisbury is provided as attachment 1 to this report.
- 2.2 Council staff encouraged and actively promoted the program to residents in order to generate ideas, and awareness of the program.
- 2.3 DPC has sought Council response on projects asking primarily whether Council is prepared to be sponsor (receive a grant and manage the project) or not, and whether, as land owner, Council is prepared to see the project go to the 'voting phase' or not.
 - 2.3.1 This feedback is required to be communicated back to the DPC prior to **6 October 2017**.
 - 2.3.2 Note that projects deemed ineligible, or which are sponsored by another organisation and which are not located on Council land, have not been referred to Council and hence are not included in the attachment.

- 2.4 In mid-October, the ‘public voting’ for projects will be undertaken over an approximate 4-5 week period. The voting process is yet to be confirmed but information on the logistics of this will be released closer to the ‘live voting’ phase in October.
- 2.5 In November/December the successful projects will be announced and grants offered with works to be completed within 12 months of notification.
- 2.6 Where Council has been nominated as a ‘sponsor’, the funding for each successful project will be forwarded to Council to project manage and deliver. Depending on the specific project this may include design, development approvals, consultation and construction. These costs can be included in a project budget.
- 2.7 DPC has sought Council responses in the form of two key questions, subject to the caveats listed below:
- i. Council is willing to be the sponsor/grant recipient and manage this project if approved (for those projects where Council is the logical sponsor);
 - ii. Council supports this project to proceed to public voting phase (for projects on Council land.)
- 2.8 Caveats to sponsoring a projects include:
- All statutory approvals are obtained at a later time;
 - Formal Council land owner approval is obtained at a later time;
 - The Council may negotiate project details at a later time (i.e. an adjustment to the site);
 - The cost estimate may be subject to revision due to issues related to the specific site and scope changes (e.g. provision of power or water to the site); and
 - If the project is to be sponsored by a leaseholder of the Council, that the terms of the lease are upheld.
- 2.9 It should be noted that projects at this “ideas” stage have not been scoped and the only descriptions available are those provided by the applicant on line within a 100 word limit. As a result even if successful at voting a project may be deemed unviable or have significant scope changes and will need to be subject to a Council decision in order to be actioned.
- 2.10 In addition implementation costs have not been provided with all the ideas applications. As a result a cost estimate including project management, based on the 100 word description has been estimated but is subject to change, as further scope changes may occur and the project ideas are refined.
- 2.11 It is therefore unrealistic to expect that Council could within the timeframes and with the scant amount of information endorse being the sponsor or grant recipient at this early stage of the process.
- 2.12 The LGA has advised that if Councils “have serious reservations about a project backed by clear reasons, it may be better not to support a project at this point, rather than to do so later when community expectations have increased.”

- 2.13 DPC has recognised that some projects may trigger requirements for approvals under various pieces of State Legislation. Those approvals will need to be managed after a project is approved. It has also noted that some projects may require development beyond the timeframes allowed in Round 1 and hence applicants could work with Council to further develop a project for Round 2.
- 2.14 Nominated projects will have an impact on the community with potentially new facilities and assets installed, which may require community consultation with affected communities. The potential requirement for community consultation has been taken into account when recommending project ideas that can be delivered within the funding time and cost limits.
- 2.15 As is typical with capital grants, Council will receive the benefit of the capital grant (for projects which might otherwise become future demands on Council) while assuming the long-term cost of maintenance and renewal of assets. Any such additional costs would need to be incorporated in due course (where relevant) into Council's long-term financial plan and infrastructure and asset management plan.

3. CONCLUSION / PROPOSAL

- 3.1 The State Government 'Fund My Neighbourhood' Programme is a \$40 million participatory budgeting programme that gives South Australians the power to nominate and vote for projects to improve their neighbourhoods. The funding is being provided in two separate rounds with Round 1 nominations closed on September 8, 2017.
- 3.2 Subject to Council support the listed projects recommended by staff will proceed to the 'voting' phase of the programme where the projects will be 'voted' on by the community. Each successful project (unless on private land) will then be subject to a grant agreement with Council to project manage and deliver.
- 3.3 Council's in principle endorsement is sought to the projects that have been nominated by residents and received by State Government as part of the 'Fund My Neighbourhood' State Government Funding Programme prior to a public vote for projects.

CO-ORDINATION

Officer:	GMCD	A/GMCI	CEO
Date:	21/09/2017	21/09/2017	21/09/2017

Fund My Neighbourhood – Projects referred to the City of Salisbury

5. Project Title	6. Short Description	7. Location	8. Is Council the logical sponsor?	9. Administration Comments	10. *Approximate costs	11. Administration Recommendation 12. (yes/no)
------------------	----------------------	-------------	------------------------------------	----------------------------	------------------------	---

5. Project Title	6. Short Description	7. Location	8. Is Council the logical sponsor?	9. Administration Comments	10. *Approximate costs	11. Administration Recommendation 12. (yes/no)
13. Adult workout machines in Illberry Green	14. Installation of adult workout machines to encourage older people into the area to use machines for fitness and social inclusion	15. Illberry Green, Helen Tce, Valley View	16. Yes	17. Project delivers activation of a key reserve beyond current service provision to meet the needs of the immediate community.	18. \$27,500 + \$3,450 p/a (maintenance costs)	19. Yes
20. Modern playground equipment in Allen Green	21. More modern, coloured play equipment in Allen Green	22. Allen Green, Warren Rd, Para Hills West	23. Yes	24. Project will activate a reserve which the community has identified as lacking any provision currently.	25. \$150,000 + \$18,000 p/a 26. (maintenance costs)	27. Yes
28. Speed humps on Beafield Road	29. Speed humps along the eastern section of Beafield Rd to calm the traffic	30. Beafield Rd, Para Hills West	31. Yes	32. Unable to support request as address identified is in close proximity to current traffic control device at intersection of Balpi St, Para Hills West.	33. N/A	34. No
35. Bus Shelter for Sanctuary Estate East	36. Bus shelter for Sanctuary Estate East, stop 34R, Elder Smith Road.	37. Elder Smith Rd, Mawson Lakes 38. (Stop 34R)	39. Yes	40. New shelters usually installed with state funding as they support state government services. 41. 42. 43. 44.	45. \$11,750 + 46. \$1,410 p/a 47. (maintenance costs)	48. Yes

Item GB2 - Attachment 1 - Fund My Neighbourhood Project Ideas Round 1

49. Sport equipment for	50. Permanent sports/fitness	51. Yutara	53. Yes	54. Project provides	55. \$27,500 +	56. Yes
--------------------------------	-------------------------------------	-------------------	----------------	-----------------------------	-----------------------	----------------

5. Project Title	6. Short Description	7. Location	8. Is Council the logical sponsor?	9. Administration Comments	10. *Approximate costs	11. Administration Recommendation 12. (yes/no)
Bromley Green	equipment for small neighbourhood park	Ave, Ingle Farm 52. (Bromley Green)		opportunity to activate reserve space beyond current service provision to meet the needs of the immediate community.	\$3,450 p/a (maintenance costs)	
57. Bus shelters for Sanctuary Estate	58. Provide bus shelter for Sanctuary Estate at stop 35, Belfree Drive	59. Belfree Dr, Mawson Lakes 60. (Stop 35 - East side)	61. Yes	62. New shelters usually installed with state funding as they support state government services.	63. \$11,750 + 64. \$1,410 p/a 65. (maintenance costs)	66. Yes
67. Provide Safety = Provide Fun 68. Safe Riding Space in Dunkley Green	69. Provide a safe riding space for community kids in Dunkley Green by developing a bike track to allow the kids to be safely off the roads	70. Brougham Dr, Valley View 71. (Dunkley Green)	72. Yes	73. This type of development has been well received in the past. Project costs include installation of sealed off-road riding course with line marking, picnic shelter and seating.	74. \$75,000 + \$8,750 p/a 75. (maintenance costs)	76. Yes
77. Outdoor Futsal Courts in Baltimore Reserve	78. Provide soccer goals provided and futsal courts in Baltimore Reserve	79. Oleander Dr, Parafield Gardens 80. (Baltimore Reserve)	81. Yes	82. Concept is supported but specific location needs to be further investigated based on other planned future uses of Baltimore Reserve. 83. 84.	88. \$16,500 + \$1,980 p/a 89. (maintenance costs)	90. Yes

Item GB2 - Attachment 1 - Fund My Neighbourhood Project Ideas Round 1

				85.		
--	--	--	--	-----	--	--

5. Project Title	6. Short Description	7. Location	8. Is Council the logical sponsor?	9. Administration Comments	10. *Approximate costs	11. Administration Recommendation 12. (yes/no)
91. Solar Battery Storage at Brahma Lodge Sports Club	92. Install Solar Battery Storage at Brahma Lodge Sports Club	93. Brahma Lodge Sports Club, 94. Harrow Rd, Brahma Lodge	95. No 96. Project sponsored by Brahma Lodge Sports Club	86. 87. 97. The club is in a position to accept the funding and manage works. Council views this as a club asset, which is not the responsibility of Council as it will be separate from the responsibility as landlord. 98. Council required to approve works within or on Council owned building, to ensure it is in accordance required standards and management practices.	99. \$50,000 + \$6,000 p/a 100. (maintenance costs)	101. Yes
102. Mawson Lakes Skate/Bike Park in Shearwater Reserve	103. Provide a skate/bike park in Shearwater Reserve	104. Shearwater Lake Reserve, Mawson Lakes	105. Yes	106. Extensive community consultation and site identification/design required for a project of this nature would make it undeliverable within the timeframe and budget. 107.	113. \$150,000 (dependent on scale of development)	114. Yes

			108.		
--	--	--	-------------	--	--

5. Project Title	6. Short Description	7. Location	8. Is Council the logical sponsor?	9. Administration Comments	10. *Approximate costs	11. Administration Recommendation 12. (yes/no)
				109. 110. 111. 112.		
115. Mawson Lakes Community Garden in Shearwater Reserve	116. Develop a community garden in Shearwater Reserve as a sustainable project developed with the local school to teach children the importance of a sustainable way of eating.	117. Shearwater Lake Reserve, Mawson Lakes	118. No	119. A project of this nature is not supported unless it is associated with a Community Centre or other organisation to manage the facility - such as proposed the local School. An agreement with Council would be required with the sponsor.	120. \$80,000 +\$9,600 p/a 121. (operating /maintenance costs)	122. Yes
123. Basketball Court for Mawson Lakes in Shearwater Reserve	124. Provide a basketball court in Shearwater Reserve.	125. Shearwater Lake Reserve, Mawson Lakes	126. Yes	127. Concept is supported but specific location needs to be further investigated.	128. \$22,000 + \$2,640 p/a 129. (maintenance costs)	130. Yes 131. 132. 133.
134. Revamp of Shearwater Reserve Playground	135. Revamp the Shearwater Reserve playground	136. Shearwater Lake Reserve, Mawson Lakes	137. Yes	138. The renewal of a newer playspace is not supported. There are higher priority sites within the Council area.	139. \$80,000 +\$9,600 p/a 140. (maintenance costs)	141. Yes
142. Playground Upgrade Springbank Boulevard Reserve	143. Upgrade the Springbank Boulevard Reserve, including adding a slide, equipment for younger children	144. Springbank Blvd Reserve,	145. Yes	146. Project delivers activation of a key reserve beyond current	151. \$90,000 + \$10,800 p/a 152. (maintenance	153. Yes

Item GB2 - Attachment 1 - Fund My Neighbourhood Project Ideas Round 1

	and a fence.	Springbank		service provision to meet	costs)	
--	--------------	------------	--	---------------------------	--------	--

5. Project Title	6. Short Description	7. Location	8. Is Council the logical sponsor?	9. Administration Comments	10. *Approximate costs	11. Administration Recommendation 12. (yes/no)
		Blvd, Burton		the needs of the immediate community. 147. 148. 149. 150.		
154. Gym for Northern Districts Athletics Club	155. Develop a gym for athletes of all ages and abilities as part of the Northern Districts Athletics Club	156. 79 Rundle Rd, Salisbury South	157. No 158. Project sponsored by Northern Districts Athletics Club	159. The club is in a position to accept the funding and manage works. Council views this as a club asset, which is not the responsibility of Council as it will be separate from the responsibility as landlord. The Club will need to consider what the equipment is to be and where it is to be located and who is responsible for the ongoing maintenance. 160. Council required to approve works within or on Council owned building, to ensure it is in accordance required	169.	170. Yes

Item GB2 - Attachment 1 - Fund My Neighbourhood Project Ideas Round 1

				standards and		
--	--	--	--	---------------	--	--

5. Project Title	6. Short Description	7. Location	8. Is Council the logical sponsor?	9. Administration Comments	10. *Approximate costs	11. Administration Recommendation 12. (yes/no)
				management practices. 161. 162. 163. 164. 165. 166. 167. 168.		
171. Recreation Park Upgrade – DeMille Street Reserve	172. Upgrade the DeMille Street Reserve with better grassed areas, playground and basketball court to encourage children and young adults to go outside and be active.	173. De Mille Street Reserve, Salisbury Downs	174. Yes	175. Renewal of the playspace already allocated in the budget for this financial year and therefore is ineligible for the Fund My Neighbourhood Programme.	176. \$70,000 + \$8,400 p/a 177. (maintenance costs)	178. No
179. Beautifying ABC – Community Garden in Para Hills West	180. Activation of greenspace at Gian Green for the benefit of the Para Hills West neighbourhood by developing a community garden where neighbours can meet to create a sense of place and foster good neighbour attitudes.	181. Gian Green, Coorong Cres, Para Hills West	182. Yes	183. A community garden project is not supported unless it is associated with a Community Centre or other organisation to manage the facility.	184. \$80,000 + \$9,600 p/a 185. (operating /maintenance costs)	186. Yes
187. Dog Park Shelter at Happy Home Reserve	188. Improved shelter at Happy Home dog park, Salisbury. A larger shelter would enable more accessibility during	189. Waterloo Cnr Road, Salisbury North	190. Yes	191. Project is supported to improve comfort and amenity for	192. \$25,000 + 193. \$3,000 p/a 194. (maintenance	195. Yes 196. 197.

Item GB2 - Attachment I - Fund My Neighbourhood Project Ideas Round 1

	weather extremes to continue social	(Happy Home		park users.	costs)	198.
--	-------------------------------------	-------------	--	-------------	--------	-------------

5. Project Title	6. Short Description	7. Location	8. Is Council the logical sponsor?	9. Administration Comments	10. *Approximate costs	11. Administration Recommendation 12. (yes/no)
	contact for some of our more vulnerable friends and members.	Reserve)				199. 200. 201. 202. 203. 204. 205. 206. 207. 208. 209. 210.
211. Safe Puppy Park	212. Provide a safe segregated Pup Park, to keep small and big dogs separated.	213. As a segregated enclosure attached to existing Dog Parks at either Bolivar Rd, Paralowie or Happy Home Reserve, Salisbury North	214. Yes	215. Project is being considered as part of future council reports and Dog and Cat Management Plan. 216. Project requires community consultation to identify most suitable location.	217. \$65,000 + 218. \$7,800 p/a 219. (maintenance costs)	220. Yes
221. Cobbler Creek to Little Para Link	222. Link Cobbler Creek and Little Para walking trails with a signed walking link between Cobbler Creek walking trails to the Little Para Trails via Salisbury	223. Cobbler Creek Conservation Reserve,	224. Yes	225. This corridor requires significant work to make it DDA compliant as grades are close to 1 in	227. >\$150,000 + \$18,000 p/a (maintenance costs)	228. No

Item GB2 - Attachment 1 - Fund My Neighbourhood Project Ideas Round 1

	Heights	Salisbury East		1.		
--	---------	----------------	--	----	--	--

5. Project Title	6. Short Description	7. Location	8. Is Council the logical sponsor?	9. Administration Comments	10. *Approximate costs	11. Administration Recommendation 12. (yes/no)
				<p>226. 36 months required for delivery of project. Budget and timeline do not meet funding guidelines.</p>		
<p>229. Getting Fit at Boulevard Reserve</p>	<p>230. Develop an exercise park at the Boulevard Reserve to encourage healthy living. Making it about exercise and getting healthy for all ages.</p>	<p>231. Boulevard Wetland Reserve, Chestnut Dr, Parafield Gardens</p>	<p>232. Yes</p>	<p>233. Project delivers activation of a key reserve beyond current service provision to meet the needs of the immediate community. 234. 235. 236. 237.</p>	<p>238. \$27,500 + \$3,450 p/a (maintenance costs)</p>	<p>239. Yes</p>
<p>240. Community Garden in Mawson Lakes</p>	<p>241. A community garden with a slide and bench for children to teach children where food comes from and encourage families to mingle and create good neighbourly relationships.</p>	<p>242. Beechwood Ave Reserve, Elmwood Ct, Mawson Lakes</p>	<p>243. Yes</p>	<p>244. Site not suitable as the proposed reserve is a drainage reserve. Encroachment into this will cause drainage issues for the immediate residential area. 245. Additionally a community garden project is not supported unless it is associated with a Community Centre</p>	<p>246. \$80,000 +\$9,600 p/a 247. (operating /maintenance costs)</p>	<p>248. Yes</p>

				or other organisation to		
--	--	--	--	--------------------------	--	--

5. Project Title	6. Short Description	7. Location	8. Is Council the logical sponsor?	9. Administration Comments	10. *Approximate costs	11. Administration Recommendation 12. (yes/no)
249. Reconciliation Playground - Indigenous/Multiculturally Themed	250. Develop an all-inclusive playground at the Camelot Drive Reserve as an all age playground, a half-court basketball option with bench seating, a bbq area with shelter and fence. This will help grow and connect our community in a safe inclusive environment.	251. Camelot Drive Reserve, Cnr Lyndon Rd & Camelot Dr, Salisbury North	252. Yes	manage the facility. 253. Concept requires significant consultation with local ATSI, CALD and local communities, which may not be achievable within the funding timeframe. 254. Specific location needs to be further investigated based on other planned future uses of Camelot Drive Reserve. 255. 256. 257.	258. \$120,000 + \$14,400 p/a 259. (maintenance costs)	260. Yes
261. Toilet Facilities at Unity Park, Pooraka	262. Installation of an additional toilet facility west of the pond in Unity Park to be more family friendly particularly for those using BBQ, playground and open areas.	263. Unity Park, South Tce, Pooraka	264. Yes	265. Existing facilities available at the reserve. Significant public consultation required for a project of this scale to determine location. Unlikely that project can be delivered within timeframe and budget.	266. \$150,000 + \$18,000 p/a 267. (maintenance costs)	268. Yes
269. De Mille Street Playground Greening	270. Upgrade existing play area/green play space on DeMille St. Recently this	271. DeMille St, Salisbury	272. Yes	273. Project delivers activation of a key	274. \$80,000 + \$9,600 p/a	276. Yes

	street was opened up with access to	Downs		reserve beyond current	275. (maintenance	
--	-------------------------------------	-------	--	------------------------	--------------------------	--

5. Project Title	6. Short Description	7. Location	8. Is Council the logical sponsor?	9. Administration Comments	10. *Approximate costs	11. Administration Recommendation 12. (yes/no)
	Kings Rd. The park can be accessed by 5 different streets and could be a place for different cultures to interact. Project proposes to green the area to improve its amenity.	(Scott Green)		service provision to meet the needs of the immediate community.	costs)	
277. Better Lighting at Mawson Lakes Parks	278. Lighting between Mawson Lakes interchange and Park Way. Footpath lighting would make a big difference to safety in the area and more people may start using the area.	279. Elder Smith Rd to Park Way, Mawson Lakes	280. Yes	281. Project proposes extensive footpath lighting that cannot be delivered within available budget and timeline of the programme. 282. 283. 284. 285. 286. 287.	288. >\$150,000	289. Yes
290. Para Hills Oval Permanent BBQ	291. Build a permanent BBQ for all to use. Due to it being a windy location a permanent BBQ area with some shade and wind block would be a great addition for many to use the current facilities and open space.	292. Murrall Rd, Para Hills (Para Hills Cricket Club)	293. No 294. Project sponsored by Para Hills Cricket Club	295. The club is in a position to accept the funding and manage works. Council views this as a club asset, which is not the responsibility of Council as it will be separate from the responsibility as landlord. 296. Council required to	311. \$12,000	312. Yes

Item GB2 - Attachment 1 - Fund My Neighbourhood Project Ideas Round 1

				approve works within or		
--	--	--	--	-------------------------	--	--

5. Project Title	6. Short Description	7. Location	8. Is Council the logical sponsor?	9. Administration Comments	10. *Approximate costs	11. Administration Recommendation 12. (yes/no)
				on Council owned building, to ensure it is in accordance required standards and management practices. 297. 298. 299. 300. 301. 302. 303. 304. 305. 306. 307. 308. 309. 310.		
313. Ingle Farm Sporting club Playspace	314. Installation of a playground at Ingle Farm Sporting Club to improve, development, wellbeing and cohesion of the community.	315. Belalie Rd, Ingle Farm	316. No 317. Project sponsored by Ingle Farm Sporting Club Inc	318. The club is in a position to accept the funding and manage works. Council views this as a club asset, which is not the responsibility of Council as it will be separate from the	320. \$122,000	321. Yes

Item GB2 - Attachment 1 - Fund My Neighbourhood Project Ideas Round 1

				responsibility as landlord.		
--	--	--	--	-----------------------------	--	--

5. Project Title	6. Short Description	7. Location	8. Is Council the logical sponsor?	9. Administration Comments	10. *Approximate costs	11. Administration Recommendation 12. (yes/no)
				<p>319. Council required to approve works within or on Council owned building, to ensure it is in accordance required standards and management practices.</p>		
<p>322. Family Friendly Park at Allen Green</p>	<p>323. Upgraded & sun smart playground at Allen Green. Including an update of the old steel playground with newer equipment, with a cover of some sort to make it sun safe and a BBQ area.</p>	<p>324. Warren Rd, Para Hills West 325. (Allen Green)</p>	<p>326. Yes</p>	<p>327. Project will activate a reserve which the community has identified as lacking any provision currently. 328. 329. 330. 331. 332. 333. 334. 335.</p>	<p>336. \$150,000 + \$18,000 p/a 337. (maintenance costs)</p>	<p>338. Yes</p>
<p>339. Community Vegan Hub</p>	<p>340. Vegan space (meals, information, fun activities) promoting healthy lifestyles.</p>	<p>341. No location identified</p>	<p>342. No</p>	<p>343. Extensive community consultation and site identification/design required for a project of this nature would make it undeliverable within the</p>	<p>344. >\$150,000 (dependent on scale of development and ongoing operational needs)</p>	<p>345. No</p>

				timeframe and budget.		
--	--	--	--	-----------------------	--	--

5. Project Title	6. Short Description	7. Location	8. Is Council the logical sponsor?	9. Administration Comments	10. *Approximate costs	11. Administration Recommendation 12. (yes/no)
				Additionally, would require staffing and ongoing program costs.		
346. Teasdale Crescent Playground Renewal	347. Playground renewal, installation of shelter and seating at Teasdale Crescent playground	348. Teasdale Cres, Parafield Gardens	349. Yes	350. Project delivers activation of a key reserve beyond current service provision to meet the needs of the immediate community.	351. \$70,000 + \$8,400 p/a 352. (maintenance costs)	353. Yes
354. Footpath for Murray Street, Salisbury	355. Murray Street in Salisbury needs a footpath on the northern side of the street.	356. Murray St, Salisbury	357. Yes	358. Project improves accessibility of the neighbourhood.	359. \$65,000 + 360. \$7,800 p/a 361. (maintenance costs)	362. Yes
363. Playground Upgrade at Baden Park	364. Upgrade Baden Park with more playground equipment, a table and seating for families to use for picnic food for the children.	365. Bolton Rd, Para Hills 366. (Baden Park)	367. Yes	368. Project delivers activation of a key reserve beyond current service provision to meet the needs of the immediate community.	369. \$70,000 + \$8,400 p/a 370. (maintenance costs)	371. Yes
372. From Barren to Bountiful - Tantalising Tintern Verge Development	373. Transform unused weedy verge to productive urban food strip by creating an edible garden in raised garden beds, with easy to grow veggies, herbs and some fruit trees for the residents on the street to enjoy.	374. Tintern Pl, Salisbury Heights	375. Yes	376. Project delivers activation and improves amenity in the area	377. \$10,000	378. Yes
379. Suicide Prevention - Culturally Appropriate	380. Produce culturally sensitive information for the Salisbury Suicide	381. No location	382. Yes	383. Project is supported as it delivers	384. \$17,500	385. Yes

Item GB2 - Attachment 1 - Fund My Neighbourhood Project Ideas Round 1

Resources	Prevention network. We have	required		important resources to		
------------------	-----------------------------	----------	--	------------------------	--	--

5. Project Title	6. Short Description	7. Location	8. Is Council the logical sponsor?	9. Administration Comments	10. *Approximate costs	11. Administration Recommendation 12. (yes/no)
	developed information flyers and conversation cards, and would like to extend the development of resources to be more culturally specific and inclusive, specifically reaching out to our Aboriginal community.			the community. Salisbury Suicide Prevention Network is well placed to assist with managing the delivery of this project.		
386. Community ToolShed in Mawson Lakes	387. Develop a community space to share and exchange home and garden tools with neighbours. Initial funds to build a community Tool Shed, mobile platform and buy essential tools. This will be open for anyone in the suburb for free of charge and community board will be appointed to manage the "ToolShed".	388. Location to be determined in Mawson Lakes	389. Yes	390. Project would require extensive community consultation to determine appropriate site location, design and operating model. Project could not be delivered within the timeframe and budget. The project has ongoing maintenance and co-ordination costs for council. 391. 392. 393. 394. 395. 396. 397. 398.	399. >\$150,000 (dependent on scale of development)	400. No
401. Installation of Solar	402. Reduction of energy costs for	404. Manor	405. No	407. The club is in a	409. \$33,785	410. Yes

Item GB2 - Attachment 1 - Fund My Neighbourhood Project Ideas Round 1

System for Amateur Junior	Para Hills West Soccer Club through the	Farm Oval,	406. Pro	position to accept the		
----------------------------------	---	------------	-----------------	------------------------	--	--

5. Project Title	6. Short Description	7. Location	8. Is Council the logical sponsor?	9. Administration Comments	10. *Approximate costs	11. Administration Recommendation 12. (yes/no)
& Senior Soccer	installation of a solar system. 403.	Northbri Ave' Salisbury East	ject sponsored by Para Hills West Soccer Club	funding and manage works. Council views this as a club asset, which is not the responsibility of Council as it will be separate from the responsibility as landlord. 408. Council required to approve works within or on Council owned building, to ensure it is in accordance required standards and management practices.		
411. Shade Sales at Kites and Kestrels Playground	412. Shade sales - kites and kestrels' playground at Cobbler Creek Reserve.	413. Cobbler Creek Reserve, Salisbury East	414. Yes	415. Project is supported to improve comfort and amenity for park users.	416. \$25,000 + 417. \$3,000 p/a 418. (maintenance costs)	419. Yes
420. Community Garden at Para Hills West Preschool	421. Community garden improving area, safety, use and respect for all. The provision of a nature inspired, native garden with seating and posts representing local cultural connections with support community use as will access to the edible planting.	422. Para Hills West Preschool 69 Kesters Rd, Para Hills West	423. No 424. Project is sponsored by Para Hills West Preschool	425. Project is proposed on land owned by Dept of Education & Child Development, and sponsored by Para Hills West Preschool 426. 427. 428.	430. \$80,000 +\$9,600 p/a 431. (operating /maintenance costs)	432. Yes

Item GB2 - Attachment I - Fund My Neighbourhood Project Ideas Round 1

				429.		
--	--	--	--	-------------	--	--

5. Project Title	6. Short Description	7. Location	8. Is Council the logical sponsor?	9. Administration Comments	10. *Approximate costs	11. Administration Recommendation 12. (yes/no)
433. Baloo Street Playground Redevelopment	434. Update, upgrade the playground located on Baloo St Ingle Farm.	435. Baloo St, Ingle Farm	436. Yes	437. Project delivers activation of a key reserve beyond current service provision to meet the needs of the immediate community.	438. \$90,000 + \$10,800 p/a 439. (maintenance costs)	440. Yes
441. Fitness Equipment in the Park – Mawson Lakes	442. Outdoor Fitness park in Mawson Lakes	443. Mawson Lakes, specific location not identified	444. Yes	445. Project requires community consultation and site identification which are unlikely to be delivered within the funding timelines	446. \$27,500 + \$3,450 p/a (maintenance costs)	447. Yes
448. Safety For Members and the Community at Salisbury Bowling Club	449. To Pave and make safe surrounds to the all-weather green at Salisbury Bowling Club	450. Salisbury Bowling Club, 451. 5 Orange Ave, Salisbury	452. No 453. Project is sponsored by Salisbury Bowling Club Inc. 454. 455.	456. Project does not require Council recommendation as the site is not Council owned property.	457. \$10,000	458. Yes
459. Off Road Cycle Path at Dry Creek Corridor	460. The establishment of a sealed trail along Dry Creek corridor.	461. Dry Creek Corridor, Lindblom Park, Pooraka	462. Yes	463. Concept is supported but community consultation and specific location needs to be further	466. \$150,000 + \$18,000 p/a 467. (maintenance costs)	468. Yes

				investigated based on		
--	--	--	--	-----------------------	--	--

5. Project Title	6. Short Description	7. Location	8. Is Council the logical sponsor?	9. Administration Comments	10. *Approximate costs	11. Administration Recommendation 12. (yes/no)
				other planned future uses of Lindblom Park. 464. 465.		
469. Pine Lakes Playground Re-development	470. Re-fresh the Pine Lakes playground, include new play equipment	471. Pine Lakes Reserve, Rhode Island Drive, Parafield Gardens	472. Yes	473. Project delivers activation of reserve beyond current service provision to meet the needs of the immediate community.	474. \$90,000 + \$10,800 p/a 475. (maintenance costs)	476. Yes
477. All Weather Shelter Including Electric BBQ Tables and Seating at St Kilda Playground	478. Provision of solid roof all weather structure, bench table/seating and electric BBQ.	479. St Kilda Playground, St Kilda	480. Yes	481. Project delivers activation of key reserve beyond current service provision to meet the needs of the broader community.	482. \$60,000 + 483. \$7,200 p/a 484. (maintenance costs)	485. Yes
486. Cross Keys BMX Track Upgrades	487. New asphalt berms to Cross Keys BMX Track	488. South Tce, Pooraka	489. No 490. Project is sponsored by 491. Cross Keys BMX Club	492. The club is in a position to accept the funding and manage works. Council views this as a club asset, which is not the responsibility of Council as it will be separate from the responsibility as landlord. 493. Council required to approve works within or	497. \$55,000	498. Yes

				on Council owned		
--	--	--	--	------------------	--	--

5. Project Title	6. Short Description	7. Location	8. Is Council the logical sponsor?	9. Administration Comments	10. *Approximate costs	11. Administration Recommendation 12. (yes/no)
				building, to ensure it is in accordance required standards and management practices. 494. 495. 496.		
499. Rage Cage	500. A Multi-sport complex for the community	501. Manor Farm Oval, Northbri Ave, Salisbury East	502. Yes	503. Extensive community consultation and site identification/ design and operational models required for a project of this nature would make it undeliverable within the timeframe and budget. Additionally, would require staffing and ongoing program costs. further more the nature of the multi sports mix is unknown.	504. >\$150,000 (dependent on scale of development and ongoing operational needs)	505. No
506. Playground Upgrade at Allen Green	507. Upgrade of playground on Warren Rd, Para Hills West	508. Allen Green, Warren Rd, Para Hills West	509. Yes	510. Project will activate a reserve which the community has identified as lacking any provision currently.	521. \$150,000 + \$18,000 p/a 522. (maintenance costs)	523. Yes

Item GB2 - Attachment 1 - Fund My Neighbourhood Project Ideas Round 1

			511.		
--	--	--	-------------	--	--

5. Project Title	6. Short Description	7. Location	8. Is Council the logical sponsor?	9. Administration Comments	10. *Approximate costs	11. Administration Recommendation 12. (yes/no)
				512. 513. 514. 515. 516. 517. 518. 519. 520.		
524. Ingle Farm Recreation Centre Sunset Room A/V upgrade	525. Replace ageing A/V system to facilitate greater community use.	526. Ingle Farm Recreation Centre 58 Beovich Rd, Ingle Farm	527. No 528. Project is sponsored by Ingle Farm Baptist Church 529. Church	530. The church is in a position to accept the funding and manage works. Council views this as a club asset, which is not the responsibility of Council as it will be separate from the responsibility as landlord. 531. Council required to approve works within or on Council owned building, to ensure it is in accordance required standards and management practices.	532. \$18,500	533. Yes
534. Pedestrian / Bike Crossing on Martins Rd	535. Pedestrian / Bike Crossing on Martins Rd Parafield Gardens.	536. 221 Martins Rd,	537. Yes 538. but	539. Project requires significant consultation,	543. Budget estimation provided is	544. Yes

Item GB2 - Attachment 1 - Fund My Neighbourhood Project Ideas Round 1

Parafield Gardens		Parafield	project	site location and design	\$10,000 but project	
-------------------	--	-----------	---------	--------------------------	----------------------	--

5. Project Title	6. Short Description	7. Location	8. Is Council the logical sponsor?	9. Administration Comments	10. *Approximate costs	11. Administration Recommendation 12. (yes/no)
		Gardens	has been sponsored by Marciano Lawyers	to meet the needs of the whole community. Project sponsor does not have the relevant authority or expertise to undertake a project of this nature 540. 541. 542.	cost likely to significantly exceed this amount.	
545. Onsley Green Upgrade	546. Dog agility park and community garden with mini bike trail in Onsley Green.	547. Onsley Green, Hood St, Salisbury East	548. Yes	549. Project requires significant consultation, site location and design, which is unlikely to be deliverable within the budget and timelines of the programme. 550. Community Garden projects are not supported unless associated with a Community Centre or other organisation to manage the facility. 551. Mini Bike facilities are not supported in Council reserves.	552. >\$150,000	553. No

554. Mettika Ave Park	555. Create a more family friendly	556. Stokes	557. Yes	558. Project delivers	566. \$90,000 +	568. Yes
------------------------------	---	--------------------	-----------------	------------------------------	------------------------	-----------------

5. Project Title	6. Short Description	7. Location	8. Is Council the logical sponsor?	9. Administration Comments	10. *Approximate costs	11. Administration Recommendation 12. (yes/no)
Upgrade	environment in Ingle Farm. An undercover BBQ area will provide a space for community to celebrate. A half court basketball court or sporting area will encourage the community to spend time outdoors while exercising.	Green, Mettika Ave, Ingle Farm		activation of a reserve beyond current service provision to meet the needs of the immediate community. 559. 560. 561. 562. 563. 564. 565.	\$10,800 p/a 567. (maintenance costs)	
569. Community Garden in Mawson Lakes	570. To create a community garden space adjacent to our preschool	571. 12/24 Garden Terrace, Mawson Lakes	572. No	573. Community Garden projects are not supported unless associated with a Community Centre or other organisation to manage the facility.	574. \$80,000 +\$9,600 p/a 575. (operating /maintenance costs)	576. Yes
577. Mawson Lakes Oval Verandah	578. A verandah providing shade/shelter for the public using oval space.	579. Mawson lakes Oval, Mawson Lakes	580. Requires further investigation	581. Further investigation of the site and existing facilities required to identify feasibility of the project	582. \$50,000 (dependent on scale of the project)	583. Yes
584. Improve Burton Oval Community Area	585. Beautify drainage area and add shelters to community soccer pitch	586. Burton Park, Waterloo Cnr	587. No 588. Project is	589. The club is in a position to accept the funding and manage	592. \$33,500	593. Yes

Item GB2 - Attachment 1 - Fund My Neighbourhood Project Ideas Round 1

		Rd, Burton	sponsore	works. Council views this		
--	--	------------	-----------------	---------------------------	--	--

5. Project Title	6. Short Description	7. Location	8. Is Council the logical sponsor?	9. Administration Comments	10. *Approximate costs	11. Administration Recommendation 12. (yes/no)
			ed by Salisbury United Soccer Club Inc.	as a club asset, which is not the responsibility of Council as it will be separate from the responsibility as landlord. 590. Council required to approve works within or on Council owned building, to ensure it is in accordance required standards and management practices. 591.		
594. Bagster Road Community Centre Community Garden and Social Gathering Space	595. Community Garden to support and educate families and young people	596. Bagster Road Community Centre. 17 Bagster Rd, Salisbury North	597. Yes	598. Community Garden projects are supported when associated with a Community Centre.	599. \$105,000 + \$12,600 p/a (operating /maintenance costs)	600. Yes
601. Morella Community Centre Cultural Kitchen	602. Installation of an outdoor, inter-generational and cultural community kitchen	603. Morella Community Centre, 90 Kings Rd, Parafield Gardens	604. Yes	605. Project is supported as it responds to the changing cultural needs of the community and has potential to build intercultural understanding and social cohesion.	606. \$65,000 + 607. \$7,800 p/a (maintenance costs)	608. Yes

609. Connecting	610. Bringing the Parafield Gardens	611. Morella	612. No	615. Project is	616. \$28,000	617. Yes
------------------------	--	---------------------	----------------	------------------------	----------------------	-----------------

5. Project Title	6. Short Description	7. Location	8. Is Council the logical sponsor?	9. Administration Comments	10. *Approximate costs	11. Administration Recommendation 12. (yes/no)
Communities through Active Spaces Project	community together through a community arts project	Community Centre, 90 Kings Rd, Parafield Gardens	613. Project is sponsored by Morella Community Centre 614.	supported as it aims to build community connection and social cohesion.		
618. Snowden Avenue, Salisbury East Road repair	619. Snowden Avenue, Salisbury East is in desperate need of repair.	620. Snowden Ave, Salisbury East	621. Yes	622. Project requires further investigations to define scope for improvements. 623. 624. 625. 626. 627.	628. \$150,000 + \$18,000 p/a 629. (maintenance costs)	630. Yes
631. Improvements to Tregoning Green Playground	632. Improvements to playground/park between Carlingford Drive and Terrigal Drive.	633. Tregoning Green, Salisbury Park	634. Yes	635. Project delivers activation of a reserve beyond current service provision to meet the needs of the immediate community.	636. \$90,000 + \$10,800 p/a 637. (maintenance costs)	638. Yes
639. Child Crossing at Tyndale Christian School	640. Children’s crossing at Tyndale Christian School	641. London Drive, Salisbury East	642. Yes	643. Project requires significant consultation, site location and design to meet the needs of the	644. \$150,000 + \$18,000 p/a 645. (maintenance costs)	646. Yes

Item GB2 - Attachment 1 - Fund My Neighbourhood Project Ideas Round 1

				whole community.		
--	--	--	--	------------------	--	--

5. Project Title	6. Short Description	7. Location	8. Is Council the logical sponsor?	9. Administration Comments	10. *Approximate costs	11. Administration Recommendation 12. (yes/no)
647. Upkeep of Council Lawns	648. The council lawns are rarely mowed and they need mowing	649. No specific location	650. Yes	651. Project is ineligible for funding as reserve and verge mowing is included in Councils budget for each financial year.	652. N/A	653. No
654. Better Footpaths in Salisbury Heights	655. improve the footpaths in Salisbury Heights	656. Salisbury Heights	657. Yes	658. Concept is supported but specific requirements and locations for improvements need to be further investigated and defined. 659. 660. 661. 662. 663. 664. 665. 666.	667. \$100,000 + 668. \$12,000 p/a 669. (dependent on scale of project)	670. Yes
671. Community Connections	672. To provide inclusive connections for people with a disability.	673. Salisbury East Neighbourhood House, 28 Smith Rd, Salisbury East	674. No 675. Project is sponsored by Salisbury	676. Project is supported as it provides opportunities for social connection for vulnerable members of the community.	677. \$20,000	678. Yes

			East			
--	--	--	------	--	--	--

5. Project Title	6. Short Description	7. Location	8. Is Council the logical sponsor?	9. Administration Comments	10. *Approximate costs	11. Administration Recommendation 12. (yes/no)
			neighbourhood Centre Inc.			
679. Garden of Reflection	680. Reflection Garden - a place to remember people passed.	681. Pittman Park, Salisbury	682. Yes	683. Concept is supported but specific location, community consultation and design needs to be further investigated based on other planned future uses of Pittman Park.	684. \$150,000 + \$18,000 p/a 685. (dependent on scale of project)	686. Yes
687. Parafield Gardens Community Precinct Access Improvement Project	688. Improving car park and pedestrian safety and accessibility in the Parafield Gardens community centre and recreation precinct.	689. Buick Cl, Salisbury Downs	690. Yes	691. The project is supported as it will improve safety and usage of the busy community facilities in the area. 692. 693. 694. 695. 696. 697.	698. \$120,000 + 699. \$14,400 p/a 700. (maintenance costs)	701. Yes
702. Salisbury Oval Integrated Electronic Scoreboard/LED Screen	703. Permanent Electronic Scoreboard/LED Screen for Community sports and event use by tenants of Salisbury Oval	704. Salisbury Oval, Memorial Ave, Salisbury	705. No 706. Project sponsor	707. The club is in a position to accept the funding and manage works. Council views this	709. \$67,000	710. Yes

Item GB2 - Attachment 1 - Fund My Neighbourhood Project Ideas Round 1

			d by	as a club asset, which is		
--	--	--	------	---------------------------	--	--

5. Project Title	6. Short Description	7. Location	8. Is Council the logical sponsor?	9. Administration Comments	10. *Approximate costs	11. Administration Recommendation 12. (yes/no)
			Salisbury Football Club Inc.	not the responsibility of Council as it will be separate from the responsibility as landlord. 708. Council required to approve works within or on Council owned building, to ensure it is in accordance required standards and management practices.		

**Implementation costs haven't been provided with all the applications – a cost estimate including project management, based on the description has been estimated but is subject to change*

ITEM MD1

COUNCIL

DATE 25 September 2017

HEADING Mayor's Diary

RECOMMENDATION

1. That this information be noted.

Date	Time	Function
24/08/2017	10:00 AM	Community Hub Pop Up
24/08/2017	10:00 AM	Salisbury Community Hub - Community Engagement Roadshow Jack Young Centre
24/08/2017	12 Noon	Channel 9 Interview
25/08/2017	10:00 AM	Community Hub Pop Up
25/08/2017	10:00 AM	Salisbury Community Hub - Community Engagement Roadshow Ingle Farm Library
25/08/2017	02:00 PM	Site Visit and meeting with Northern Eye Specialists
26/08/2017	10:00 AM	UIOSA Arst and Cultural Ganesh festival 2017 - @Angkor Temple - Salisbury
26/08/2017	06:00 PM	***SPEECH*** St Euphrasia Syro-Malabar Parish - Celebration
28/08/2017	09:00 AM	Community Engagement - Community Hub
28/08/2017	12:30 PM	Meeting with Councillor
28/08/2017	01:00 PM	Hoon Driving Forum - Organised by Member for Wright
28/08/2017	03:30 PM	Meeting with representatives from Lions club of Paralowie
28/08/2017	05:00 PM	Council Agenda Review
28/08/2017	06:30 PM	Council Meeting
29/08/2017	06:30 PM	Informal Strategy for the Design Team to brief on Design Development
30/08/2017	10:00 AM	Filming of "Mayor's Thank you" for Hub Consultation
30/08/2017	11:00 AM	Mayor/CEO/EA
1/09/2017	12 Noon	Meeting with David Stockbridge - re Community Hub
2/09/2017	03:00 PM	Meeting with Chris Bannon
4/09/2017	04:30 PM	Meeting with PA - Invitations/Speeches/Signing
4/09/2017	06:30 PM	Informal Strategy

ITEM MD1

Item MD1

5/09/2017	10:00 AM	Spotlight on Salisbury Radio Show
5/09/2017	01:00 PM	Sarah business meeting
5/09/2017	05:30 PM	Overview of Proposed Community Hub
5/09/2017	12 Noon	Media Issues - Regular Catch-up
8/09/2017	01:00 PM	Meeting with business person
9/09/2017	07:00 PM	Malta National Day
11/09/2017	02:30 PM	Regular Catchup to Discuss Current/Upcoming Planning/Building Issues
11/09/2017	03:00 PM	Mayor/CEO/EA
11/09/2017	04:30 PM	Tourism and Visitor Sub Committee Meeting
11/09/2017	06:30 PM	Sport, Recreation & Grants
12/09/2017	11:00 AM	Meeting Parabanks re Hub
12/09/2017	12:30 PM	Media Issues - Regular Catch-up
12/09/2017	02:00 PM	2.00PM - 3.00PM Community Leaders Group meeting
12/09/2017	04:00 PM	Meeting to Discuss Australia Day Arrangements
12/09/2017	06:30 PM	Strategic and International Partnerships Sub Committee
13/09/2017	04:00 PM	4:00pm MLGG meeting
13/09/2017	12 Noon	Meeting residents
14/09/2017	10:30 AM	**SPEECH** - Are You OK Day
14/09/2017	12:30 PM	Meeting with Mayor/ GM BE - Rating for Trinity Green Retirement Village
14/09/2017	12 Noon	Meeting with PA - Speeches/Paperwork
15/09/2017	03:00 PM	Meeting with John Gee - Growing Salisbury Together and Community Hub discussions
16/09/2017	11:00 AM	Valley View Tennis Club - Winter Award Presentation
16/09/2017	07:30 PM	Khmer Buddhist Association of SA - Pchem Ben
17/09/2017	12 Noon	***SPEECH*** - Bridgestone Reserve Spring Fair

Events attended by Elected Members on behalf of the Mayor

Date	Member	Function
19/09/2017	Cr Gill	Radio Show
19/09/2017	Cr Proleta	Northern Carers' Network 20 th AGM
20/09/2017	Cr Proleta	Pooraka Farm Community Centre AGM